UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V. * Case No. 1:21-CR-623-2 (CRC)

KIRSTYN NIEMELA

.....

DEDENDANT'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

In accordance with this Court's pretrial scheduling order, the Defendant proposes the attached jury instructions.

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA * UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * *
    V. * Case No. 1:21-CR-623-2 (CRC) * KIRSTYN NIEMELA * * DEDENDANT'S PROPOSED JURY
    INSTRUCTIONS In accordance with this Court's pretrial scheduling order, the Defendant proposes the attached
   GUO UN MITTO TO HER COLUNT NITHER LENGERIND AND MEMANING IN MAERIESCURD BUILDING CORLOCALONIOS R
    County three of the indictment charges the Defendant with entering and remaining in a restricted building and grounds, that is, any posted-off, and otherwise restricted area within the United State's Capitol and its grounds,
    where the Vice President was and would be temporarily visiting, without lawful authority to do so. Elements In
    order to find the Defendant guity in this contents elynogonist find Defendant Governthe content and of the following a
     elements beyond a reasonable doubt: • First, that the Defendant entered and remained in a restricted building
without lawful authority to do so. • Second, the Defendant knew it was unlawful to enter and remain in the restricted building and of personal than the personal property and of personal property is a restricted building. Find, that the Defendant did so intentionally, and not by accident or mistake or against her
    will. A person who enters into a restricted area with a good faith belief that she is entering with lawful authority is
Unotequily afthis offense Than you count find the Defendant quily referent twee unless you resorting drait.
     beyond a reasonable doubt that she did not have a good faith belief of her lawful authority to enter or remain in
    the restricted building. Definitions The term "restricted building or grounds" means any posted, cordoned off, or
temporarily visiting. The term "person protected by the Secret Service" includes the Vice President and the
Eimmediate family of the Vice President". A person acts "knowingly" if she realizes what she is doing and it aware of the nature of her conduct and does not act through ignorance, mistake, or accident. In deciding whether the
     Defendant acted knowingly, you may consider all of the evidence, including what the Defendant did or said.
    COUNTIFOUR: DISORDEREY JANDER DERIVE CONDUCTION A RESTRICTED BUILDING LORGER QUINDENT
    Count Four of the indictment charges the Defendant with knowingly, and with intent to impede and disrupt the
orderly conduct of Government business and official functions, engage in disorderly and disruptive conduct in Prant within such proximity by the stretted building and grounds, that is, any posted, cordoned off, and otherwise
     restricted area within the United State's Capitol and its grounds, where the Vice President was and would be
    temporarily visiting, when and so that such conduct did in fact impede and disrupt the orderly conduct of wful Government business and official functions. Elements: In order to find the Defendant guilty of this offense you
     must find that the Government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: • First, that the
     Defendant engage thin discorderly and disruptive conduct in, or in proximity to, any restricted building or grounds.
     Second, that the Defendant did do knowingly, and with the intent to impede or disrupt the orderly conduct of
     Government business and official functions. • Third, that the Defendant's conduct did in fact impede and disrupt
     the ordery conduction leavent and business was daily and discussioned in a conduction of the conductio
     that occurs when a person is unreasonably loud and disruptive under the circumstances, or interferes with
    another person by jostling against or unnecessarily crowding that person. In other words, disorderly conduct is that which tends to disturb public peace, offend public morals, or undermine public safety. "Disruptive conduct" is
    conduct that interrupts an event, activity, condition, or the normal course of the process. The terms "restricted
    building briggounds and Inopeningly thank the agree meanings described in the industrionaries abund Three inst
     COUNT SIX: DISORDERLY CONDUCT IN A CAPITOL BUILDING Count Six charges the Defendant with willfully
     and knowingly engaging in disorderly and disruptive conduct in any of the capitol buildings with the intent to
     impedel as with and disturb the orderly conduct of a session of Congress and either House of Congress, and the
    orderly conduct in that building of a hearing before or any deliberation of, a committee of Congress or either
    House of Congress Elements: In order to find the Defendant quilty of this offense your must find that the ring with Government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: • First, that the Defendant
