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## PROCEEDINGS

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Criminal Action 21-161, United States of America versus Dustin Thompson. Counsel, would you please identify yourselves for the record.

MR. DREHER: Good morning, Your Honor, William Dreher for the United States.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. ROZZONI: Good morning, Your Honor, Jennifer Rozzoni for the United States.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Good morning, Your Honor, Sam Shamansky, Donald Regensburger for Dustin Thompson.

THE COURT: Good morning. My clerk provided you with a revised copy of the jury instructions. There were just a couple of questions I had. One regarding stricken evidence, and the last sentence of that instruction whether that needed to be changed. And that relates to exhibits to which I've sustained an objection. I don't know if anything of that nature occurred and whether that language is necessary or not.

MR. DREHER: Your Honor, I think there were two occasions in which or maybe even just one occasion in which an exhibit that had not been entered into evidence momentarily flashed on the screen.

THE COURT: Very well. I think you're right.
I'll keep it there. And I guess actually the next
instruction on page 16 , redacted documents and tapes. I don't know if that again applies. I mean, $I$ know parts of tapes were only shown to the jury, but --

MR. DREHER: Your Honor, I believe that there is one document. I can check which one it is, but there's one document that was admitted that had some redactions of personal identifying information.

THE COURT: Very well. We'll leave it in then. And then the only other question I had is whether the defendant wanted the instruction 2.209 defendant as a witness instruction.

MR. SHAMANSKY: We do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. One other thing I needed to address. I was concerned when $I$ was watching TV last night, and Mr. Shamansky, you appeared on one of the MSNBC shows. I was actually about to switch to another channel, and $I$ saw that they indicated you were going to be appearing on the show. And $I$ must say $I$ was taken aback by that.

I have never had that happened. I don't know if it's unethical, but it is concerning to me that a lawyer in the middle of a trial would do that. I'm sure if the shoe were on the other foot and the government lawyer had an interview with the media while the case was in progress I assume you wouldn't be real happy with that.

And there are a couple of aspects of what you said
that bothered me. One of those was the fact that you commented on the fact that you had desired to bring certain evidence i.e., the live testimony of former President Trump and others before the jury and that was denied. And the fact that you indicated you wanted to do that because you wanted to establish this purported conspiracy that existed among the former president and others to try to overturn the election.

The other concern $I$ had was the fact that you at least suggested by saying that a number of people have ended up before the court charged with crimes who had no prior record suggesting that your client doesn't have a prior record when in fact he does. And the only way that that information could have come before the jury i.e., that he had no prior record, which is not true, would have been through the testimony of a character witness. And if a character witness had testified that would have clearly opened the door for the government to then query that witness about his or her knowledge about his conviction for trespassing out in Ohio.

I find it very troubling that a lawyer during the middle of a trial, even if it's not unethical, would think it appropriate to appear on $T V$ and make statements of the nature that were made, especially in today's world when one of the biggest challenges that courts have is the ability to
keep a jury from not being tainted by information that they should not be aware of. Because it's information outside of the confines of the four walls of the courtroom.

And that's become a major challenge for courts all
over the country. In that jurors are being tainted because of information being heard or seen by them that conceivably impair their ability to be fair and totally impartial, because they're getting extra judicial information fed to them through the media, social media, and otherwise.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Your Honor, if $I$ might respond a second. My recollection $A$, is that $I$ discussed nothing about the case, and $I$ certainly don't remember discussing anything about my client's record although --

THE COURT: You said that people were caught up in what Trump was trying to do who don't have any prior record. And $I$ think it could be reasonably inferred from that that you were referencing your client in addition to others.

MR. SHAMANSKY: He has no prior record, Your Honor, for what it's worth.

THE COURT: Well, he's got --
MR. SHAMANSKY: He's never been convicted of a criminal offense ever.

THE COURT: I understand, but the reality is that if you had tried -- the only way you could have gotten that type of information before the jury would have been through
character testimony. Because the fact that, no, you couldn't have asked him have you ever been charged or convicted of a crime. That's not appropriate testimony.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Nor would I have asked that.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHAMANSKY: And I didn't mention -- I mean, I took great pains not to talk about this case at all, nor do I believe that $I$ did. Now I don't have a transcript of it or a recording, but I'll certainly go back and look at it. Number one, $I$ don't believe that $I$ mentioned anything about my client's record, nor did I intend to. And nor would I ever try to get information to a jury through a back door channel. I also don't believe, Your Honor, that $I$ ever mentioned anything about trying to bring in witnesses or anything to do with jury --

THE COURT: You did. You specifically said because the interviewer specifically asked you if, why you didn't call President Trump and Giuliani. And you specifically said that you had sought to do that, but you were prohibited from doing that.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Well, if I said that then I was merely reflecting what the record, the court record reflects. I no way meant to --

THE COURT: Yeah, but the jury is not aware of the court record. I mean $I^{\prime} m$ sure you would not think it was
appropriate if the government had an interview and the government mentioned the fact there was evidence they wanted to bring before the jury, but they were precluded from doing that by the judge. I'm sure you would raise holy hell as you should.

MR. SHAMANSKY: I don't know if $I$ would raise holy hell. And to the extent that --

THE COURT: I would if I were in your shoes. I was a defense lawyer once and I would have been infuriated if a prosecutor had gone on $T V$ during the middle of the trial and made a statement of that nature.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Your Honor, to the extent the Court finds it inappropriate I apologize. I don't believe anything $I$ said relative to this trial was out of line, nor was it intended to be directed to any member of the jury whom I'm sure following your admonition to a "T".

THE COURT: It will prompt me to change my general
order. I assume you'll never appear before me, but $I$ have and $I$ did in the Scooter Libby trial and the Roger Clemens trial issue a gag order. But that gag order was ordered after the government, and I've always been critical of the government having courthouse steps interviews, just based upon the return of an indictment which the government did in both the Clemens trial and the Scooter Libby trial.

And in order to try and let the playing field be
leveled after the, at the arraignment $I$ didn't say anything about that because $I$ didn't want to inhibit the defense from being able to also have their two minutes of fame talking to the media and they did that. And then I called them in and I said I better not hear from either side making comments to the media again or there's going to be a price to pay.

But you have prompted me to change my general order to make it perfectly clear so that this doesn't happen again, although, it has not never happened in my 38,39 years as a judge where a lawyer thought during the middle of a trial was appropriate to have a communication on TV or otherwise with the media.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Very well. The only other thing I would add, Your Honor, relative to the last statement that you referenced is that every single article that I've read up until now relative to the press coverage of this case has of course, referenced that hot topic issue regarding witness appearance. And again, I apologize to the Court for violating what you believe to have been the spirit of fair play in this case and leave it at that. There's nothing more $I$ can say.

THE COURT: Very well. I hope the jury didn't hear that. I'll specifically ask them whether they have had any contact with media coverage about this case since we recessed. Okay. Do we know if they're here?
[Brief pause.]
THE COURT: My law clerk advised me she did not.

I thought she had sent it yesterday. She said she was waiting to hear from me if $I$ had any additional changes. She hadn't provided the last version of the instructions last night, but she did provide them to you now.
[Brief pause.]
[Thereupon, Jury enters courtroom at 9:20 a.m.]

THE COURT: Good morning, you may be seated. Let me just ask whether any of you had any contact with any kind of media coverage about this case since we adjourned last night? If so, just raise your hands. Thank you.

Okay. We can proceed.
[Video speech of Donald Trump, Save America Rally March played.]
[Video stopped.]

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. SHAMANSKY: Your Honor, at this juncture we rest. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. The defense has now completed its presentation of the evidence. Anything else from the government?

MS. ROZZONI: Yes, Your Honor. The government has one brief rebuttal witness.

THE COURT: Okay.
[Bench conference.]

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ROZZONI: Your Honor, it's Special Agent Brian

Thomas. He's going to discuss some GPS coordinates and Mr. Thompson's location the date, on January 6, 2021.

THE COURT: And what is that going to refute or
rebut?

MS. ROZZONI: It's going to refute Mr. Thompson's assertion that he was at the speech by 10 a.m., and that he heard Mr. Giuliani's speech and what he had to say.

THE COURT: Okay. Do we have evidence in the record as to what Giuliani said?

MS. ROZZONI: We do.

THE COURT: And the time that he said it?

MS. ROZZONI: Exhibit 317, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Any objection?

MR. SHAMANSKY: No, sir, not at all.

THE COURT: Very well.
[Open court.]

THE COURT: The government has one rebuttal witness.

MS. ROZZONI: The government calls Special Agent Brian Thomas.

THE COURT: You may do so.

BY MS. ROZZONI:

Q Good morning.

A Good morning.
Q Could you reintroduce yourself to the jury, please.
A Sure. My name is Special Agent Brian Thomas with the FBI.

Q And as you testified yesterday you're the investigating agent on this case?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. I'm going to bring you actually just where we left off to the speech by President Trump. You testified yesterday that that speech took place from -- well, actually why don't you tell the jury what, the timing of that speech?

A Sure. That speech took place on January 6th, and it started approximately 11:58 a.m. and it concluded around 1:15 p.m.

Q Okay. And have you had an opportunity to look at that C-Span video that the jury just observed or watched for the last hour?

A Yes, I have.

Q And that $C$-Span video is it a continuous, I guess basically a continuous feed of the entire rally that morning?

A That's correct. It's a continuous feed of the entire rally to even include the dead times between speakers.

Q So if there was no one at the podium the camera was still on?

A That's correct.

Q So from your observation of that video were you able to determine when Rudolph Giuliani spoke to the crowd?

A Yes, $I$ was able to do that by reviewing again the time and the gaps between when they were speaking that Mr. Giuliani start speaking approximately around 10:50 a.m. Q I'm going to be putting before the jury what has been already marked and admitted as Government's Exhibit 317. Agent Thomas, what is Exhibit 317?

A Sure. This is a tweet from Twitter by an individual named Brandon Wang. And what we have here is a short clip from Mr. Giuliani's speech when he says, "Let's have trial by combat." And if you look at the bottom here you can see the timestamp of the tweet is approximately 10:53 a.m. on January 6th.

So again, you know, assuming it's going to take an
individual a few seconds to at least post a clip, you can assume that Mr. Giuliani said "Let's have trial by combat," slightly before 10:53 a.m.

Q I'll just play Exhibit 317.
[Rudy Giuliani video played.]

BY MS. ROZZONI:

Q Agent Thomas, I'm going to direct your attention now to

Exhibit 314 . Exhibit 314 has already been marked and admitted. Can you describe to the jury what Exhibit 314 is? A Sure. This is a Google Maps overview basically of, yes, basically what we have here is a Google Maps overlay, showing the latitude and longitude coordinates of Mr. Thompson's cellphone device basically. We requested this through legal process from Google and they provided it. And the yellow dots are representing various GPS waypoints that Google provided us of Mr. Thompson's cellphone's approximate location.

Q Okay. Just backing up for a moment, legal process was sent to Google, is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And that legal process requested longitude and latitude information about Mr. Thompson's phone?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So location information, is that correct?
A Correct.

Q And so Exhibit 314 , how many pages are in Exhibit 314, if you know?

A Four pages.
Q Okay. And right now we've got page four on the screen. What does this particularly show the jury?

A Sure. So this data again, we requested this data for January 6th specifically. And basically it's showing you
that around 10:23 a.m., Mr. Thompson's phone is located in Maryland, in the Silver Spring area. And as you can see after 10:23, it starts to move south towards the District of Columbia getting down to the area of interest kind of around 11:04 a.m.

Q Okay. And then I'm going to back you up to, I'm going to back you up here to it's page 3 of Exhibit 314. What does this depict for the jury?

A So again, these are additional waypoints of

Mr. Thompson's phone showing from approximately 11:06 to 11:51. This is kind of showing the path of where he went once he arrived in the Washington, D.C. area.

Q And to orient the jury can you circle where the white House is on page 3 of Exhibit 314?

A [Witness complies.]

Q Okay. And for the record you've circled a building in the upper left corner of page 3 of Exhibit 314. And then can you also circle where the Washington monument is, please.

A [Witness complies.]

Q You've made a circle in the lower left-hand corner, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Now $I$ do have a question. It appears that from let's see, exhibit, excuse me, from between 11:24 and 11:51,

Mr. Thompson went across buildings. Can you explain that line and why that sometimes happens in these charts? A Sure. With the geolocation data Google obtains this information from the phone beaming off of cellphone towers. Sometimes these geolocation coordinates are going to be very close to spot on, but other times you know based on the signal strength you're going to get a range. So it could be up to a thousand meters off. These are not specific locations. They're off by a minor variance, so he's not walking through buildings.

Q Okay. And so this was as you said page 3 is from 11:06 to 11:51, and then going up to page 1 what does this depict?

A Sure. This is from approximately 12:04 p.m. to

1:06 p.m. And there's additional geolocation points just kind of showing Mr. Thompson's whereabouts in the vicinity kind of in between the White House and the Washington monument just kind of walking from one side to the other. Q And does this depict again a little bit of that variance that you were just discussing?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And finally, this is page two of Exhibit 314, what does this depict?

A This location data shows Mr. Thompson's approximate path from 1:10 p.m. to 2:23 p.m., just showing how he kind of goes up and around the White House till about 2 p.m.
before heading over towards Capitol grounds and arriving near Capitol grounds around 2:23.

Q Thank you. I'm now going to be showing what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 313. Agent, was process also served on Uber?

A Yes.

Q What was that process requesting?

A It was requesting a, some private information for an account and all associated trip information for the account as well for Mr. Thompson.

Q Okay. So I'm putting up what has been marked and admitted as Exhibit 313. So was this one of the pieces of information that you received as a result of that service of process?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And what does this indicate to you that you -what does this indicate to you?

A Sure. This indicates this is Mr. Thompson's account. And I know that based on the account name, the phone number, and email address which have been stipulated as belonging to Mr. Thompson.

Q And this was a number of pages, is that correct?
A Yes.

Q Okay. And now I'm going to be putting up what has been previously marked and admitted as Exhibit 312. Can you
describe the information that is in Exhibit 312? First of all, where did it come from?

A Yes, it's from the Uber search warrant that was submitted. So what we have here is specifically we were interested in rides requested on January 6th, so we have here is the pick up time and the drop off time. So basically this shows that Mr. Thompson was picked up at 10:33 a.m., and then if you look a few columns over here you can see drop off and inputted drop off locations or pick up locations, excuse me.

So pick up locations, so the actual pick up latitude and longitude that's provided that location comes back to by running through Google Maps. You can enter it, latitude and longitudes. It shows that he is picked up from an area right near a hotel in Silver Spring, Maryland at 10:33 a.m. And then as the other timestamp indicates he is dropped off at 11:05 a.m. And if you go all the way over kind of to the end there it shows the actual drop off latitude and longitude. And this comes back to approximately the corner of Ninth and E Street which is right by FBI headquarters.

Q Thank you. And now I'm going to be putting up what has marked and admitted as Government's Exhibit 315. And before we go through it and $I$ play it what is Exhibit 315?

A Again, this is the geolocation data of Mr. Thompson's
phone. And this is kind of an overlay showing his geolocation from 10:23 a.m. to 2:23 p.m. Q Okay. But does it include -- there's a gap, correct, between 11:04 when he's dropped off and then the 1:10 p.m.? A Yes.

Q So I'm going to play this once. [Video played.] I'll let that play out.

