UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Columbia

United States of America)	
v. ZACHARY REHL) Case No.	21-cr-175-3 (TJK)
Defendant		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

Ture II I manigo of I accuma Zuw as to I resumptions and of § 0112(c)	
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other personal the community because the following conditions have been met:	on
\Box (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.	
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	r
\Box (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or	
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export A (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	Act
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagrap	hs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offen described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federa jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:	
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 9 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and	921);
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.	
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance givin	ıg rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was	
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and	
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the	
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.	

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
\square (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
\square (2) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

Case 1:21-cr-00175-TJK Document 104 Filed 07/01/21 Page 3 of 3

AU 4/2 (R	ev. 11/16) Order of Defending Trial
	Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
₹	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
THED	REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

For the Court's complete reasoning, please see the transcript of the Court's oral ruling on June 30, 2021. In summary:

The Court finds that the nature and circumstances of the offenses weigh in favor of detention. Rehl is charged with multiple felony offenses, including one Congress has characterized under these circumstances as a federal crime of terrorism, and another that exposes him to a 20-year sentence. The Grand Jury has charged that he conspired with his co-Defendants and others (1) to stop, delay, or hinder Congress's certification of the Electoral College vote, and (2) to obstruct or interfere with law enforcement officers engaged in their official duties to protect the Capitol and its occupants while that was happening. The allegations include extensive involvement in prior planning for January 6, coordination with other participants before, during, and after the riot, and a leadership role, although one not as significant as his co-defendants Nordean and Biggs. And although there is no evidence Rehl carried or used a weapon that day, or laid his hands on anyone else in a violent manner, he said and did things that are highly troubling, as explained in more detail on the record. He also celebrated what happened that day and has not expressed regret or remorse for what he did or what happened.

The Court finds that the weight of the evidence against Rehl considered as a whole, is strong and weighs in favor of detention, even after considering the arguments advanced by Rehl to explain some of the evidence in isolation.

The Court finds that Rehl's history and characteristics weigh in favor of release, but not overwhelmingly so. Rehl has a minimal criminal history and has strong ties to Philadelphia where he lives, including his children and wife of three years. He also served honorably in the United States Marines. All of that is enough to rebut the rebuttable presumption of detention. But as described in more detail below and on the record, some of his characteristics, in terms of his capabilities and intentions, weigh in favor of detention.

Finally, the Court finds that the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by Rehl's release weighs in favor of detention. Given the allegations of political violence against him for the events of January 6, his lack of remorse for those events, his role as a leader and organizer in a network that frequently creates events with large numbers of people, his provision of radios that the network used to coordinate, his history of concealing his activities from law enforcement, his attempts to facilitate the destruction of communications evidence, and the evidence that suggests he and his co-conspirators believe that political violence is justified going forward, the Court finds that he poses an identified and articulable threat to public safety that is both concrete and prospective, and that cannot be adequately mitigated by any conditions of release short of detention.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	07/01/2021	/s/ Timothy J. Kelly
		United States District Judge