     engaged in disorderly and disruptive conduct in any of the United State's Capitol buildings during a session of
la Ordingrasst land i eigher thouse not to confress of scane, that it be goes common to idea without the internal training a described on the confress of scane and the confre
     and disturb the orderly conduct of a session of Congress or either House of Congress. • Third, that the
Defendant acted willfully and knowingly. Definitions: The term "United State's Capital buildings" includes the Third State's Capital buildings includes the United State's Capital buildings includes the Definition of the Capital buildings. Definitions in the Capital buildings includes the Definition of the Capital buildings in the Definition of the Capital buildings in the Definition of the Definition of
    conduct" has the same meanings described in the instructions of Count Four. The term "knowingly" has the same
of meaning described in the instructions in Count Three The term will fully means that the Defendant acted with a
     bad purposes or evil-meaning mind; that is to say, she acted intentionally and purposely and with the intent to do
     something the law forbids. The Government need not prove that the Defendant was aware of the specific law or
DelicnHanne conduct may be violating, but the Government must prove that the Defendant knew that her conduct
    was unlawful. COUNT EIGHT: PARADING, DEMONSTRATING, OR PICKETING IN A CAPITOL BUILDING
    Count Fight of the indictment charge the Defendant with willfully and knowingly parading, demonstrating, and picketing in any United States Capitol building. Elements: In order to find the Defendant guilty of this offense you
     must find that the Government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: • First, that the
reDefendant paraded a demonstrated; or picketed in any of the runited States Gabitot buildingset Segond ethat the will
     Defendant acted willfully and knowingly. Definitions: The terms "parade" and "picket" have their ordinary
     meanings. The term "demonstrate" refers to conduct that would disrupt the orderly business of Congress by, for
be kample, impeding of logstructing passageways, hearings, or meetings but does not include activities such as
     quiet praying. The term "United States Capitol buildings" has the same meaning described in the instructions for
    Count Six. The term "knowingly" has the same definition as described in the instructions for Count Three. The
     term "willfully" has the same definitions as described in the instructions for Count Six. WHEREFORE, the
     Defendant, Kirstyn Niemela, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court: A) Instruct the jury in the
     Defendant's trial as outlined above in the Defendant's proposed jury instructions. B) Order such other relief as
     may be just and proper. Respectfully submitted Kirstyn Niemela, By her Attorneys, Date: January 19, 2023 /s/
     Paul J. Garrity Paul J. Garrity, Bar No. 905 14 Londonderry Road Londonderry, NH 03053 603-434-4106
     garritylaw@myfairpoint.net /s/ Richard F. Monteith Richard Monteith, Bar No. 9352 14 Londonderry Road
     Londonderry, NH 03053 603-437-2733 monteithlaw@aol.com CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I, Richard F.
```

Monteith and Paul J. Garrity, herein certify that on this 19th day of January, 2023, a copy of the within was e-filed

for all parties involved and mailed, postage pre-paid, to Kirstyn Niemela. Date: January 19, 2023 /s/ Paul J. Garrity Paul Scarrity 1s/Richard Tomberth Richard Menteith 3 Filed 01/19/23 Page 3 of 9

The term "person protected by the Secret Service" includes the Vice President and the immediate family of the Vice President".

A person acts "knowingly" if she realizes what she is doing and it aware of the nature of her conduct and does not act through ignorance, mistake, or accident. In deciding whether the Defendant acted knowingly, you may consider all of the evidence, including what the Defendant did or said.

COUNT FOUR: DISORDERLY AND DISRUPTIVE CONDUCT IN A RESTRICTED BUILDING OR GROUNDS

Count Four of the indictment charges the Defendant with knowingly, and with intent to impede and disrupt the orderly conduct of Government business and official functions, engage in disorderly and disruptive conduct in and within such proximity to, a restricted building and grounds, that is, any posted, cordoned off, and otherwise restricted area within the United State's Capitol and its grounds, where the Vice President was and would be temporarily visiting, when and so that such conduct did in fact impede and disrupt the orderly conduct of Government business and official functions.

Elements:

In order to find the Defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the Government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- First, that the Defendant engaged in disorderly and disruptive conduct in, or in proximity to, any restricted building or grounds.
