

in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (2) and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D) and (G); and that Tucker Weston assaulted, resisted, or impeded officers and engaged in civil disorder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a)(1) and 231(a)(3).

2. The Affidavit in Support of an Application for Arrest Warrants references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation, including the use of digital devices during and in relation to of the crimes. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant, which also may lead to the destruction of evidence in other locations including the digital device. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.

2. As stated in Washington Post v. Robinson, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if“(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest.” Id. at 290 (quoting Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).

3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendants. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrants are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in

Support of an Application for Arrest Warrants and Arrest Warrants, or a resulting sealing order, means that the defendants are charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest them. Thus, if this Motion or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Complaint and Arrest Warrants.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest Warrants, the Affidavit in Support of an Application for Arrest Warrants, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

MATTHEW M. GRAVES
United States Attorney
DC Bar No. 481052

By: /s/ Nadia E. Moore
Nadia E. Moore
NY Bar No. 4826566 (Detailee)
Assistant United States Attorney
555 4th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(718) 254-6362
nadia.moore@usdoj.gov