

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

HOWARD CHARLES RICHARDSON,

Defendant.

**Criminal No. 21-721 (CKK)**

**ORDER IDENTIFYING TEMPLATE FOR  
PROPOSED PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER**

(February 7, 2022)

At a Status Hearing on February 7, 2022, the parties discussed with the Court their intention to continue discussions about pretrial disposition of this case. They also reiterated their interest in tentative preparations for trial in the event that plea negotiations do not resolve this case. Pursuant to the Court’s Minute Order of February 7, 2022, the Court issues this template for a proposed Pretrial Scheduling Order. The parties are directed to confer, add dates to the “Proposed Deadlines” column, and file their proposed pretrial schedule on the docket by no later than MARCH 4, 2022.

Plea

*Proposed Deadlines*

During plea negotiations, the parties shall discuss the statutory penalties and sentencing guidelines that would apply with and without a superseding indictment. This will be discussed on the record as well.

Discovery & Associated Deadlines

Government to complete any final discovery under present indictment	_____
Aspirational date for grand jury decision re: superseding indictment	_____
Government to complete discovery under any superseding indictment	_____
Discovery motions (Fed. R. Crim. P. 16)	_____

Expert Notices & Other Crimes Evidence

Government’s expert notice (FRE 701 & 702)	_____
Defendant’s expert notice (FRE 701 & 702)	_____
Government’s FRE 404(b) notice	_____
Defendant’s response to FRE 404(b) notice	_____

Experts

Expert reports (FRE 702)	_____
Lay witness identification and subject matter (FRE 701)	_____

Non-Evidentiary Pretrial Motions

Defendant’s non-evidentiary pretrial motions, such as motions challenging the indictment	_____
Government’s response to Defendant’s non-evidentiary motions	_____
Defendant’s reply as to non-evidentiary motions	_____
Government’s non-evidentiary pretrial motions	_____
Defendant’s response to Government’s non-evidentiary motions	_____
Government’s reply as to non-evidentiary motions	_____

Evidentiary Pretrial Motions

Defendant’s evidentiary pretrial motions, such as motions to suppress evidence, or <i>Daubert</i>	_____
Government’s response to Defendant’s evidentiary motions	_____
Defendant’s reply as to evidentiary motions	_____
Government’s evidentiary pretrial motions, such as <i>Daubert</i> and other crimes (404(b))	_____
Defendant’s response to Government’s evidentiary motions	_____
Government’s reply as to evidentiary motions	_____

Motions in Limine

Motions <i>in limine</i> by both sides	_____
Responses to motions <i>in limine</i>	_____
Replies as to motions <i>in limine</i>	_____

Joint notice of stipulations	_____
------------------------------	-------

<i>Giglio</i> and <i>Jencks</i> material	_____
--	-------

<i>Voir Dire</i> and Jury Instructions	_____ TBD
--	-----------

Witness and Exhibit Lists

Government’s witness list, exhibit list and exhibits	_____ TBD
Defendant’s witness list, exhibit list and exhibits	_____ TBD

Additional pretrial hearings (to include resolutions of objections to evidence) shall take place as needed on a series of dates to be discussed with the Court.

**SO ORDERED.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY  
United States District Judge