Now agent, just briefly talking about that yellow line, are those waypoints likely more accurate than the other waypoints that we looked at on those other, where the purple and the blue for those time periods that we talked about earlier?

A Yes, because he's in a vehicle moving down so it gives you more like straight path of the direction he's heading. Q And it appears to follow exactly where a car would go? A Yes.

Q And again, that happens with the GPS and coordinates and the information we receive, is that right?

A Yes.

Q I'm going to play this one more time [Video played.] Can you tell the jury the timestamp of where we've paused this?

A Yes, we're looking at 10:53 a.m.

Q And it's 23 seconds into this video on this exhibit, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Where is Mr. Thompson at 10:53 a.m. on January 6th?

A So this location would be considered the Petworth area of Washington, D.C. If you look to the right you can kind of see it's right next to where Children's National Hospital is located.

Q And about how far away is that area from where the rally was taking place?

A This is approximately four miles away from where the rally would be taking place.

Q So is Mr. Thompson at the rally at 10:53 a.m.?

A No, he was not.

MS. ROZZONI: That's all I have, Your Honor. Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SHAMANSKY:

Q Good morning, Agent Thomas.

A Good afternoon.

Q So the, are you aware if this rally Save America rally was broadcast?

A Yes.

Q It was on the radio, correct?

A I'm not sure if it was on the radio.

Q You didn't check into that fact? It was broadcast somehow though, right?

A It was broadcast as we saw on the video.

Q Right. So broadcast online maybe so anybody with a speaker and a cellphone device would be able to hear that Giuliani clip, correct?

A Correct.

Q Whether you were four miles away or 400 miles away?
A Correct.

MR. SHAMANSKY: That's all I have, thank you, sir. THE COURT: Any redirect?

MR. SHAMANSKY: No redirect, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else from the jury? Thank
you, sir. Anything else from the government by way of rebuttal?

MS. ROZZONI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We've now heard all the evidence you will hear in the case. We'll take a ten minute break, and then we'll proceed with the closing arguments.
[Thereupon, Jury exits courtroom at 10:37 a.m.] THE COURT: As to the request as to the timing of when $I$ give my final instructions. I give it after the closing arguments. I know a lot of judges do it ahead of time because if the lawyers want to cite the law then the jury would have already been told what the law is. I don't
do that because $I$ provide counsel with written copies of the instructions.

So if you obviously desire to make reference to the law as long as you indicate verbatim what's in the written instructions $I$ have no problems with you doing that.

MR. SHAMANSKY: We've conferred and I believe that government counsel will utilize some of the Court's instructions in its PowerPoint. We have no objection.

THE COURT: As long as it's verbatim of what the instructions will be $I$ have no problem with that.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Yes, sir. Your Honor, the only last housekeeping issue is pursuant to the Court's order we're going to put the clip of Trump's speech only on a hard drive for $I$ guess to substitute for Exhibit 331. Does that make sense?

MR. DREHER: Yes, Your Honor. The Government's Exhibit 331 is the clip, is actually the entire rally. So right now the government is trying to create a clip that is just the 70 minutes rather than of that speech rather than the entirety of it. If we're unable to do that the alternative would be if the jury ask I suppose to come out and have it played, we could have the timestamp from which to start the video.

THE COURT: Very well. In reference to all of the tapes $I$ will make available a laptop for the jury to have in
the jury room, but in light of the number of video or audio tapes that we have if they want to listen to the, any of the tapes we'll bring them into the courtroom and play it for them here in the courtroom.

Anything else?

MR. SHAMANSKY: No, Your Honor.

MR. DREHER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll take ten minutes and then we'll proceed.
[Thereupon, recess taken at 10:40 a.m., resuming at 10:57 a.m.]
[Thereupon, Jury enters courtroom at 10:59 a.m.]

THE COURT: You may be seated. We'll now have the closing argument of government counsel.

CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT

MR. DREHER: Ladies and gentlemen, this is an easy
case. The only question in this case at this point is
whether the defendant understood that he was doing something illegal when he was inside the senate parliamentarian's office looting it.

Let me give you a quick refresher on what the defendant did that day and what he admitted on the stand. One, he walked into the U.S. Capitol building when he knew it was closed. Two, he admitted on the stand when he was asked, when $I$ asked him, he admitted on the stand that he
knew at some point that it was unlawful to be there. He stole liquor. He stole a coat rack. He admitted that he looted the office. He stole a U.S. Capitol security radio. He admitted on the stand that there was a riot going on and he knew it.

And then he admitted that that night when he was confronted by two special agents and he didn't have a whole mob at his back, when it was just him and his buddy, Robert Lyon, and he had the coat rack with him, stolen property. He admitted that he ran away and he admitted that he ran away because he was afraid he was going to be arrested for what he'd done.

So yes, there is overwhelming proof that the defendant knew that what he had done that day in the Senate parliamentarian's office was illegal, but that's not all you have. Because then the defendant got up and testified. And he couldn't help himself, ladies and gentlemen. This whole case, this whole defense for them if you were to believe it was going to turn on how believable he was, how credible he was on the stand.

But even though the whole case turned on his credibility, Mr. Thompson got up and he took an oath and then he told you several things under oath that are not true. And that's on top of all the other things he said that just defy belief. They were incredible. And I will
walk you through some of the things that he said a little bit later, but I'm just going to start with one. The most recent one that you just heard about, and it's a big one.

When the defendant was testifying he said I got down to the rally at 10 a.m. I asked him again on cross-examination, you got down to the rally at 10 a.m.? He said yes, two times. He was asked by his own lawyer and by me whether he saw Rudy Giuliani say, "trial by combat," when he was at the rally. And then just to make sure there was no confusion about it, $I$ asked him how he could see him. I asked him whether it was too loud from all the noise of the crowd around him, and he said no, I could hear him.

But he wasn't there when Rudy Giuliani said those things. He was in an Uber in Petworth. Not even at the rally yet. So on the key thing that's central to this defense, right, which is oh, the things I heard on the morning of January 6th, that may me think that the law no longer applied to the U.S. Capitol building. I guess I was forgot that it was illegal to steal because of what $I$ heard. On that key thing he didn't even tell you the truth about what he had heard that morning. Okay.

And that's because all of his testimony was a story. The story that he's presenting to you now after the fact, right. It's a story that is not true and that does not hold up based on the evidence that you heard in court
today.

Based on his testimony you'd think what he did that day was everybody else's fault but his. The 36-year-old married man with a college degree who's held many jobs, he apparently was the only one, according to him, who's not at fault for his own conduct that day. So yes, this is an easy case.

Now I am going to get to all the evidence that makes it very obvious why he knew it was unlawful what he was doing that day. First, it's our burden of proof, so I'm going to walk you through the other elements for each of the six counts just so you guys understand that those elements are stipulated, that there are not in dispute at this point.

All right. As $I$ just said almost all the elements in this case have been stipulated between the parties. And as you heard the judge instruct you when we would read those stipulations you can take those as undisputed evidence. In fact, the parties agreed you can take it as having been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. All right. I'm going to start with two counts where the stipulations by themselves establish all of the elements. You don't even have to worry about this question of his testimony or anything like that for these two counts.

Let's start with Count Two, and that's theft of government property, stealing government property, the coat
rack. First element, did he take the coat rack? Yes, he stipulated it. Not only that he took it, he stipulated that he stole it. Second, did the coat rack belong to the United States? Yes, that's agreed by the parties. It's property of the United States. Third, did he intend to deprive without right the United States of the use of that coat rack? Well, $I$ mean it's obvious, right, he still had the coat rack and tried to pick it up and walk away with it hours later. But yes, he also stipulated that he knew the coat rack was the property of the United States and he deprived the owner of the coat rack of its use.

Now it does say did he intend to deprive the United States without right of the coat rack, right? But that's what stealing is. Stealing is taking something without having the right to do it. So when he agreed and admitted that he stole that coat rack he was admitting he was taking that coat rack without right, so that's Count Two.

Count Four, same thing. First element, did Mr. Thompson engage in disorderly and disruptive conduct? Now you all saw the video of what he did in that parliamentarian's office. But in addition, he has stipulated his conduct was disorderly and disruptive in that office. What route did he intend to disrupt government business? And did he actually get to disrupt business? You
don't need to worry about that because the defendant has stipulated that each of those things are true, that he engaged in that conduct and that when he did so he intended to and did in fact disrupt government business.

Now again the evidence was also overwhelming on that that the official session of Congress was interrupted by the actions of these rioters including in that breach into the parliamentarian's office. All right. Lastly for this count, was his conduct in a restricted building or grounds? That's agreed, right. The U.S. Capitol was a restricted building that day, and the U.S. Capitol grounds were a restricted area. So for those two counts you don't really have to worry about anything else. The stipulations along establish those elements along with the overwhelming proof you've heard.

So now let's turn to the four counts where there's just this one remaining question, right, which is did he know what he was doing was illegal. All right. Count One, that's obstruction of an official proceeding. First element, did he obstruct or impede an official proceeding? He has stipulated it. Yes, I did impede and disrupt and obstruct the certification. And he's also stipulated that the certification of the 2020 presidential vote was an official proceeding.
All right, second element. It's going to use a
world that's a little bit unusual. And the question is going to be, did Mr. Thompson act corruptly? So what I've done is I've -- you'll hear an instruction from the judge on what that means, but what I've done is $I$ have broken out what the various components of that instruction are.

So one, did he do something illegal or have an unlawful purpose? Well, he stipulated that he stole two bottles of liquor and that he stole a coat rack. Two, did he intend to obstruct or impede? Again stipulated that he intended to impede, disrupt, or obstruct the certification. And then three, did he have something called consciousness of wrongdoing? That and just means did he know that what he was doing that day was either wrong, for this count either wrong or illegal. All right. And that's the only question remaining for this count at this point.

All right. Count Three, pretty similar. Number one, did Mr. Thompson knowingly enter a restricted building or grounds that day? Again yes, it's been stipulated that he entered U.S. Capitol grounds. You saw him on video entering the U.S. Capitol itself. And it's stipulated that each of those things were restricted on January 6th of 2021. Second, did he have lawful authority to enter? Well, he stipulated that he wasn't authorized by the U.S. Capitol police to enter or any other law enforcement officers or staff and that he knew the building was closed. He admitted
in questioning he knew the building was closed.

And you will hear an instruction from the judge stating that neither former President Donald Trump nor Rudolph Giuliani actually had the power to authorize or make legal the alleged crimes in this case. So there is no question about whether former President Trump could actually make it legal for them to go do the things that they did, for Mr. Thompson to engage in the conduct he engaged in. That's not an issue. President Trump could not make that legal. So no, he did not have lawful authority to enter.

And then there's this last question: Did he know that he lacked lawful authority? Very similar to the last question, right. Did he know that what he was doing was illegal. All right. Count Five and Six, these will be pretty quick. One, first element was he inside the Capitol building? You saw him on tape. He agrees, he was inside the Capitol building. Two, was his conducted disorderly or disruptive? Again, he's agreed to that. Three, did Mr. Thompson intend to disrupt a session of Congress? He has agreed that that was his intent. And four, this is the only issue, did Mr. Thompson act willfully, okay, willfully?

You will hear an instruction from the Court about what willfully means. And what that instruction will tell you is that, I'm just going to pull it up here. A person acts willfully if he knew his conduct was unlawful and he
intended to do something that the law forbids. In other words, to find that a defendant acted willfully you must find the evidence proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted with a purpose to disobey or disregard the law.

Again, if he knew that what he was doing was illegal and did it anyways that would satisfy this willfulness element. Count six, is the exact same issue. Was he inside the Capitol building? Yes. Did he demonstrate inside the Capitol building? And you'll hear an instruction indicating that to demonstrate means to engage in disruptive conduct that disrupts government business. And again he stipulated that his conduct was disruptive and disorderly. And lastly, did he act willfully? Okay.

All right. So for those four counts it really boils down to again, did he know he was engaging in unlawful conduct when he was inside the Senate parliamentarian's Office when he stole those three items that he admitted he? Stole. All right. If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that he did then that's it. All six counts the proof that you've heard today and following the law would lead you to return a verdict of guilty.

But before we talk about the proof that you heard today $I$ just want to clarify two legal issues for you. Because it's been the focus of much of this trial, it was
the focus of the defense attorney's opening statement, and then you just heard in an extremely long video of the former president's speech on the morning of January 6th.

The only defense that the defendant had for his conduct when he got up on the stand was to say this was not my fault. It was President Trump's fault, right, I thought I was following his orders. And at the beginning of this case defense counsel came up and he told you about all of the horrible things you were going to hear President Trump had done and that former President Trump had said on January 6th.

He talked all about what Donald Trump. He pointed the finger at him again and again and again. So let's talk about why he was doing that and what he's doing. Defense counsel wants you to focus so much on what President Trump said on the morning of January 6th, because he wants you to forget what his client did on the afternoon of January 6th, when he was looting and ransacking an office within the United States Capitol building.

He wants you to choose between President Trump and his client, Mr. Thompson, right, that you can only find that one of them committed a crime that day. Or that one of them maybe is worse than the other. Ladies and gentlemen, you don't have to choose because this is not President Trump's criminal trial. This is the trial of Dustin Thompson, a

36-year-old man who went of his own accord to the United States Capitol. And this is the trial for Dustin Thompson because of what he did at that Capitol building on the afternoon of January 6th.

And that's what the judge is going to instruct you after we're done with our closing arguments. He will tell you it is not up to you, it is not up to you to decide whether anyone other than the defendant should be prosecuted for any of the crimes charged. The fact that another person also may be guilty is no defense to a criminal charge. And the question of the possible guilt of others should not enter your thinking as you decide whether this defendant has been proved guilty of the crimes charged.

Okay. As long as Mr. Thompson knew that what he was doing was illegal when he was in this office it doesn't, it is essentially irrelevant in this case what you think about President Trump's conduct on that day. So again you can believe and agree with almost everything the defense attorney said in his opening statement, right. You can think President Trump lied to the American people. You can think President Trump engineered this whole stolen election thing.

You can think that on the morning of January 6th, President Trump was encouraging the crowd. You can think that President Trump wanted them to go to the Capitol. You
can think that when they got to the Capitol they were doing things that made President Trump happy. You can even think that they did those things because of President Trump because that's not the question, right. The question is when they did those things did they know that it was illegal? And the answer to that question, ladies and gentlemen, is obvious.

All right. Now as $I$ also mentioned you don't need to think about whether he had some kind of actual authorization from the president, okay. Because the judge is going to instruct you neither former President Donald Trump or Rudolph Giuliani actually had the power to make legal the alleged crimes charged in this case. Again, Mr. Trump could not get up there and authorize these crimes, so you don't have to worry about that.

All right. So let's talk about why it's so obvious that what he did that day, what he knew he was doing that day was illegal. I'm going to give you six reasons. There are many more, but $I$ will try to keep it to these sick. Number one, he admitted it. He got up on the stand, and when $I$ was asking him questions I asked him you were pepper sprayed. You were tear gassed. He said that yes, he could at least smell the pepper sprayed. He had been tear gassed.

And I said and you knew that's because the capitol
police wanted you to leave the area. He said yes. And I asked him because you knew it was unlawful to be there and he said yes. So you can trust at least that admission from the defendant. That's enough right there. He was aware that it was unlawful to be there when he was there that day.

