,	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Richard Slaughter c/o 215 Williams Blvd. N.E.,
9	(City of) Orting, The State of Washington [98360]
10	Telephone: 1 - (253) 306-1094
11	MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE TO SEEK COMPETENT
12	COUNSEL WITH DECLARATION IN SUPPORT
13	In re:
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal No. 1:22-cr-00354-RCL-1 and 2
17	Plaintiff) MOTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL 60 TO 90 DAYS
18	vs. CONTINUANCE WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT FILED SEPARATELY
10	Richard Slaughter, and
19	Caden Gottfried) Defendant)
20)
21	
22	MOTION FOR A 60 TO 90 DAYS CONTINUANCE WITH DECLARATION IN SUPPORT
	FILED SEPARATELY AS AN OFFER OF PROOF THAT I AM ACTIVELY AND DILIGENTLY SEEKING THE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS ENVISIONED
23	BY THE SIXTH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION
24	
25	COMES NOW, Richard Slaughter and Caden Gottfried by special appearance and NOT
26	generally pursuant to RCW 2.48.010, and respectfully moves this court, pursuant to Fed. R.
27	generally parameter to the management of the state of the
28	
	Page: 1 of 4

Crim. Pro. 45 (b)(1), for an additional extension of time of approximately 60-90 days or in the alternative an additional 45-60 days to seek the competent and "effective assistance of counsel" as envisioned by the Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and pursuant to article 1, section 22 of the Washington State Constitution which is mandatory and binding upon this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1652; FRCP Rule 64; 28 U.S.C. 1333; 42 U.S.C. 1988.; RCW 4.04.010; CrR 1.1; Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 687, 80 L.Ed.2d 674, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 2064 (1984) and People v. Marsden, 2 Cal. 2d 118 (1970).

The Right to the "effective assistance of counsel" is constitutionally guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment and article 1, section 22 (10th Amendment) of the Washington State Constitution; State v. Thomas, 109 Wn.2d 222, 229, 743 P.2d 816 (1987); Dorsey v. King County, 51 Wn.App. 664, 751 P.2d 859, 865, 754 P.2d 1255, 1261 (1988); State v. Stewart, 113 Wn.2d 462, 467, 780 P.2d 844 (1989); In re Riley, 122 Wn.2d 772, 779-80, 863 P.2d 554 (1993); State v. Holly, 75 Wn.App. 191, 876 P.2d 973 (1994); Tully v. State, 4 Wn.App. 720, 483 P.2d 1268 (1971); State v. Emert, 94 Wn.2d 839, 621 P.2d 121 (1980); Powell v. Alabama, 287 U.S. 45, 77 L.Ed. 158, 53 S. Ct. 55, 84 A.L.R. 527 (1932); McMann v. Richardson, 394 U.S. 759, 25 L.Ed.2d 763, 90 S.Ct. 1441 (1970).

The right to the effective assistance of counsel is the right "to require the prosecution's case to survive the crucible of meaningful adversarial testing." United States v. Cronic, 466 U.S. 648, 656, 80 L.Ed.2d 657, 104 S.Ct. 2039, 2045 (1984); Kimmelman v. Morrison, 477 U.S. 365, 374, 91 L.Ed.2d 305, 106 S.Ct. 2574, 2582 (1986). This guarantee of legal counsel is a fundamental right made obligatory on the states by the Fourteenth Amendment. Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335, 83 S.Ct. 792, 9 L.Ed.2d 799 (1963). Under the Sixth Amendment, a person has the right to be represented by counsel at every critical stage of a proceeding, i.e, where the results might settle the issue. Kirby v. Illinois, 406 U.S. 682, 32 L.Ed.2d 411, 92 S.Ct. 1877 (1977); Cuyler v. Sullivan, 445 U.S. 335, 344, 100 S.Ct. 1708, 64 L.Ed.2d 333 (1980); Seattle v. Ratliff, 100 Wn.2d 212, 667 P.2d 630 (1983).

This extension of time is necessary to seek an find competent counsel and further to allow counsel to appear, become familiar with and adequately prepare this case for trial, including the opportunity to file any necessary motions. An extension is also necessary to prevent a miscarriage of justice.

This motion is based upon the Notice of Termination of Counsel and supporting declarations of Richard Slaughter and Caden Gottfried that we are actively and diligently seeking counsel to defend us in this case and that we am seeking a continuance or extension of

time to do the same until we find counsel that is willing to provide us the "effective assistance of counsel" as envisioned by the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and article 1, section 22 of the Washington State Constitution.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, we Richard Slaughter and Caden Gottfried, hereby certify that on this day, November 17, 2023, I, we caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served on all counsel via email because I do not currently have access to file my own documents through the Court's CM/ECF case filing system. – Note: I respectfully request to be given access to the Court's CM/ECF case filing system.

Richard Slaughter

Cu

Caden Gottfried

Dated this 17th day of November, A.D. 2023.