- Second, that the Defendant did do knowingly, and with the intent to impede or disrupt the orderly conduct of Government business and official functions.
- Third, that the Defendant's conduct did in fact impede and disrupt the orderly conduct of Government business and official functions.

Definitions:

"Disorderly conduct" is conduct that occurs when a person is unreasonably loud and disruptive under the circumstances, or interferes with another person by jostling against or unnecessarily crowding that person. In other words, disorderly conduct is that which tends to disturb public peace, offend public morals, or undermine public safety.

"Disruptive conduct" is conduct that interrupts an event, activity, condition, or the normal course of the process.

The terms "restricted building or grounds" and "knowingly" have the same meanings described in the instructions for Count Three.

COUNT SIX: DISORDERLY CONDUCT IN A CAPITOL BUILDING

Count Six charges the Defendant with willfully and knowingly engaging in disorderly and disruptive conduct in any of the capitol buildings with the intent to impede, disrupt, and disturb the orderly conduct of a session of Congress and either House of Congress, and the orderly conduct in that building of a hearing before or any deliberation of, a committee of Congress or either House of Congress.

Elements:

In order to find the Defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the Government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- First, that the Defendant engaged in disorderly and disruptive conduct in any of the United
 State's Capitol buildings during a session of Congress and either House of Congress.
- Second, that the Defendant did so with the intent to impede, disrupt, and disturb the orderly conduct of a session of Congress or either House of Congress.
- Third, that the Defendant acted willfully and knowingly.

Definitions:

The term "United State's Capital buildings" includes the United State's Capitol located at First Street, S.E. in Washington, D.C.

The term "disorderly or disruptive conduct" has the same meanings described in the instructions of Count Four.

The term "knowingly" has the same meaning described in the instructions in Count Three.

The term "willfully" means that the Defendant acted with a bad purposes or evil-meaning mind; that is to say, she acted intentionally and purposely and with the intent to do something the law forbids. The Government need not prove that the Defendant was aware of the specific law or

Case 1:21-cr-00623-CRC Document 83 Filed 01/19/23 Page 7 of 9

rule that her conduct may be violating, but the Government must prove that the Defendant knew that her conduct was unlawful.

COUNT EIGHT: PARADING, DEMONSTRATING, OR PICKETING IN A CAPITOL BUILDING

Count Eight of the indictment charge the Defendant with willfully and knowingly parading, demonstrating, and picketing in any United States' Capitol building.

Elements:

In order to find the Defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the Government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- First, that the Defendant paraded, demonstrated, or picketed in any of the United States'
 Capitol buildings.
- Second, that the Defendant acted willfully and knowingly.

Definitions:

The terms "parade" and "picket" have their ordinary meanings.

The term "demonstrate" refers to conduct that would disrupt the orderly business of Congress by, for example, impeding or obstructing passageways, hearings, or meetings but does not include activities such as quiet praying.

The term "United States Capitol buildings" has the same meaning described in the instructions for Count Six.

The term "knowingly" has the same definition as described in the instructions for Count Three.

The term "willfully" has the same definitions as described in the instructions for Count Six.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Kirstyn Niemela, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

- A) Instruct the jury in the Defendant's trial as outlined above in the Defendant's proposed jury instructions.
- B) Order such other relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted Kirstyn Niemela, By her Attorneys,

Date: January 19, 2023

/s/ Paul J. Garrity
Paul J. Garrity, Bar No. 905
14 Londonderry Road
Londonderry, NH 03053
603-434-4106
garritylaw@myfairpoint.net

/s/ Richard F. Monteith
Richard Monteith, Bar No. 9352
14 Londonderry Road
Londonderry, NH 03053
603-437-2733
monteithlaw@aol.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Richard F. Monteith and Paul J. Garrity, herein certify that on this 19th day of January, 2023, a copy of the within was e-filed for all parties involved and mailed, postage pre-paid, to Kirstyn Niemela.

Date: January 19, 2023 /s/ Paul J. Garrity
Paul J. Garrity

/s/ Richard F. Monteith
Richard Monteith