But this whole case is just common sense. How else do you know he knew what he was doing was illegal, because he's on tape stealing not once twice. He goes into the Capitol building he steals a bottle of liquor. That is looting, ladies and gentlemen. There is no connection between trying to steal a bottle of liquor and whatever he thinks President Trump told him to do on the morning of January 6. That is just looting behavior and he admitted that he looted that office when he was on the stand.

And he was 36 years old. He's a grown man. He's an adult. He told you $I$ knew that it was illegal to steal in 2020 before January 6th. And then he said I knew it was illegal to steal on January 7th, the day after January 6th. So $I$ guess the idea is just that one day when $I$ actually did steal that's the day that he forgot that it was illegal to steal. That's not believable, ladies and gentlemen. It's not credible at all.

All right. Number three, his entire testimony was filled as $I$ mentioned with these incredible statements. And for that reason you don't have to believe his testimony
about what he felt that day or why he was doing the things that he was doing. Some of the things that he said were just easy to disprove like where he was at 10:53 a.m., when Rudy Giuliani said those things. Not at the rally. In an Uber in Petworth.

But there were other things that he said that you may recall. Some of them were somewhat strange. He said that he found this bulletproof vest near the Washington monument. Found it and took it. And then do you remember when he said that he only put it on because he felt like it was easier to carry it that way than just by carrying it. No one made him take this bulletproof vest. Why would he take it and put it on, because he knew, he anticipated that there was going to be violence at the Capitol and he chose to go anyways.

And he didn't -- this was not some emotional spur of the moment choice either, right. There was, you saw this, this map. This shows his locations from when the speech ended until when he actually got to the United States Capitol building. Speech ended you heard around 1:15 p.m. Where is he at that time, still near the Washington monument. Then he goes all the way around the backside of the White House up near Farragut Square and comes back down Pennsylvania Avenue towards the Capitol building which he doesn't get to until after 2 o'clock p.m.

He had that whole hour as an adult to make the choice not to go steal those things at the capitol, and he chose to do it anyways. And you remember he claimed at the time even though when $I$ asked him about that, that even though he's a 36 year old adult, even though he had taken an Uber downtown earlier that day. He just wasn't sure if he could find his way home, right. He said I don't know if there were public buses. I didn't know about the Metro, right.

I asked him if he could stop for lunch and maybe just not go. He said, well, I don't know, I didn't see any places. I didn't see any places on that entire walk where I could have stopped and had lunch.

Ladies and gentlemen, these kinds of statements are not credible. Then he said when $I$ got to the parliamentarian's office, when $I$ got to the parliamentarian's office $I$ was just there to observe. Remember when he said that? I was just there to observe. Well, here's what he was doing in the parliamentarian's office.
[Video played.]

MR. DREHER: Does that seem like just an observer to you? Then $I$ asked him again and he admitted actually that he had looted that office while he was in there. Then he said he went to the north doors, the north doors, right,
where you heard Officer Marcus Reyes testify things were so desperate he had to use a fire extinguisher to try to keep these rioters out. And you saw the video of those rioters picking up the bike rack and ramming it into the doors of the Capitol, and there was the defendant right there, right next to those doors, right.

And when I, I asked him I said at this point you still wanted to go inside the building. And he said no, actually I left. I walked away at that point. That's interesting because you heard what was on his phone. And it's stipulated between the parties that he was in the vicinity of the north doors around 4 o'clock p.m. And what did he say? What did he text to his buddy Robert Lyon? Again, he said when he was on the stand he said he was there to observe. But at 4:03 p.m., he text his buddy, Robert Lyon, "going inside."

And then if you had any confusion what he was talking about when he said that he then three minutes later text a photo of the north doors with someone else pointing inside. So when he said no, no, no, I didn't really want to go inside at the north doors on the stand that was not credible. That was not true. It's belied by the statements he made, the text messages between him and his co-defendant that day.

Okay. And that gets me to the most ridiculous
statement that he made. And that was, I'm sure you remember this, when he said the only reason $I$ took the coat rack was to protect U.S. Capitol police officers. I actually took that coat rack to prevent other rioters from using it as a weapon. That is absurd, ladies and gentlemen. He still had that coat rack three hours later, blocks away from the Capitol building.

And if he wanted to help the police he would not have been there that day. If he wanted to help the police he would have left and gone for good the first time they directed him out of the building rather than coming back in to steal the coat rack. If he wanted to help the police he would have left after that confrontation at the north doors with Officer Reyes. And if he wanted to help the police he would not have stood there for 20 minutes watching people viciously assault officers at the lower west tunnel.

Look, it's been a year, over a year since January 6th, but Mr. Thompson when he got up on the stand did not have his story straight. His lawyer got up and told you Mr. Thompson's going to tell you the reason he did these things in the parliamentarian's office is because he thought he was ordered to do it by the president. But that's not really what Mr . Thompson testified to when he testified right.

When he was asked by his lawyer why did you steal
the coat rack? He said, I don't know. He didn't say because it was legal because the president had suspended all the laws and now stealing was legal inside the United States Capitol building, right. And then he told that story about actually wanting to protect other people. So the asserted defense here doesn't even make sense. It's not consistent with his own testimony. And when the proof is overwhelming and the defense doesn't make sense your job as the jury is very easy.

All right. Number four, what did he see when he was at the Capitol? He heard that loud ringing alarm. He saw the crowd inside. He said he didn't see the broken glass on the floor, but then he saw the photo of him standing right over it. He admitted he saw the broken glass inside the office. He saw everybody else in the parliamentarian's office looting that office. Anyone in there that day in that office knew that what was going on was illegal. There are the broken windows in the parliamentarian's office.

And he also admitted again he had been pepper sprayed and tear gassed that day, right. Not exactly things that normally happen to you using your common sense, ladies and gentlemen, when you enter a federal building lawfully.

Okay. Number five, what was Mr. Thompson told? These are the tear gas and pepper spray images that $I$ was
just telling you about. What was Mr. Thompson told while he was at the Capitol, right. What did other people say to him? Well, you heard about some of these text messages. Mr. Lyon told him at 4:02 p.m., "We need to get the 'F' out with this trophy," you can infer is the coat rack, right. Mr. Lyon had it at that time. You saw him on CCTV footage.

Then Lyon says, "I'm about to get shot or arrested for this BS. People want it." Arrested, that's what he told Mr. Thompson, I'm about to get arrested for being in possession of this stolen property. That's at 4:03 p.m. Mr. Thompson didn't leave Capitol grounds for over an hour after that. He was still at the north doors. Mr. Thompson then said going inside. Mr. Lyon says, "some girl died already." And even though he heard that, Mr. Thompson says, "Was it Pelosi?" So Mr. Thompson had already been told at least someone had died in the Capitol building and that was his response.

Then at 4:24, his wife text this picture, picture of Trump saying or at least the quote says, "It's time to go home," right. And Mr. Thompson sent some text messages after this. He sent one at 4:56 p.m. saying, "I'm trying to take our country back." So if he could send those messages he could also receive those text messages. But at 4:24 p.m., when he got this message did he go home? No. That's when he walked down to the lower West Terrace and
watched those officers being assaulted in the lower west tunnel. All right. And here's that assault.
[Video played.]

MR. DREHER: That brings you to number six. What did he do when he was finally confronted and he was not part of the mob, he ran away. He admitted on the stand he ran away because he was afraid of being arrested. Why was he afraid of being arrest? Because he knew that he had just committed crimes on U.S. Capitol grounds. And then what else, later that night after his buddy is stopped by the Capitol police.

His buddy sends him a text says, "maybe don't send incriminating shit to my phone, might get warranted." He's nervous maybe the police were going to look through his phone. What does incriminating mean? Something that suggests guilt. Something that is, that is evidence potentially of a crime. And how did Mr. Thompson reply to that text message, he said, you know, "well, I've got this photo. This photo of me on Capitol grounds with a stolen coat rack standing right next to me."

So look, ladies and gentlemen, this case is easy. The guy's on tape looting and stealing. He told you himself on the stand that at some point he knew it was unlawful to be there. And when he tried to get up on the stand and tell you this story he really thought it was okay. He couldn't
tell the truth about many of the things that he said. And including the most basic thing, right, what did he actually hear. Where was he the morning of January 6th, when he supposedly heard these statements that led him to go down there and do this stuff. He knew he was doing something illegal.

And when you follow the judge's instructions and you follow the evidence in this case you should return a verdict of guilty on all six counts. Thank you.

THE COURT: Defense may proceed.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Thank you, Judge Walton.

## CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

MR. SHAMANSKY: Ladies and gentlemen, good
morning. I as does Mr. Thompson and Mr. Regensburger appreciate the attention and the commitment and the effort that you've shown thus far, and willing to hear the facts of this case and follow the law as Judge Walton gives it to you. That's all I'm going to ask you to do, nothing more, nothing less. I'll ask you to rely on your collective judgment and do in your hearts that which you know is right and is fair and is just. That's what this case is about.

Now curiously the government lawyers and we have agreed factually on most things. So Dustin Thompson has never sought to avoid responsibility for his conduct. In fact, he has stipulated. He has agreed to the conduct.

What the government may say is of course he did it's all on TV, and that's true. This shameful chapter in our history is all on TV.

And as you ponder the instructions that Judge Walton gives, which $I$ know you will, you'll follow the instructions regarding reasonable doubt. You'll understand that on Counts Two and Four relative not only to the theft of government property, but also with respect to disorderly conduct not a whole lot of question about that. Should Dustin Thompson have swiped that coat rack and that bottle of booze, of course not. Was wrong and he knew it was wrong.

What I'm going to encourage you to do on Counts One, Three, Five, and Six is focus on the mental element, really the only issue that's at play here. And that is, did Mr. Thompson at least with respect to the first count did he behave corruptly? And you'll read Judge Walton's instructions. He's gone to great lengths to define these terms for you. You're all highly intelligent people and you'll read them and you'll think about what these words mean.

But a few days ago $I$ stood up here and I explained to you the nature of our defense, and it hasn't changed. You think about human nature. You think about human behavior. You think about Dustin Thompson and you try to
put himself in his head because that's what I'll ask you to do.

And there's some red herrings here. The government lawyers are clever, of course. On cross of Mr. Thompson they asked, you know, was it loud? Could you hear? And what I'm going to ask you to consider is your collective recollection of that answer. He said $I$ heard it because I had the radio. Does that make him a liar? No. Events that happened a year ago, is he the most scripted witness in the world? No. Did he have everything a 100 percent straight? No.

Did he make maybe one of the most foolish statements that's I've heard in the courtroom for a while. I took that coat rack so somebody else wouldn't use it as a weapon. I mean that's foolish, right? It doesn't make much sense in the context of this case or in the context of this documented behavior. But it's probably not too hard to understand how Mr. Thompson situated as he is on this witness stand in this grand courtroom might try to minimize for whatever reason swiping that coat rack. It was wrong on every level. And he stipulated so nobody had to run around and try to prove that which is so apparent to us all.

But as you step back, as I want you to do, and you think about this Capitol, this building, the grandeur and the history of this city. When you think to yourself I've
got a man and a group of his supporters feeding information to folks about the election. Mr. Thompson testified in no uncertain terms he consumed these lies and this misinformation. All of which you folks saw repeated on that Trump video. All the buzz words. All the hatred of the immigration and the walls. The lies about the size of the crowd.

The subtle, you know, if you don't fight like hell we won't have a country anymore. Who says that? Who does that to a group of folks assembled at a Save America Rally? And if you look at those, many of those folks I mean you wouldn't want to be near them with a 100 foot pole. They were violent. They were full of rage. They were full of anger with their head tattoos and their horns and their outfits and their disrespect for law enforcement.

Those are the folks in large part that were gathered. And they're to be distinguished from folks like Dustin Thompson. And as you think about your own personal experiences, folks that you know who have been manipulated, whose heads have been twisted and fed with this dribble and these lies, bombarded with it. The vulnerable are seduced by the strong, and that's what happened here.

You had frankly a gangster who was in power and making his statements on stage. He wanted that group on January 6th, on the day that our elected officials were to
be certifying a vote he in a cold and calculating manner urged and implored and whipped up this crowd to march down Pennsylvania Avenue, and I'll be right there with you. That's precisely what Mr. Thompson did.

And that is probably the most difficult part of what the government calls an easy case. This would be an easy case if on his own Mr. Thompson had traveled from Columbus, Ohio to Washington, DC, and ran into that building and oh, stop, started throwing things around and looting and stealing. That would be an easy case. But here as you read Judge Walton's instructions, as I know you will, and they are accurate and 100 percent bona fide statements of law which you're duty bound to follow, you must focus on the element of corruptly and read what knowingly says, please.

And ask yourselves individually, collectively, working together whether or not Dustin Thompson as he sat and as he was situated on January 6 th of last year was susceptible, was vulnerable, was at maybe his lowest point. And I'm not making excuses. We haven't done that. Dustin Thompson got up there and told you what he did. He said he was sorry.

And he said that whomever asked that intelligent question about when did you realize you were misled? Yeah, that's a good question. I wish I'd ask that. When did you realize you were misled? When did you realize you were
used? When did you realize that you were a pawn in this sick game? This assault on our democracy? This assault on our police officers? This assault on the integrity of the very soul of this country all for an evil and sinister man who would stop at nothing to get his way on January 6th?

It was a desperate measure and it worked. Because it cajoled Dustin Thompson out of work for a year, sitting at home. You heard his wife. You heard the government say, oh gee, basically you do anything to lie for him. No, she said I'm here to tell the truth, right. We didn't agree. I wish he'd never gone. He was sitting at home for a year. He wanted to go. I got him a hotel room.

And what's the reason Dustin was here? You know the reasons. You folks know why he came. You folks know what was asked of him. I'm going to ask you in your hearts and in your heads to do the right thing. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Government.

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT

MR. DREHER: What did I tell you, ladies and gentlemen. Pointing the finger at Donald Trump because defense counsel doesn't want you to think about what his client did that day.

There's only one question that you are to consider at this point, right. The judge is going to read you an
instruction. It's going to say you -- "neither former President Donald Trump nor Rudy Giuliani actually had the power to authorize or make legal the alleged crimes charged in this case." And he's going to read you another instruction and that's going to say, "it's not up to you to decide whether anyone other than the defendant should be prosecuted for any of the crimes charged. The fact that another person also may be guilty is no defense to a criminal charge."

Mr. Thompson admitted on the stand that he knew when he was on Capitol grounds that it was unlawful based on the actions of the police. It's obvious he knew it was unlawful. And that's the only question you have to answer. This sideshow about the defense attorney trying to make you mad about President Trump is just a sideshow. It's not something that you can permissibly consider in construing the evidence and determining whether the government has proven all of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt.

I'll even go further, as $I$ said you can agree with 95 percent of what the defense attorney has told you. You can be mad about what happened that day. You can think what President Trump did was wrong. You can think that what he said that day led them to go do what they did. It doesn't matter as long as you find, if you find that Mr. Thompson knew that what he was doing was unlawful.

He's 36 years old on January 6th. He's married. He's got college degrees. He's an adult not a child. President Trump didn't hold his hand as he walked down to the Capitol to loot and defile the Senate parliamentarian's office, when he stole those things. That's what this case is about. Find him guilty based on the evidence, based on the law. Maybe that will help him in this case understand that what happened that day was criminal. Thank you.

THE COURT: Let me give the court reporter a ten minute break and I'll give you the instructions of law. We'll take a ten minute break.
[Thereupon, Jury exits courtroom at 11:44 a.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. We'll take ten minutes. One moment. I wasn't sure -- you can be seated. But at some point couple times during the trial it appeared to me that juror number 14 might have been sleeping. I know a couple of times she had her head down and it appeared she was not fully alert. I don't know if counsel saw that or not, but $I$ meant to mention it earlier because $I$ saw it during the testimony and $I$ saw it again during the argument, but $I$ don't know if counsel wants to weigh in.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Your Honor, I didn't notice that. That was not the juror that worked late?

THE COURT: The one all the way at the end on the top row at the end.

MR. SHAMANSKY: I think at this point there's not much to be said. If she hasn't paid attention it cuts both ways I'm assuming.

THE COURT: Well, it seem to me the other 12 jurors clearly were attentive. She at times I thought she was not, but $I$ can't definitively say but $I$ did have the impression that she probably appeared to be sleeping.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Your Honor, what would you think of the idea based on your observations and those which I believe are shared by counsel making her the alternate?

THE COURT: I don't have a problem with that. MR. DREHER: That's fine with the government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Obviously we have a problem if one of the jurors during deliberations becomes unavailable to then substitute with her. Because like I say I have my concerns whether she was totally with us during the entire trial. We'll cross that bridge when we get to it. I'll release her as the alternative and then have juror in seat number 8 sit as one of the regular jurors. Okay. We'll take a ten minute break.
[Thereupon, recess taken at 11:47 a.m., resuming at 12:01 p.m.]
[Thereupon, Jury enters courtroom at 12:04 p.m.]

## JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY THE COURT

THE COURT: Okay. Having now heard all of the evidence that you will hear in the trial and having heard the arguments of the parties it is now my obligation to give you the instructions that you are to apply in deciding this case. I will carefully go through the instructions so hopefully at the conclusion you will have a full appreciation of what the law is. However, as I told you in my preliminary instructions $I$ will make available for you several written copies of the instructions so you can have those to read. I also will be taping the instructions and make the recorder and the tape available to you.

Now my function as the judge is to conduct the trial of the case in an orderly, fair and efficient manner. As the judge $I$ also had to rule upon questions of law arising during the trial, and must now tell you the law that applies in this case. It is your duty to accept the law as I state it to you without questioning the wisdom of these instructions. In other words, even if you disagree or do not understand the reasons for the instructions you must nonetheless follow them.

Your function as jurors is to decide the facts of the case. You are the only judges of the facts. You alone determine the weight, the effect, and the value of the evidence and the believability of the witnesses. You should
decide the facts only from a fair evaluation of all of the evidence without prejudice, sympathy, fear or favoritism.

Now as I indicated I will provide you with a copy of the instructions and a tape recording of the instructions. During your deliberations you may if you want refer to these instructions. While you may refer to any particular portion of the instructions you are to consider the instructions as a whole and you may not follow some and ignore others. If you have any questions about the instructions you should feel free to send me a note. Please return the instructions to me when your verdict is rendered.

Now your function as the jury is to determine what the facts are in this case. You are the sole judges of the facts. While it is my responsibility to decide what is admitted as evidence during the trial, you alone decide what weight, if any, to give to that evidence. You alone decide the credibility or the believability of the witnesses.

As human beings we all have personal likes and dislikes, opinions, prejudices, and biases. Generally we are aware of those things, but you also should consider the possibility that you have what are called implicit biases. That is biases of which you may not consciously be aware. Personal prejudices, preferences or biases have no place in a courtroom where our goal is to arrive at a just and impartial verdict.

All people deserve fair treatment in our system of justice regardless of any personal characteristics such as race, national or ethnic origin, religion, age, disability, sex, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, education, political association or beliefs or income level.

You should determine the facts solely from a fair consideration of the evidence. You should decide the case without prejudice, fear, sympathy, favoritism or consideration of public opinion. You may not take anything I may have said or done during the trial or any of my legal instructions as indicating how I think you should decide the case. If you believe that $I$ have expressed or indicated any such opinion you should ignore it. The verdict in this case is your sole and exclusive responsibility.

Now if any reference either by me or the attorneys to evidence is different from your own memory of the evidence it is your memory that should control during your deliberations. Now during your deliberations you may consider only the evidence properly admitted in this trial. The testimony in this case consist of the sworn testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits that were admitted into evidence the facts of which $I$ took judicial notice, although $I$ did not take judicial notice of anything in this case. And facts and testimony stipulated to by the parties.

During the trial you were told that the parties
had stipulated, that is, agreed to certain facts. You should consider any stipulated fact as disputed evidence. When you consider the evidence you are permitted to draw from the facts that you find have been proven such reasonable inferences as you feel are justified in light of your experience. You should give the evidence -- I'm sorry, you should give any evidence such weight as in your judgment it is fairly entitled to receive.

Now there are two types of evidence from which you may determine what the facts are in this case, direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. When a witness such as an eyewitness states that he or she has actual knowledge of a fact that witness' testimony is direct evidence. On the other hand, evidence of a fact and circumstances from which you reasonably in may -- I'm sorry, let me go over that again.

On the other hand, evidence of fact and circumstances from which reasonable inference may be drawn is what is called circumstantial evidence. Let me give you an example. Assume a person looks out a window and saw that snow was falling. If he later testifies in court about what he had seen his testimony would be direct evidence that snow was falling at the time he saw it happen. Assume however, that he looked out the window and saw no snow on the ground and then went to sleep and saw snow on the ground after he
woke up. His testimony about what he had seen after waking up would be circumstantial evidence that it had snowed while he was asleep.

The law says that both direct and circumstantial evidence are acceptable as a means of proving a fact. The law does not favor one form of evidence over another. If you decide it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any particular evidence whether it is direct or circumstantial. You are permitted to give equal weight to both. Circumstantial evidence does not require a greater degree of certainty than direct evidence. In reaching a verdict in this case you should consider all of the evidence presented both direct and circumstantial.

Now the weight of the evidence is not necessarily determined by the number of witnesses testifying for either side. Rather, you should consider all of the facts and circumstances in evidence to determine which of the witnesses you believe. You might find that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side is more believable than the testimony of a greater number of witnesses on the other side or you may find the opposite.

Now you have heard evidence regarding statements made by former president Donald Trump and Rudolph Giuliani on January 6, 2021. This evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose. That is, that is its potential impact on
the intent required to establish the defendant's guilt on the offenses he has been charged with committing in this case if you conclude that the defendant heard those statements.

You're not to consider that evidence for any other purpose. Neither former president Donald Trump nor Rudy Giuliani actually had the power to authorize or make legal the alleged crimes charged in this case. Again, the evidence regarding their statements may be only used in your assessment as to whether the defendant had the required intent to commit the crimes for which he has been charged.

Now the superseding indictment that you've heard made reference to is merely the formal way of accusing a person of a crime. You must not consider the superseding indictment as evidence of any evidence of any kind. You may not consider it as any evidence of Dustin Thompson's guilt or draw any inference of guilt from it.

Now statements and the arguments of the lawyers are not evidence. They are only intend to assist you in understanding the evidence. Similarly the questions of the lawyer are no evidence. Now the lawyers in this case sometimes objected when the other side asked a question, made an argument or offered evidence that the objecting lawyer believed was not proper. You must not hold such objections against a lawyer who made them or the party he or
she represents. It is the lawyer's responsibility to object to evidence that they believe is not admissible.

If during the course of the trial I sustained an objection to a lawyer's question, you should ignore the question and you must not speculate as to what the answer would have been. If a witness answered a question I ruled that, I ruled that the answer should be stricken, you should ignore both the question and the answer and they should play no part in your deliberations. Likewise, exhibits as to which $I$ have sustained an objection or that $I$ ordered stricken are not evidence and you must not consider them in your deliberations.

Now during the course of the trial a number of exhibits were admitted into evidence. Sometimes only portions of an exhibit were admitted such as portions of a longer video, a document with some words or pictures blacked out or otherwise removed, or a video played without audio. There are a variety of reasons why only a portion of the evidence is admitted including that other portions are inadmissible or implicate an individual's privacy.

As you examine the evidence and you see or hear portions where there appear to be omissions you should consider only the portions that were admitted. You should not guess as to what has been taken out and why and you should not hold that against either party. You are to
decide the facts only from the evidence that is before you. Now every defendant in a criminal case is presumed to be innocent. This presumption of innocence remains with the defendant throughout the trial unless and until the government has proven he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden of proof never shifts throughout the trial. The law does not require Dustin Thompson to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all.

If you find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt every element of a particular offense with which Dustin Thompson is charged it is your duty to find him guilty of that offense. On the other hand, if you find that the government has failed to prove any element of a particular offense beyond a reasonable doubt it is your duty to find Dustin Thompson not guilty of that offense.

Now the government has the burden of proving Dustin Thompson's guilt -- has the burden of proving that he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. In a civil case it is only necessary to prove that a fact is more likely true than not or in some cases that its truth is highly probable. In a criminal case such as this one the government must the government's proof must be more powerful than that. It must be beyond a reasonable doubt.

Reasonable doubt as the name implies is a doubt based upon reason. A doubt for which you have a reason
based upon the evidence or the lack of evidence in the case. If after careful, honest and impartial consideration of all of the evidence you cannot say that you are firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt then you have a reasonable doubt. Reasonable doubt is a kind of doubt that would cause a reasonable person after carefull and thoughtful reflection to hesitate to act in the graver or the more important matters of life.

However, it is not an imaginary doubt nor a doubt based on speculation or guesswork. It is a doubt based on reason. The government is not required to prove guilt beyond all doubt, or to a mathematical certainty or a scientific certainty. Its burden is to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now in determining whether the government has proved the charges against the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt you must consider the testimony of all of the witnesses who have testified during this trial. You are the sole judges of the credibility or the believability of the witnesses. You alone determine whether to believe any witness and the extent to which any witness should be believed.

Judging a witness's credibility or believability means evaluating whether the witness has testified truthfully and whether the witness accurately observed,
recalled or described the matters about which the witness testified. You may consider anything in your judgment that effects the credibility or believability of any witness.

For example, you may consider the demeanor and the behavior of the witness on the witness stand. The witness' manner of testifying. Whether the witness impresses you as a truthful individual. Whether the witness impresses you as having an accurate memory. Whether the witness has any reason for not telling the truth. Whether the witness had a meaningful opportunity to observe the matters about which he or she has testified. Whether the witness has any interest in the outcome of this case, stands to gain anything by testifying or has friendship or hostility toward other persons concerned with this case.

In evaluating the accuracy of a witness' memory you may consider the circumstances surrounding the event including the time that elapses between the event and any later recollection of expressed memory of the event, and the circumstances under which the witness was asked to recall the details of the event.

You may consider whether there are any consistencies or inconsistencies in a witness' testimony or between the witness' testimony and any previous statements made by the witness. You may consider any consistencies or inconsistencies between the witness' testimony and any other
evidence that you credit. You may consider whether any inconsistencies are the result of lapse of memory, mistake or misunderstanding, intentional falsehood or difference in perception.

You may consider the reasonableness or the unreasonableness, the probability or improbability of the testimony of a witness in determining whether to accept it as true and accurate. You may consider whether the witness has been contradicted or supported by other evidence that you credit. If you believe that any witness has shown him or herself to be bias or prejudice for or against either side in this trial or motivated by self interest you may consider and determine whether such bias or prejudice has colored the testimony of the witness so as to effect the desire and the capability of that witness to tell the truth. You should give the testimony of each witness such weight as in your judgment it is fairly entitled to receive.

Now a police officer or law enforcement officer's testimony should be evaluated by you just as any other evidence in the case. In evaluating the officer's credibility you should use the same guidelines that you apply to the testimony of any other witness. In no event should you give either greater or lesser weight to the testimony of a witness merely because he or she is a police or law enforcement officer.

You are here to decide whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crimes charged. The defendant is not on trial for any act, crime or conduct not charged in the superseding indictment. It is not up, I'm sorry. It is not up to you to decide whether anyone other than the defendant should be prosecuted for any crimes charged. The fact that another person may also be guilty is no defense to a criminal charge. The question of the possible guilt of others should not enter into your thinking as you decide whether the defendant has been proven guilty of the crimes charged in this case.

Now one of the questions you were asked when we were selecting this jury was whether the nature of the charges itself or the charge themselves would affect your ability to reach a fair and impartial verdict. We ask you that question because you must not allow the nature of the charges to consider your verdict. You must consider only the evidence that has been presented in this case to reach a fair and impartial verdict.

Now each count of the indictment charges a separate offense. You should consider each offense and the evidence which applies to it separately and you should return separate verdicts as to reach count. The fact that you may find the defendant guilty or not guilty on any one
count of the indictment should not influence your verdict with respect to the other counts of the indictment. At anytime during your deliberations you may return your verdict of guilty or not guilty with respect to any counts. Count one of the superseding indictment charges Dustin Thompson with corruptly obstructing an official proceeding which is a violation of federal law. In order to find the defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the government has proved each of the following two elements beyond a reasonable doubt.

First, that the defendant attempted to or did obstruct or impede any official proceeding; and second, that the defendant acted corruptly. If you find that the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements of this charge as $I$ have just instructed you the defendant's motive in acting is not relevant. To obstruct or impede means to block, interfere with or slow the progress of an official proceeding.

The term official proceeding includes a proceeding before the United States Congress. As used in count one of the superseding indictment the term official proceeding means Congress' joint session to certify the presidential electoral college vote. An official proceeding need not be actually pending or instituted at the time of the offense. If the official proceeding was not actually pending or about
to be instituted the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the official proceeding was reasonably foreseeable to the defendant, and that the natural and probable effect of the defendant's conduct was to obstruct the official proceeding.

Corruptly means to act knowingly with intent to obstruct or impede an official proceeding and with consciousness of the wrongdoing of the act. To act corruptly the defendant must have used unlawful means or act with an unlawful purpose or both. Consciousness of wrongdoing means an understanding or awareness that what the person is doing is wrong or unlawful.

Not all attempts to obstruct or impede an official proceeding amounts to acting corruptly. For example, a witness in a court proceeding may refuse to testify by invoking his constitutional privilege against self incrimination thereby obstructing or impeding the proceeding, but he is not acting corruptly. In contrast an individual who obstructs or impedes a court proceeding by bribing a witness to refuse to testify in that proceeding or by engaging in other independently unlawful conduct does act corruptly.

In Count one of the superseding indictment Dustin Thompson is also charged with attempt to commit the crime of obstructing an official proceeding. The elements of the
crime of attempting obstruction an official proceeding each of which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt are first, that the defendant intended to commit the crime of obstruction of an official proceeding as $I$ have just defined that offense. And second, that the defendant engaged in conduct that constituted a substantial step toward committing obstruction of an official proceeding as I have just defined that offense.

You may not find the defendant guilty of attempt to commit obstruction of an official proceeding merely because he made some plans or had some preparation in committing the crime. Instead, you must find the defendant took some firm, clear, undeniable action to accomplish his intent to commit obstruction of an official proceeding. However, the substantial step element does not require the government to prove that the defendant did everything except the last act necessary to complete the actual commission of the crime.

Now Count two of the superseding indictment charges Dustin Thompson with theft of United States government property which is a violation of federal law. The elements of the crime of theft of United States government property, each of which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt are; first, that the defendant took a coat rack. Second, that the coat rack belonged to
the United States government at the time it was taken. And third, that when the defendant took the coat rack he intended to deprive without right the United States government of the use or benefit of the coat rack.

Now Count III of the superseding indictment charges Dustin Thompson with entering or remaining in a restricted building or ground which is a violation of federal law. In order to find the defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the government prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt. First, that the defendant entered or remained in a restricted building or ground without unlawful authority do so, and second, that the defendant did so knowingly.

Now the term restricted building or grounds means any posted or cordon off or otherwise restricted area of a building or grounds where a person protected by secret, by the Secret Service is or will be temporarily visiting. The term person protected by the Secret Service includes the vice president and the immediate family of the vice president.

Now a person acts knowingly if he realizes what he id doing and is aware of the nature of his conduct and does not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. In deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly you may consider all of the evidence presented during this trial including what
if anything the defendant did or said.

Now Count Four of the superseding indictment charges Dustin Thompson with disorderly or disruptive conduct in a restricted building or grounds which is a violation of federal law. In order to find the defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the government proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt.

First, that the defendant engaged in disorderly or disruptive conduct. Second, that the defendant did so knowingly and with intent to impede or corrupt the orderly conduct of government business or official functions. Third, that the defendant's conduct, I'm sorry, that the defendant's conduct was in a restricted building or grounds, and fourth, that the defendant's conduct in fact impeded or disrupted the orderly conduct of government business or official functions.

Disorderly conduct occurs when a person acts in such a manner as to cause another person to be in reasonable fear that a person or property in a person's immediate possession is likely to be harmed or taken, uses words to produce violence on the part others, is unreasonably loud and disruptive under the circumstances or interferes with another person by pushing, shoving or unnecessarily crowding that person. Disruptive conduct is a disturbance that
interrupts an event, activity or normal course of a process. Now the definition of knowingly that $I$ provided previously equally applies to this offense.

Now Count Five of the superseding indictment charges Dustin Thompson with disorderly or disruptive conduct in a Capitol building which is a violation of federal law. In order to find the defendant guilty of this offense the government must prove each of the following four elements beyond a reasonable doubt. First, that the defendant was inside the United States Capitol building. Second, that the defendant uttered loud, threatening or abusive language or engaged in disorderly or disruptive active conduct.

Third, that the defendant acted with intent to impede, disrupt or disturb the orderly conduct of a session of the United States Congress or either House of the United States Congress. The two houses of the United States Congress are the United States Senate and United States House of representatives and fourth, that the defendant acted willfully and and knowingly. The government is not required to prove that the defendant actually impeded, disrupted or disturbed the orderly conduct of a session. Only that he intended to do so.

A defendant acts willfully if he knew his conduct was unlawful and he intended to do something that the law
forbids. In other words, to find that the defendant acted willfully you must find that the evidence proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted with a purpose to disobey or disregard the law. Willfully does not require, however -- I'm sorry, willfully does not require, however however require proof that the defendant had any evil motive or bad purpose only the purpose to disobey or disregard the law. The definition of knowingly that $I$ previously provided to you equally applies to this offense.

You are instructed that for purposes of Count five of the superseding indictment the orderly conduct of a session of the United States Congress or either House of the United States Congress includes all of the actions of the joint session of Congress convened on January 6, 2021 to certify the electoral college presidential election of 2020 .

Now Count Six of the superseding indictment charged Dustin Thompson with parading, demonstrating or picketing in a Capitol building which is a violation of federal law. In order to find the defendant guilty of this offense you must find that the government proved each of the three elements beyond a reasonable doubt. First, that the defendant was inside the United States Capitol building. Second, that the defendant paraded, demonstrated or picketing, and third, the defendant acted willfully and knowingly.

The term parade and picket have the ordinary meaning of what amounts to parading and picketing. That would disrupt the orderly business of Congress by for example impeding or obstructing passageways, hearings or meetings, but does not include activity such as quiet praying. The term willfully and knowingly that $I$ previously provided to you equally apply to this offense.

Now someone's intent, knowledge or willfulness ordinarily cannot be proved directly because there's no way of knowing what a person is actually thinking. But you may infer someone's intent, knowledge or willfulness from the surrounding circumstances. You may consider any statement made or act done or omitted by Mr. Thompson and all other facts and circumstances received in evidence which indicate his intent, knowledge or willful.

You may infer, but are not required to infer that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts intentionally done or intentionally, or that the person's intentionally did not do. It is entirely up to you however to decide what facts to find from the evidence received during the trial. You should consider all the circumstances in evidence that you think are relevant in determining whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Dustin Thompson acted with the necessary state of mind.

Now the verdict must represented the considered judgment of each juror. And in order to return a verdict each juror must agree with the verdict. In other words, your verdict that you render in this case must be unanimous.

Now the question of possible punishment of the defendant in the event of conviction is of no concern of yours and should not enter into or influence your deliberations in any way. The duty of imposing sentence in the event of conviction rests exclusively with me. Your verdict should be based solely on the evidence in this case, and you should not consider the matter of punishment at all.

Now you will be provided with a verdict form to use as you conclude your deliberations. The form is not evidence in this case. And nothing in it should be taken to suggest or convey any opinion by me as to what your verdict should be. Nothing in the form replaces the instructions of law $I$ have already given you. And nothing in it replaces or modifies the instructions about the elements which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt. The form is meant only to assist you in recording your verdict.

The verdict form $I$ think is easy to understand. It's self-explanatory in reference to each of the six offenses that you'll be asked to consider. It will ask you a question and then based upon what your unanimous decision is the foreperson will put either not guilty or guilty on
the form regarding each of those offenses you're being asked to consider.

Once you've reached a decision as to the six counts you're being asked to consider then the foreperson will date the date of your verdict and also sign the form and indicate your jury number. And after that has been done if the other 11 jurors agree with the decision indicated by your foreperson then each of you will also date the form, also sign your name, and also indicate your jury number on the form.

Now I will be sending into the jury room with you the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence except for the tape recordings. You may examine any and all of them as you consider your verdicts. Please keep in mind that exhibits that were only marked for identification, but were not admitted into evidence will not be given to you for your examination or your consideration in reaching a verdict in this case.

And if you wish to view the tapes or listen to the tapes just notify the clerk by a written note that you would like to hear some of the tapes played and let us know which tapes those are and we will play those here for you in the courtroom.

Now during the trial I permitted those jurors who wanted to do so to take notes. You may take your notebooks
if you wish with you to the jury room and use them during your deliberations. As $I$ told you at the beginning of the trial your notes are only to aid your memory. They are not evidence in the case and they should not replace your memory of the evidence. Those jurors who have not taken notes should rely on their own memory of the evidence. The notes are intended to be for the note taker's personal use only.

Now I would like to remind you that in some cases, although not necessarily this one, there may be reports in the newspaper, on a radio station, the Internet or television concerning this case. If there should be any such media coverage in this case you may be attempted to read, listen or watch it. You must not listen, read or watch any such reports because you must decide this case solely upon the evidence presented in there courtroom.

If any publicity about this trial inadvertently comes to your attention do not discuss it with your fellow jurors or anyone else. Just tell me or my clerk as soon as possible that you in fact need to talk to me and we'll bring you into the courtroom to find exactly what you had contact with. As you retire to the jury room to delicate $I$ also wish to remind you of the instruction $I$ gave you at the beginning of the trial. During deliberations you may not communicate with anyone not on this jury about the case. This includes any electronic communications such
as an email or text or any blogging about the case. In addition, you may not conduct any independent investigation during your deliberations. This means that you may not conduct any research in person or electronically by the Internet or in any other way.

Now if it does become necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me you may send a note to me through the clerk or by the marshal signed by your foreperson or one or more of the members of the jury. No members of the jury should ever try to communicate with me by any means other than by a signed note, and $I$ will never communicate with any member of the jury on any matter concerning the merits of this case except in writing or orally here in open court.

Bear in mind also that you are never under any circumstances to reveal to any person; not the clerk, the marshal or me how jurors are voting until after you have reached a unanimous verdict. This means that you should not me in writing or in open court how the jury is divided on any matter, for example, six to six, seven to five or 11 to one or in any other fashion whether the vote is for conviction or acquittal or on any other issue you have to decide in this case.

Now there were 14 of you selected as jurors in this case. As I indicated only 12 jurors ultimately decide
the case, therefore, two of the jurors who were selected were alternates. And as I indicated put them in different seats in very different trial so their identity is consoled. We lost one of the jurors, juror number 7. I think that was. And we had to substitute the first alternate for that person. And the second alternate in the case is juror number 14.

So juror number 14 will not deliberate in the case at least initially. And even though you're not going to start initially deliberating with the jury you still have to continue to conduct yourself as you have throughout the trial, which means you cannot talk to anybody about the case. You have to continue to avoid everybody associated with the case. And you also cannot have any contact with any media coverage about the indication.

And the reason for that even though you are being excused at this time is that if something happens to one of the regular jurors who's deliberating in the case during the deliberations then we may have to bring you back and then you'll have to participate in the deliberations. The jury would be told to start all over the deliberations and then you would participate in the deliberations with the jury. So please, don't conduct yourself any differently than you've conducted yourself during the trial so that if we do have to use you your ability to serve would not have
been compromised. If you did take notes then you should tear out the pages on which you took notes and give them to the courtroom clerk and those notes will be destroyed.

In reference to all of you in reference to your notes no one will ever read those notes. You as I say can take those notes with you. And if you think it's going to be helpful in refreshing your memory to read the notes obviously you're well to do that. But obviously, you cannot read the notes aloud and you cannot share them with one of your fellow jurors. Because those are just your personal notes that you can read to yourself to see if that helps refresh your memory.

And as I said those who did not take notes should not feel that you have to follow what somebody says who did take notes because your memory may be just as good as theirs even though they took notes and you did not. Obviously, you should consult with your fellow jurors, but you need not necessarily accept what they say merely because they took notes and you did not do so.

At this time $I$ would ask counsel to pick up.
[Bench conference.]
THE COURT: Anything else from counsel before I excuse the jury to deliberate?

MR. SHAMANSKY: No, your Honor.
MR. DREHER: No, your Honor.
[Open court.]

THE COURT: In this case she'll get that for you and get that to you. You wait until she gets that. The other 12 of you she will take you over to courtroom 15 when you're in the courtroom and you will be able to start your deliberations.

We have ordered lunch for you so we will make lunch available for you. It should be ready because we ordered it earlier today, so you can go with the court clerk. I think that's one that didn't show up why we missed that the first thing that you should do when you go into the jury room is select one among you to be your foreperson.

Your foreperson will be the person who will communicate with the Court once you have reached a verdict. Also you should select somebody as your foreperson who you believe will help facilitate an intelligent discussion about the case. Your foreperson has no more power than anybody else, but sort of helps shepherd you through the deliberations. You should pick someone that will effectively do that. Once you have a verdict when you come in the courtroom the foreperson will be the spokesperson and that person will indicate what your verdict is.

So with that you may go with the courtroom clerk. She'll take you to courtroom 15. You can proceed with your deliberations.
[Thereupon, Jury exits courtroom at 12:46 p.m.] THE COURT: Counsel, where will you be during your deliberations at your office?

MR. SHAMANSKY: Your Honor, we could make a joint request. I'd like to remain, I'd like to stay for the remainder of the day. If you can excuse Mr. Regensburger so he can pick up a car and drive home. If we don't receive a verdict today would the court permit one of the lawyers to be present on Tuesday as opposed to both of us for both sides?

THE COURT: That's fine. I can say something to the jury. I've had that before just because a particular lawyer is not here doesn't mean anything that lawyer has to you know get on with other business that's why they are not here at this time.

MR. SHAMANSKY: We're saying ten minute away and report back here.

THE COURT: They've got to eat lunch, and I don't know how long it will take for the verdict.

MR. SHAMANSKY: Worse case scenario.

THE COURT: You gave the court clerk your number so she can call you?

MR. SHAMANSKY: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. DREHER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.
[Thereupon, the proceedings recessed at 12:48
p.m., resuming at 3:50 p.m.]

THE COURT: The jury sent a note saying they have reached a verdict. If counsel wants to see the note you're welcome to do so. Either side?

MR. SHAMANSKY: No, Your Honor.

MR. DREHER: No thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Will there be a request by either side for an individual poll?

MR. DREHER: Not from the government, Your Honor.

MR. SHAMANSKY: No, Your Honor. I mean you will inspect the verdict form?

THE COURT: Sure. I have them fill out two, so they have one and $I$ have one, and obviously you'll be welcome to see them yourself.
[Thereupon, Jury enters courtroom at 3:54 p.m.] JURY VERDICT

THE COURT: Okay. Would the foreperson please identify him or herself. Speaking on behalf of the jury has the jury reached a unanimous verdict in this case?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes, they have.

THE COURT: As to all six counts?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Very well. As to Count One of the indictment charging obstruction of an official proceeding on

January 6, 2021, what is your verdict, guilty or not guilty? THE FOREPERSON: Guilty. Q As to the Count Two, theft of government, United States government property on January 6, 2021, is your verdict guilty or not guilty?

A Guilty.

Q As to Count Three, charging entering or remaining in a restricted building or grounds on January 6, 2021, is your verdict not guilty or guilty?

A Guilty.

Q As to Count Four of the indictment charging disorderly or disruptive conduct in a restricted building or grounds on January 6, 2021, is your verdict not guilty or guilty?

A Guilty.

Q As to Count Five of the indictment charging disorderly or disruptive conduct in a Capitol building on January 6, 2021, is your verdict not guilty or guilty?

A Guilty.

Q As to Count Six of the indictment charging parading, demonstrating, picketing in a Capitol building on January 6, 2021, is your verdict not guilty or guilty?

A Guilty.

THE COURT: Very well. Speaking on behalf of the jury let me just ask all of the other jurors do you agree with the decision indicated by your foreperson?
[Thereupon, Jury answers.]

THE COURT: Anybody disagree?
[Thereupon, Jury answers.]

THE COURT: You may be seated. I would like to thank you for your participation in our jury process. As I indicated when we were going through the process of selecting the jury it was decided long time ago when this country was created that these type of monumental decisions should be decided by the people in the community where an event took place.

I've had the opportunity to travel throughout the world. I've done a lot of teaching in Africa, actually in Russian and some other places, and $I$ have to say that no system of justice is perfect, but $I$ think our system of justice is the best that mankind has been able to devise.

One of the reasons for that is the fact we have citizens like yourself who are participating in the process. And I think that's an important aspect of our justice system. So I do want to thank you. I had the opportunity to watch all of you during the course of the trial. I know that you listened very intently to the evidence as it was presented, and that you have carried out the obligation given to you to deliberate in this case and render a decision based upon what you concluded was the evidence and whether the government has sustained its burden.

So if you'll just go back to courtroom 15, I'll come over and have to give you a letter of thanks and just say some things to you. And as if you have any recommendation you would make about how we operate the court to see if you have any issue I need to take to our chief judge about things that maybe we can change.

I know at this time it's been, you know, we haven't been able to hold trials for quite a while. Now we are starting to hold trials, but not at the pace that we need to at some point because we have a huge backlog of cases because of the pandemic. And in order to try and reduce that backlog we're going to be trying a lot of cases, so we're going to be calling upon a lot of the citizens to come down and help us do that.

But, you know, you have to have a justice system and a democratic system, and you've played a significant role in that. So again, I want to thank you and I'll get to you as soon as $I$ can. So if you go back with the clerk over to courtroom 15, I'll be over there in a minute. If you can give her that copy of the verdict form.
[Thereupon, Jury exits courtroom.]

THE COURT: I do permit counsel to speak to the jury after $I$ finish speaking to them if you so desire, but $I$ will let them know they don't have to speak to you and if they don't want to they will let you know that and you'll
have to immediately talking to them. If you want to talk to them about their, the process and what they thought about the evidence $I$ don't have a problem with that.

We have to address the issue of sentencing. She'll be back in a minute and we'll deal with that. We have to address the issue of release pending sentencing. You can be seated. What's the government's position?

MR. DREHER: The government is fine with the defendant remaining on bond pending sentencing.

THE COURT: Well, I must say I have my concerns, and my concerns there are many reasons for them. Number one, I have the concern that we have unfortunately American citizens who were so gullible that they were willing to accept what was being said without any proof that the allegations about the election had any merit whatsoever. It's been proven now over and over again with the various audits that have been done in the various states where allegations were made of fraud that there was no fraud. And if there was fraud it was insignificant. And they're actually finding out if it was fraud it may have been fraud in another direction than what was being alleged.

And the insurgency, and it was in effect that, is very troubling. And you know $I$ think our democracy is in trouble because unfortunately we have charlatans like our former president who doesn't in my view really care about
democracy but only about power. And as a result of that it's tearing this country apart. And I think our country is a country worth saving. And if people feel that they can do what happened on January 6, 2021, I know in reference to some of the cases I've had where individuals have actually pled guilty, and $I$ get you know letters from all over the country people are just outraged in how they feel our system is not taking seriously what happened on that day because of their fear of the future of this country.

And I must say I have real concerns. I mean number one, although he ultimately turned himself in he only turned himself in after he was identified as a perpetrator having fled. And I would candidly say I thought his testimony was very troubling. I thought it was totally disingenuous. I thought he was not truthful. I don't believe he was sincere in reference to what he said. And I have my real concerns about flight and also about dangerousness.

Because if somebody is weak-minded enough to buy in on what was being said and then come all the way from Ohio here and involve himself in the conduct that he did, and he was doing it gleefully, $I$ just have my real concerns about him. And I think a lot of the American public feel like now he's gone to trial. He's had his day in court. He's been convicted, why is he still walking free.

MR. SHAMANSKY: May I address that, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHAMANSKY: I do not frankly disagree with most of what you said. His level of sincerity, of course, I would take issue with. I'd ask the Court to consider in terms of his behavior while he's been on release. It's been impeccable in terms of following court orders, being available, doing as he's told, staying out of trouble, working every day.

Additionally Judge, and you're as aware of this as anybody. He through me, through his counsel and we streamlined and stipulated the case. Didn't dispute the conduct, but rather tried to put it in context and explain you know why he committed these heinous acts. I don't disagree with you for a nanosecond about how serious this is. Not for one bit. But what $I$ can undoubtedly assure you, Judge, is he does not present a risk of flight $I$ don't believe based on his history, character and condition because he's always shown and he's taken a respectful approach.

And again we could have put the government through a thousand hoops had we so chosen. We didn't do that. We stipulated the case and tried to make it as easy on the American people in terms of reaching a decision as possible, so I'd ask you to consider that.

Also Your Honor $I$ know the sentencing process is lengthy, and of course, great discretion rest with you. But on balance, Judge, I don't believe based on his character or his recent history and character and his understanding of the wrongful nature of his activity that he would be disappointing you or be a risk of flight or be a danger to commit any more of these foolish acts if you would allow him to remain free pending sentencing. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Well I --

MR. SHAMANSKY: Judge, I forgot one thing. He's also in counseling. He's taking his medication. Not that that's an excuse or a crutch, but it is something that he's done to try to help get his mind right for lack of a better term.

THE COURT: Well he did flee, and his conduct in my view was reprehensible. I mean he didn't do some of the things the other people did as far as violence is concerned, but $I$ consider the fact that he fled. And in my view I don't think he was candid when he testified. And the inevitable reality is that whether he does time now or does time later he's got to do time. I just don't think you can do what he did and what the other folk did and get convicted and expect to be free.

It's a consequence, like my mother always told me you make your bed you got to lie in it. He will be detained
without bond pending sentencing. Give me a date for sentencing. How long does probation need? I think 80 days they're asking for now. When would that take us to?

MR. SHAMANSKY: Judge, assuming the PSR with respect to his co-defendant is already --

THE COURT: His co-defendant has asked for extension until when, $I$ think it's in July.

MR. SHAMANSKY: For completion of the PSR or for a sentencing date?

THE COURT: For a sentencing date, but they would do his independently, so I don't think they would, because they're just overwhelmed with numbers considering all of the people who've been arrested regarding this event and the other cases we're dealing with. So July, when in July about? Because they're asking -- I'll figure it out. So looks like we're talking about till yeah they need more time than that. July 8th for the report to be completed. I'll give counsel until the $15 t h$ of July to resolve any disputes you have with the probation department. I'll be in trial that following week, but we'll done it nonetheless on that, that Wednesday, July 20th at nine o'clock.

Anything else from government counsel?

MR. DREHER: Not from the government.

THE WITNESS: Defense?

MR. SHAMANSKY: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Thank you.
[Thereupon, the proceedings adjourned at 4:08 p.m.]
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| 607/24 609/8 629/7 629/11 629/14 | 622/1 623/12 623/14 624/20 625/12 | clarify [1] 593/24 |
| 629/16 630/4 630/14 631/6 631/10 | 625/19 634/4 634/10 634/14 635/18 | clear [2] 571/8 628/13 |
| 632/18 632/22 643/8 643/12 643/16 | 636/4 636/11 636/12 636/14 636/2 | clearly [2] 567/17 613/5 |
| $643 / 20$ | 637/1 637/13 637/23 637/25 638/1 | Clemens [2] 570/19 570/24 |
| buildings | 638/6 638/8 638/13 638/14 638/18 | clerk [11] 565/12 572/2 635/20 636/18 |
| bulletproof [2] 598/8 598/12 | 640/2 640/17 641/20 642/20 644/23 | 637/8 637/16 639/3 640/10 6 |
| burden [6] 588/10 621/6 621/16 | 648/12 648/23 651/9 | 641/21 645/18 |
| 621/17 622/13 644/25 | cases [6] 621/20 636/8 645/11 645/12 | clever [1] 607/4 |
| buses [1] |  | client [5] 567/12 568/17 594/17 5 |
| business [8] 589/25 589/25 590/4 | C |  |
| 593/12 630/12 630/16 633/3 641/14 | 65 | client's [2] |
| buy [1] 647/19 |  | clip [6] 575/13 575/19 583 |
| buzz [1] 608/5 |  | 1] 578/6 |
| C | cellphone [3] 576/6 578/4 583/5 | closed [3] 585/24 591/25 |
| Span [2] | cellphone's [1] 576 | closing [8] 564/12 5 |
| cajoled [1] 610/7 | central [1] 587/15 | 583/23 585/14 585/15 595/6 605/1 |
| calculating [1] | certain [2] 567/2 61 | co [3] 600/23 650/5 650/6 |
| call [2] 569/18 641/22 | certainly [2] 568/12 569/9 | co-defendant [3] 600/23 650/5 650/ |
| called [4] 571/4 591/11 615/21 617/19 | certainty [3] 618/11 622/12 622/13 | coat [27] 586/2 586/9 588/25 589/1 |
| calling [1] 64 | CERTIFICATE [1] | 589/3 589/6 589/8 589/10 589/11 |
| calls [2] 573/22 6 | certification [3] 590/22 590/23 591/10 | 589/13 589/16 589/17 591/8 601/2 |
| came [2] 594/8 610/14 | certify [4] 626/22 632/15 651/7 651/10 | 601/4 601/6 601/12 602/1 603/5 |
| camera [1] 575/1 | certifying [1] | 604/20 606/10 607/14 607/20 628/2 |
| can [49] 566/5 571/21 572/13 575/15 | challenge [1] 568/4 | 628/25 629/2 629/4 |
| 575/19 576/2 577/2 577/13 577/18 | challenges [1] 567/25 | cold [1] 609/1 |
| 578/1 579/25 580/9 580/13 581/21 | change [3] 570/17 571/7 645/6 changed [2] 565/16 606/23 | collective [2] 605/19 607/7 collectively [1] 609/15 |
| 582/5 588/17 588/18 594/21 595/18 | changed [2] 565/16 606/23 | 588/4 612/2 626/23 |
| 595/19 595/20 595/23 595/24 596/1 596/2 597/3 603/5 611/16 611/19 | changes [1] 572/4 <br> channel [2] 566/16 569/13 | college [4] 588/4 612/2 626/23 colored [1] 624/14 |



D Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB D.C [4] 562/6 563/4 577/12 582/5 danger [1] 649/6
dangerousness [1] 647/18
data [5] 576/24 576/24 578/3 578/23 580/25
date [7] 573/5 635/5 635/5 635/8 650/ 650/9 650/10
day [32] 585/22 586/14 588/3 588/6
588/10 590/11 591/13 591/18 594/22
595/17 596/17 596/18 597/5 597/18 597/19 597/20 598/1 599/6 600/24 601/9 602/17 602/21 608/25 610/23 611/21 611/23 612/8 641/6 647/8 647/24 648/9 651/14
days [2] 606/22 650/2
DC [1] 609/8
dead [1] 574/25
deal [1] 646/5
dealing [1] 650/14
decide [20] 595/7 595/12 611/6 614/22 615/1 615/14 615/15 615/16 616/7 616/11 618/7 618/7 621/1 625/1 625/6 625/10 633/20 636/14 637/23 637/25
decided [2] 644/7 644/9
deciding [2] 614/5 629/23
decision [6] 634/24 635/3 635/7
643/25 644/24 648/24
decisions [1] 644/8
defendant [69] 562/7 562/22 564/13
566/10 566/10 585/18 585/22 586/14
586/16 587/4 590/1 593/2 593/4 594/4 595/8 595/12 597/4 600/5 600/23 605/12 611/6 619/3 619/10 621/2 621/4 622/16 625/2 625/3 625/6 625/11 625/25 626/8 626/11 626/13 627/3 627/9 628/3 628/5 628/9 628/12 628/16 628/24 629/2 629/8 629/11 629/13 629/24 630/1 630/5 630/9 630/10 631/7 631/10 631/11 631/14 631/19 631/21 631/24 632/1 632/3 632/6 632/19 632/22 632/23 632/24 634/6 646/9 650/5 650/6
defendant's [7] 619/1 622/4 626/16 627/4 630/13 630/14 630/15
defense [21] 570/9 571/2 572/20
586/18 587/16 594/1 594/4 594/8
594/14 595/10 595/18 602/6 602/8
605/10 606/23 610/22 611/8 611/14
611/20 625/8 650/24
defile [1] 612/4
define [1] 606/18
defined [2] 628/5 628/8
definition [2] 631/2 632/8
definitively [1] 613/6
defy [1] 586/25
degree [2] 588/4 618/11
degrees [1] 612/2
deliberate [3] 638/8 639/23 644/23
deliberating [2] 638/10 638/18 deliberations [21] 613/15 615/5 616/18 616/18 620/9 620/12 626/3 634/8 634/13 636/2 636/23 637/3 637/7 638/19 638/20 638/21 638/22 640/6 640/19 640/25 641/3
delicate [1] 636/21
demeanor [1] 623/4
democracy [3] 610/2 646/23 647/63/ 593/12 593/13 630/3 630/10 630/23 democtatictit $445 / 16$ Filed $81 / 03 / 2$
demonstrate [2] 593/10 593/11
demonstrated [1] 632/23
demonstrating [2] 632/17 643/20
denied [1] 567/4
department [1] 650/19
depict [4] 577/8 578/12 578/18 578/22
deprive [3] 589/5 589/12 629/3
deprived [1] 589/11
describe [2] 576/2 580/1
described [1] 623/1
deserve [1] 616/1
desire [3] 584/3 624/15 645/23
desired [1] 567/2
desperate [2] 600/2 610/6
destroyed [1] 639/3
details [1] 623/20
detained [1] 649/25
determine [8] 575/5 614/24 615/12 616/6 617/10 618/17 622/20 624/13
determined [1] 618/15
determining [4] 611/17 622/15 624/7 633/23
device [2] 576/6 583/5
devise [1] 644/15
did [93]
didn't [23] 569/6 569/18 571/1 571/2
571/22 583/1 586/7 587/20 598/16
599/8 599/11 599/12 600/20 602/1
602/12 603/11 610/10 612/3 612/22
640/10 648/12 648/22 649/16
died [2] 603/13 603/16
difference [1] 624/3
different [3] 616/16 638/2 638/3
differently [1] 638/23
difficult [1] 609/5
direct [9] 564/4 575/25 617/10 617/13
617/22 618/4 618/8 618/11 618/13
directed [2] 570/15 601/11
direction [2] 581/14 646/21
directly [1] 633/9
disability [1] 616/3
disagree [4] 614/19 644/2 648/3 648/15
disappointing [1] 649/6
discretion [1] 649/2
discuss [2] 573/4 636/17
discussed [1] 568/11
discussing [2] 568/12 578/19
discussion [1] 640/16
disingenuous [1] 647/15
dislikes [1] 615/19
disobey [3] 593/4 632/4 632/7
disorderly [12] 589/20 589/23 592/17
593/14 606/8 630/3 630/9 630/18
631/5 631/12 643/11 643/15
disprove [1] 598/3
dispute [2] 588/13 648/12
disputed [1] 617/2
disputes [1] 650/18
disregard [3] 593/4 632/4 632/7
disrespect [1] 608/15
disrupt [8] 589/24 589/25 590/4
590/21 591/10 592/19 631/15 633/3
disrupted [2] 630/16 631/22
disruptive [13] 589/20 589/23 592/18
disrupts [1] 593/12
distinguished [1] 608/17
DISTRICT [7] 562/1 562/2 562/12
563/3 577/3 651/6 651/6
disturb [1] 631/15
disturbance [1] 630/25
disturbed [1] 631/22
divided [1] 637/19
do [60] 566/12 566/21 567/5 568/15 569/7 569/15 569/19 571/25 573/11 573/13 573/24 575/6 577/24 583/23 584/1 584/20 589/15 591/6 592/7
593/1 597/7 597/12 598/9 599/3 601/22 604/5 605/5 605/18 605/20 606/13 607/2 607/23 610/9 610/16 611/23 614/19 629/12 631/23 631/25 633/19 635/25 636/17 638/25 639/8 639/19 640/11 640/20 642/5 643/24 644/19 645/14 645/22 647/3 648/3 648/22 649/16 649/21 649/22 650/11 651/7
Docket [1] 562/4
document [3] 566/5 566/6 620/16 documented [1] 607/17
documents [1] 566/1
does [31] 567/13 576/23 577/8 578/12 578/18 578/22 579/16 579/17 581/3 584/14 587/24 589/12 599/22 604/15 605/14 607/8 608/9 618/6 618/10 621/7 627/21 628/15 629/22 632/4 632/5 633/5 637/6 648/17 649/20 649/20 650/2
doesn't [11] 567/12 571/8 595/15 598/25 602/6 602/8 607/15 610/22 611/23 641/13 646/25
doing [24] 569/20 570/3 584/5 585/18
588/10 590/18 591/13 592/13 593/6 594/14 594/14 595/15 596/1 596/17 597/7 598/1 598/2 599/19 605/5 611/25 627/12 629/22 647/22 648/8 don't [40] 565/17 566/2 566/19 568/12 568/15 569/8 569/10 569/13 570/6 570/13 574/13 583/25 588/21 590/1 590/12 594/24 596/8 596/15 597/25 599/7 599/11 602/1 604/12 608/8 612/18 612/21 613/11 638/23 641/7 641/18 645/24 645/25 646/3 647/15 648/14 648/17 649/3 649/19 649/21 650/11
Donald [10] 562/22 565/11 572/14 592/3 594/12 596/11 610/21 611/2 618/23 619/6
done [15] 586/12 586/14 591/3 591/4 594/10 595/6 609/19 616/10 633/13 633/18 635/6 644/12 646/17 649/13 650/20
door [2] 567/18 569/12
doors [9] 599/25 599/25 600/4 600/6 600/12 600/19 600/21 601/13 603/12 dots [1] 576/8
doubt [35] 588/19 593/3 593/19 606/6 611/18 621/6 621/10 621/14 621/18 621/23 621/24 621/24 621/25 622/4 622/5 622/5 622/9 622/9 622/10
622/12 622/14 622/17 625/2 626/10

D Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB doubt... [11] 626/14 627/2 628/2 628/24 629/10 630/8 631/9 632/3 632/21 633/24 634/19
down [12] 577/4 581/13 587/5 587/6 593/16 598/23 603/25 605/4 609/2 612/3 612/17 645/14
downtown [1] 599/6 draw [2] 617/3 619/17 drawn [1] 617/18
Dreher [2] 562/17 565/6
dribble [1] 608/20
drive [2] 584/14 641/7
drop [4] 580/6 580/9 580/9 580/18
dropped [2] 580/17 581/4
during [30] 567/21 570/10 571/10 612/15 612/19 612/20 613/15 613/17 614/16 615/5 615/15 616/10 616/17 616/18 616/25 620/3 620/13 622/18 626/3 629/25 633/21 635/24 636/1 636/23 637/3 637/6 638/18 638/24 641/2 644/20
DUSTIN [26] 562/6 565/3 565/11 594/25 595/2 605/23 606/10 606/25 608/18 609/16 609/19 610/7 610/13 619/16 621/7 621/11 621/15 621/17 626/6 627/23 628/20 629/6 630/3 631/5 632/17 633/24
duty [5] 609/13 614/17 621/11 621/15 634/8

## E

each [18] 588/11 590/2 591/21 624/16
625/21 625/22 626/9 628/1 628/23
629/9 630/7 631/8 632/20 634/2 634/3 634/22 635/1 635/8
earlier [4] 581/12 599/6 612/19 640/9
easier [1] 598/11
easy [10] 585/16 588/7 598/3 602/9 604/21 609/6 609/7 609/10 634/21
648/23
eat [1] 641/18
education [1] 616/5
effect [4] 614/24 624/14 627/4 646/22
effectively [1] 640/20
effects [1] 623/3
efficient [1] 614/14
effort [1] 605/15
either [14] 571/5 591/13 591/13
598/17 616/15 618/15 620/25 624/11 624/23 631/16 632/12 634/25 642/5 642/9
elapses [1] 623/17
elected [1] 608/25
election [5] 567/8 595/21 608/2 632/15 646/15
electoral [2] 626/23 632/15
electronic [1] 636/25
electronically [1] 637/4
element [11] 589/1 589/19 590/20
590/25 592/15 593/8 606/14 609/14
621/10 621/13 628/15
elements [15] 588/11 588/12 588/14 588/21 590/14 611/18 626/9 626/15 627/25 628/22 629/10 630/7 631/9 632/21 634/18
else [15] 572/17 572/21 583/13 583/14

585/5 590/13.598/7600/19 602/15 $604 / 40609 / 4436 \overline{6} / 18639422640 / 18$ 632/2633/44 633/20 633/22 634/10 650/22
else's [1] 588/3
email [2] 579/20 637/1
emotional [1] 598/16
encourage [1] 606/13
encouraging [1] 595/24
end [3] 580/18 612/24 612/25
ended [3] 567/10 598/19 598/20
enforcement [4] 591/24 608/15
624/18 624/25
engage [3] 589/20 592/8 593/11
engaged [5] 590/3 592/8 628/6 630/9 631/12
engaging [2] 593/16 627/21
engineered [1] 595/21
enough [2] 597/4 647/19
enter [9] 580/13 591/17 591/22 591/24
592/10 595/12 602/23 625/10 634/7
entered [3] 565/22 591/19 629/11
entering [3] 591/20 629/6 643/7
enters [4] 572/8 585/12 613/24 642/16
entire [6] 574/22 574/24 584/17
597/23 599/12 613/17
entirely [1] 633/19
entirety [1] 584/20
entitled [2] 617/8 624/17
equal [1] 618/9
equally [3] 631/3 632/9 633/7
especially [1] 567/24
Esquire [2] 562/22 562/22
essentially [1] 595/16
establish [4] 567/6 588/21 590/14 619/1
ethnic [1] 616/3
evaluated [1] 624/19
evaluating [3] 622/24 623/15 624/20
evaluation [1] 615/1
even [18] 565/21 567/22 574/25
586/21 587/14 587/20 588/21 596/2
599/4 599/4 599/5 602/6 603/14
611/19 614/19 638/9 638/16 639/16
event [10] 623/16 623/17 623/18
623/20 624/22 631/1 634/6 634/9 644/10 650/13
Events [1] 607/9
ever [6] 568/22 569/2 569/12 569/13 637/10 639/5
every [5] 571/15 607/21 621/2 621/10 648/9
everybody [3] 588/3 602/15 638/13
everything [3] 595/18 607/10 628/16
evidence [89] 565/15 565/22 567/3
570/2 572/21 573/11 583/17 587/25 588/8 588/17 590/5 593/3 604/16 605/8 611/17 612/6 614/3 614/25 615/2 615/15 615/16 616/7 616/16 616/17 616/19 616/21 617/2 617/3 617/6 617/7 617/9 617/11 617/11 617/13 617/14 617/17 617/19 617/22 618/2 618/5 618/6 618/8 618/10 618/11 618/12 618/14 618/17 618/22 618/24 619/5 619/9 619/15 619/15 619/16 619/19 619/20 619/21 619/23 620/2 620/11 620/14 620/19 620/21 621/1 621/8 622/1 622/1 622/3 624/1

634/14 635/12 635/16 636/4 636/5
636/6 636/15 644/21 644/24 646/3

## evil [2] 610/4 632/6

exact [1] 593/8
exactly [3] 581/15 602/21 636/20
examination [5] 573/25 582/16 582/17 587/6 635/17
examine [2] 620/21 635/13
example [5] 617/20 623/4 627/14
633/4 637/20
except [3] 628/16 635/12 637/13
exclusive [1] 616/14
exclusively [1] 634/9
excuse [5] 577/25 580/10 639/23
641/6 649/12
excused [1] 638/17
excuses [1] 609/19
exhibit [25] 565/22 573/15 575/10 575/11 575/22 576/1 576/1 576/2 576/19 576/19 577/7 577/14 577/17 577/25 578/21 579/4 579/12 579/25 580/1 580/23 580/24 581/24 584/14 584/17 620/15
exhibits [6] 565/16 616/21 620/9
620/14 635/12 635/15
existed [1] 567/6
exits [4] 583/20 612/12 641/1 645/21
expect [1] 649/23
experience [1] 617/6
experiences [1] 608/19
explain [2] 578/1 648/13
explained [1] 606/22
explanatory [1] 634/22
expressed [2] 616/12 623/18
expression [1] 616/4
extension [1] 650/7
extent [3] 570/7 570/12 622/21
extinguisher [1] 600/2
extra [1] 568/8
extremely [1] 594/2
eyewitness [1] 617/12

## F

facilitate [1] 640/16
fact [26] 567/1 567/2 567/5 567/9
567/13 569/1 570/2 583/1 587/24
588/18 590/4 595/9 605/25 611/7
617/2 617/13 617/14 617/17 618/5
621/19 625/7 625/24 630/15 636/19
644/16 649/18
facts [16] 605/16 614/22 614/23 615/1
615/13 615/14 616/6 616/22 616/24
617/1 617/4 617/10 618/16 621/1
633/14 633/20
factually [1] 605/23
failed [1] 621/13
fair [9] 568/7 571/19 605/21 614/14 615/1 616/1 616/6 625/16 625/20
fairly [2] 617/8 624/17
falling [2] 617/21 617/23
falsehood [1] 624/3
fame [1] 571/3
family [1] 629/19
far [3] 582/8 605/16 649/17
Farragut [1] 598/23

F Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB fashion [1] 637/21
fault [4] 588/3 588/6 594/6 594/6
favor [1] 618/6
favoritism [2] 615/2 616/8
FBI [2] 574/6 580/21
fear [4] 615/2 616/8 630/20 647/9
fed [2] 568/8 608/20
federal [7] 602/23 626/7 628/21 629/8
630/5 631/7 632/19
feed [2] 574/22 574/24
feeding [1] 608/1
feel [6] 615/10 617/5 639/14 647/3
647/7 647/23
fellow [3] 636/17 639/10 639/17
felt [2] 598/1 598/10
few [3] 575/19 580/8 606/22
fide [1] 609/12
field [1] 570/25
fight [1] 608/8
figure [1] 650/15
fill [1] 642/13
filled [1] 597/24
final [1] 583/22
finally [2] 578/21 604/5
find [33] 567/21 593/2 593/3 593/19
594/21 599/7 611/24 611/24 612/6
617/4 618/18 618/21 621/9 621/12
621/13 621/15 625/25 626/8 626/8
626/13 628/9 628/12 629/8 629/9
630/5 630/6 631/7 632/1 632/2 632/19
632/20 633/20 636/20
finding [1] 646/20
finds [1] 570/13
fine [3] 613/12 641/11 646/8
finger [2] 594/13 610/21
finish [1] 645/23
fire [1] 600/2
firm [1] 628/13
firmly [1] 622/3
first [17] 580/1 588/10 589/1 589/19
590/19 592/15 601/10 606/16 626/11
628/3 628/24 629/10 630/9 631/9
632/21 638/5 640/11
five [7] 592/14 602/24 606/14 631/4 632/10 637/20 643/15
flashed [1] 565/23
fled [2] 647/13 649/18
flee [1] 649/15
flight [3] 647/17 648/17 649/6
floor [1] 602/13
focus [5] 593/25 594/1 594/15 606/14 609/13
folk [1] 649/22
folks [9] 608/2 608/4 608/10 608/11
608/16 608/17 608/19 610/14 610/14
follow [9] 581/15 605/7 605/8 605/17
606/5 609/13 614/21 615/8 639/14
following [9] 570/16 593/21 594/7
626/9 629/10 630/7 631/8 648/7 650/20
foolish [3] 607/12 607/15 649/7
foot [2] 566/22 608/12
footage [1] 603/6
forbids [2] 593/1 632/1
foregoing [1] 651/10
foreperson [11] 634/25 635/4 635/8

637/9640/12648/13 640/15640/103/2 given [3] 634/176 635/16 644/23 640/24 642/18 643/25 gives $โ 3]$ 589/P3 605/17 606/5
foreseeable [1] 627/3
glass [2] 602/13 602/14
forget [1] 594/17
forgot [3] 587/19 597/20 649/10
form [12] 618/6 634/12 634/13 634/16
634/19 634/21 635/1 635/5 635/8
635/10 642/12 645/20
formal [1] 619/13
former [11] 567/3 567/7 592/3 592/6
594/2 594/10 596/11 611/1 618/23 619/6 646/25
found [2] 598/8 598/9
four [14] 568/3 576/21 576/22 582/10
583/8 589/19 590/16 592/20 593/15
602/10 606/7 630/2 631/8 643/11
fourth [2] 630/15 631/19
frankly [2] 608/23 648/3
fraud [5] 646/18 646/18 646/19 646/20 646/20
free [4] 615/10 647/25 649/8 649/23
friendship [1] 623/13
full [3] 608/13 608/13 614/7
fully [1] 612/18
function [3] 614/13 614/22 615/12 functions [2] 630/12 630/17
further [3] 611/19 650/25 651/10
future [1] 647/9
G
gag [2] 570/20 570/20
gain [1] 623/12
game [1] 610/2
gangster [1] 608/23
gap [1] 581/3
gaps [1] 575/7
gas [1] 602/25
gassed [3] 596/22 596/24 602/21
gathered [1] 608/17
gave [2] 636/22 641/21
gee [1] 610/9
gender [1] 616/4
general [2] 570/17 571/7
Generally [1] 615/19
gentlemen [12] 585/16 586/17 594/23
596/7 597/10 597/21 599/14 601/5
602/23 604/21 605/13 610/21
geolocation [5] 578/3 578/5 578/14 580/25 581/2
get [20] 569/12 578/7 588/8 589/25
596/14 598/25 603/4 603/7 603/9
604/13 604/24 610/5 613/18 640/2
640/3 641/14 645/17 647/6 649/13
649/22
gets [2] 600/25 640/3
getting [2] 568/8 577/4
girl [1] 603/13
Giuliani [15] 569/18 573/12 575/5
575/8 575/20 575/23 583/6 587/8
587/13 592/4 596/12 598/4 611/2 618/23 619/7
Giuliani's [2] 573/10 575/14
give [20] 583/22 583/22 585/21 596/18 612/9 612/10 614/4 615/16 617/6 617/7 617/19 618/7 618/9 624/16 624/23 639/2 645/2 645/20 650/1 650/18
gleefully [1] 647/22
gmail.com [1] 562/24
go [24] 569/9 580/17 580/24 581/15 592/7 595/25 598/15 599/2 599/11 600/8 600/21 603/19 603/24 605/4 610/12 611/19 611/23 614/6 617/15 640/9 640/11 640/23 645/1 645/18
goal [1] 615/24
goes [3] 578/25 597/8 598/22
going [53] 566/17 571/6 573/4 573/6 573/8 574/10 575/9 575/18 575/25 577/6 577/6 578/5 578/7 578/12 579/3 579/24 580/22 581/6 581/20 584/13 586/4 586/11 586/19 587/2 588/8 588/11 588/19 590/25 591/2 592/24 594/9 595/5 596/11 596/18 598/14 600/16 601/20 602/17 603/13 604/14 605/18 606/13 607/6 610/15 610/25 611/1 611/4 611/5 638/9 639/6 644/6 645/12 645/13
gone [5] 570/10 601/10 606/18 610/11 647/24
good [14] 565/5 565/7 565/8 565/10
565/12 572/9 574/2 574/3 582/19 582/20 601/10 605/13 609/24 639/15
Google [7] 576/3 576/4 576/7 576/9 576/12 578/3 580/13
got [23] 568/20 576/22 586/16 586/22
587/4 587/6 594/5 596/1 596/20 598/19 599/15 599/16 601/18 601/19 603/24 604/18 608/1 609/20 610/12 612/2 641/18 649/21 649/25
gotten [1] 568/24
government [70] 562/14 564/3 564/12 564/14 566/22 567/18 570/1 570/2
570/21 570/22 570/23 572/22 572/23 573/20 573/22 583/14 584/7 584/18 585/14 585/15 588/25 588/25 589/24 590/4 593/12 605/22 606/1 606/8 607/4 609/6 610/8 610/18 610/19 611/17 613/12 621/5 621/9 621/13 621/16 621/21 622/11 622/15 625/1 626/9 626/14 627/1 628/2 628/16 628/21 628/23 628/23 629/1 629/4 629/9 630/6 630/12 630/16 631/8 631/20 632/20 633/23 634/19 642/10 643/3 643/4 644/25 646/8 648/21 650/22 650/23
government's [5] 575/10 580/23 584/16 621/22 646/7
GPS [3] 573/4 576/8 581/17
grand [1] 607/19
grandeur [1] 607/24
graver [1] 622/7
great [3] 569/7 606/18 649/2
greater [3] 618/10 618/20 624/23
ground [4] 617/24 617/25 629/7 629/12
grounds [16] 579/1 579/2 590/10
590/11 591/18 591/19 603/11 604/9 604/19 611/11 629/14 629/16 630/4 630/14 643/8 643/12
group [3] 608/1 608/10 608/24
grown [1] 597/15

G Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB guess [6] 565/25 574/21 584/14
587/18 597/19 620/24
guesswork [1] 622/10
guidelines [1] 624/21
guilt [10] 595/11 604/16 619/1 619/16
619/17 621/17 622/4 622/11 622/13 625/9
guilty [44] 593/22 595/10 595/13 605/9 611/8 612/6 621/5 621/12 621/15 621/18 625/3 625/8 625/11 625/25 625/25 626/4 626/4 626/8 628/9 629/8 630/6 631/7 632/19 634/25 634/25 643/1 643/1 643/2 643/5 643/5 643/6 643/9 643/9 643/10 643/13 643/13 643/14 643/17 643/17 643/18 643/21 643/21 643/22 647/6
gullible [1] 646/13
guy's [1] 604/22
guys [1] 588/12
H
had [71] 565/14 565/22 566/6 566/9 566/19 566/22 567/2 567/9 567/11 567/15 567/17 568/24 569/19 570/1 570/10 571/23 572/3 572/4 572/10 573/10 574/17 586/9 586/14 587/21 589/7 592/4 594/4 594/10 594/10 596/9 596/12 596/23 599/1 599/5 599/13 599/24 600/2 600/17 601/5 602/2 602/20 603/6 603/15 603/16 604/8 607/8 607/21 608/23 609/7 611/2 612/17 614/15 617/1 617/22 618/1 618/2 619/7 619/10 623/9 628/11 632/6 636/20 638/5 641/12 644/11 644/19 646/15 647/5 647/24 648/22 651/8
hadn't [1] 572/5
hand [5] 577/21 612/3 617/14 617/17 621/12
hands [1] 572/12
happen [3] 571/8 602/22 617/23 happened [8] 566/19 571/9 607/9 608/22 611/21 612/8 647/4 647/8
happens [3] 578/2 581/17 638/17
happy [2] 566/24 596/2
hard [2] 584/13 607/17
harmed [1] 630/21
has [53] 568/18 571/9 571/16 572/20
572/23 573/20 575/9 576/1 579/11
579/24 580/22 589/22 590/1 590/21 592/19 595/12 605/23 605/25 605/25 611/17 611/20 617/12 618/24 619/2 619/11 620/24 621/5 621/9 621/13 621/16 621/17 622/15 622/24 623/8 623/11 623/11 623/13 624/9 624/10 624/13 625/1 625/11 625/19 626/9 626/14 633/23 635/6 640/17 641/13
642/19 644/15 644/25 650/6
hasn't [2] 606/23 613/2
hatred [1] 608/5
have [144]
haven't [2] 609/19 645/8
having [7] 570/22 588/18 589/15
614/2 614/3 623/8 647/13
he [358]
he'd [2] 586/12 610/11
hs's 33$]$ 568/20568/21 573/4578/9/2 $647 / 13647 / 24$ 648/4 648/6 648/11


592/18 594/14 597/8 597/15 597/15 599/5 604/13 606/18 611/4 612/1 612/1 612/2 612/2 647/24 647/24 647/25 648/6 648/8 648/19 648/19 649/10 649/11 649/12 649/21 head [3] 607/1 608/14 612/17 heading [2] 579/1 581/14 headquarters [1] 580/21 heads [2] 608/20 610/16 hear [17] 571/5 571/23 572/4 583/5 583/18 587/12 591/3 592/2 592/22 593/10 594/9 605/3 605/16 607/6 614/3 620/21 635/21
heard [29] 568/6 573/10 583/17 587/3 587/16 587/19 587/21 587/25 588/16 590/15 593/21 593/23 594/2 598/20 600/1 600/10 602/11 603/3 603/14 605/4 607/7 607/13 610/8 610/8 614/2 614/3 618/22 619/3 619/12
hearings [1] 633/4
hearts [2] 605/20 610/15
heinous [1] 648/14
held [1] 588/4
hell [3] 570/4 570/7 608/8
help [9] 586/17 601/8 601/9 601/12 601/14 612/7 640/16 645/14 649/13
helpful [1] 639/7
helps [2] 639/11 640/18
her [6] 567/19 612/17 613/10 613/16
613/18 645/20
here [25] 571/25 575/13 575/15 576/4 577/7 580/4 580/6 580/8 585/4 592/24 602/6 606/15 606/22 607/3 608/22
609/10 610/10 610/13 625/1 635/22
637/14 641/13 641/15 641/17 647/21
here's [2] 599/19 604/2
hereby [1] 651/7
hereto [1] 651/13
herrings [1] 607/3
herself [2] 624/11 642/19
hesitate [1] 622/7
highly [2] 606/19 621/20
him [42] 569/2 585/25 586/8 586/9
587/5 587/10 587/10 587/11 587/12
587/12 588/5 591/19 592/16 594/13
596/21 596/21 597/2 597/12 598/12 599/4 599/10 599/23 600/7 600/23 601/11 602/13 603/3 603/4 603/6 604/12 605/4 607/8 610/9 610/12 610/15 612/6 612/7 621/12 624/10 642/19 647/23 649/7
himself [6] 586/17 604/22 607/1 647/11 647/12 647/21
his [75] 567/19 567/19 581/1 586/8 586/8 586/21 587/7 587/22 588/2 588/3 588/6 588/22 589/23 590/9 592/17 592/20 592/25 593/13 594/4 594/7 594/17 594/21 595/1 595/19 597/23 597/25 598/18 599/7 600/10 600/13 600/15 600/23 601/19 601/19 601/25 602/7 603/17 603/18 604/10 604/12 604/14 605/24 607/1 608/1 608/24 609/7 609/18 610/5 610/8 610/22 612/3 617/22 618/1 621/8 627/16 628/13 629/22 631/24 633/15

## 648/P80 649/11 649/13 649/15 650/5 650/6 650/11

history [4] 606/2 607/25 648/18 649/4 hold [6] 587/25 612/3 619/24 620/25 645/8 645/9
holy [2] 570/4 570/6
home [6] 599/7 603/20 603/24 610/8 610/11 641/7
honest [1] 622/2
Honor [36] 565/5 565/8 565/10 565/20 566/4 566/12 568/10 568/19 569/13 570/12 571/14 572/18 572/23 573/3
573/15 582/14 583/12 583/16 584/11 584/16 585/6 585/7 612/22 613/8 613/13 639/24 639/25 641/4 641/23 641/24 642/6 642/7 642/10 642/11 648/1 649/1
HONORABLE [1] 562/11
hoops [1] 648/22
hope [1] 571/22
hopefully [1] 614/7
horns [1] 608/14
horrible [1] 594/9
Hospital [1] 582/6
hostility [1] 623/13
hot [1] 571/17
hotel [2] 580/15 610/12
hour [3] 574/19 599/1 603/11
hours [2] 589/9 601/6
House [7] 577/14 578/16 578/25
598/23 631/16 631/19 632/12
housekeeping [1] 584/12
houses [1] 631/17
how [18] 576/19 578/24 582/8 586/19
586/19 587/10 597/6 604/17 607/18
616/11 618/7 637/17 637/19 641/19
645/4 647/7 648/15 650/2
however [8] 614/8 617/23 622/9
628/15 632/5 632/5 632/6 633/20
huge [1] 645/10
human [3] 606/24 606/24 615/18
I
l'd [5] 609/24 641/5 641/5 648/5 648/25
I'll [17] 565/25 569/9 571/23 575/22 581/6 605/19 607/1 609/3 611/19 612/10 613/18 645/1 645/17 645/19 650/15 650/17 650/19
I'm [37] 566/21 569/25 570/4 570/16 574/10 575/9 575/25 577/6 577/6 579/3 579/11 579/24 580/22 581/6 581/20 582/25 587/2 588/10 588/19 592/24 596/18 601/1 603/7 603/9 603/21 605/18 606/13 607/6 609/19 610/10 610/15 613/3 617/6 617/15 625/5 630/13 632/5
I've [13] 565/17 570/21 571/15 591/2 591/3 591/4 604/18 607/13 607/25 641/12 644/11 644/12 647/5
i.e [2] 567/3 567/14
id [1] 629/22
idea [2] 597/19 613/9
identification [1] 635/15
identified [1] 647/12

I Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB identify [2] 565/4 642/19
identifying [1] 566/7
identity [2] 616/4 638/3
ignorance [1] 629/23
ignore [4] 615/9 616/13 620/4 620/8
III [1] 629/5
illegal [17] 585/19 586/15 587/19
590/18 591/6 591/14 592/14 593/7
595/15 596/6 596/18 597/7 597/16
597/18 597/20 602/18 605/6
images [1] 602/25
imaginary [1] 622/9
immediate [2] 629/19 630/20
immediately [1] 646/1
immigration [1] 608/6
impact [1] 618/25
impair [1] 568/7
impartial [5] 568/7 615/25 622/2
625/16 625/20
impeccable [1] 648/7
impede [10] 590/20 590/21 591/9
591/10 626/12 626/17 627/7 627/13
630/11 631/15
impeded [2] 630/15 631/21
impedes [1] 627/19
impeding [2] 627/17 633/4
implicate [1] 620/20
implicit [1] 615/21
implies [1] 621/24
implored [1] 609/2
important [2] 622/7 644/18
imposing [1] 634/8
impresses [2] 623/6 623/7
impression [1] 613/7
improbability [1] 624/6
inadmissible [1] 620/20
inadvertently [1] 636/16
inappropriate [1] 570/13
include [3] 574/25 581/3 633/5
includes [4] 626/19 629/18 632/13
636/25
including [5] 590/7 605/2 620/19 623/17 629/25
income [1] 616/5
inconsistencies [3] 623/22 623/25 624/2
incredible [2] 586/25 597/24
incriminating [2] 604/13 604/15
incrimination [1] 627/17
independent [1] 637/2
independently [2] 627/21 650/11 indicate [7] 579/16 579/17 584/4
633/14 635/6 635/9 640/22
indicated [9] 566/17 567/5 615/3
616/12 635/7 637/25 638/2 643/25 644/6
indicates [2] 579/18 580/16
indicating [2] 593/11 616/11
indication [1] 638/15
indictment [20] 570/23 619/12 619/15
625/5 625/21 626/1 626/2 626/5 626/21 627/23 628/19 629/5 630/2 631/4 632/11 632/16 642/25 643/11 643/15 643/19
individual [5] 575/12 575/19 623/7 627/19 642/9
 inevitable [1] 649/20 $\quad$ is [222]
infer [4] 603/5 633/11 633/16 633/16
inference [2] 617/18 619/17
inferences [1] 617/5
inferred [1] 568/16
influence [2] 626/1 634/7
information [17] 566/7 567/14 568/1
568/2 568/6 568/8 568/25 569/12
576/15 576/17 578/4 579/8 579/9
579/13 580/1 581/18 608/1
infuriated [1] 570/9
inhibit [1] 571/2
initially [2] 638/9 638/10
innocence [2] 621/3 621/8
innocent [1] 621/3
inputted [1] 580/9
inside [16] 585/19 592/15 592/16
593/9 593/10 593/17 600/8 600/16
600/20 600/21 602/3 602/12 602/15
603/13 631/10 632/22
insignificant [1] 646/19
inspect [1] 642/12
Instead [1] 628/12
instituted [2] 626/24 627/1
instruct [3] 588/16 595/5 596/11
instructed [2] 626/15 632/10
instruction [13] 565/15 566/1 566/10
566/11 591/3 591/5 592/2 592/22
592/23 593/11 611/1 611/5 636/22
instructions [32] 564/15 565/13 572/5
583/22 584/2 584/5 584/8 584/10
605/7 606/4 606/6 606/18 609/11
612/10 614/1 614/5 614/6 614/9
614/10 614/11 614/19 614/20 615/4
615/5 615/6 615/7 615/8 615/10
615/11 616/11 634/16 634/18
insurgency [1] 646/22
integrity [1] 610/3
intelligent [3] 606/19 609/22 640/16
intend [7] 569/11 589/5 589/12 589/24
591/9 592/19 619/19
intended [9] 570/15 590/3 591/10
593/1 628/3 629/3 631/23 631/25
636/7
intends [1] 633/17
intent [10] 592/20 619/1 619/11 627/6
628/14 630/11 631/14 633/8 633/11
633/15
intentional [1] 624/3
intentionally [3] 633/18 633/18 633/19
intently [1] 644/21
interest [3] 577/4 623/11 624/12
interested [1] 580/5
interesting [1] 600/10
interfere [1] 626/17
interferes [1] 630/23
Internet [2] 636/10 637/5
interrupted [1] 590/6
interrupts [1] 631/1
interview [2] 566/23 570/1
interviewer [1] 569/17
interviews [1] 570/22
investigating [1] 574/7
investigation [1] 637/2
issue [12] 570/20 571/17 584/12 592/9 592/21 593/8 606/15 637/22 645/5 646/4 646/6 648/5
issues [1] 593/24
it [175]
it's [44] 566/20 567/22 568/2 568/19 573/3 573/8 574/24 575/18 576/25 577/7 580/3 581/24 582/6 584/9 587/3 587/24 588/10 589/4 589/7 590/25 591/18 591/20 593/25 596/16 597/21
600/11 600/22 601/17 602/6 603/19
606/1 607/17 611/1 611/5 611/12
611/15 634/22 639/6 645/7 646/16
647/2 648/6 649/24 650/7
items [1] 593/18
its [7] 572/21 584/8 589/11 618/25
621/20 622/13 644/25
itself [2] 591/20 625/15

## J

January [33] 573/5 574/14 575/17
576/25 580/5 582/2 587/17 591/21
594/3 594/10 594/16 594/17 595/4
595/23 597/13 597/17 597/18 597/18
601/17 605/3 608/25 609/17 610/5
612/1 618/24 632/14 643/1 643/4
643/8 643/13 643/16 643/20 647/4
January 6th [1] 597/17
January 7th [1] 597/18
Jennifer [2] 562/14 565/8
jennifer.m.rozzoni [1] 562/16
job [1] 602/8
jobs [1] 588/5
joint [3] 626/22 632/14 641/4
Jones [4] 563/2 651/5 651/16 651/17
judge [23] 562/11 562/12 570/4
571/10 588/16 591/3 592/2 595/5
596/10 605/11 605/17 606/4 606/17
609/11 610/25 614/13 614/15 645/6
648/10 648/17 649/3 649/10 650/4
judge's [1] 605/7
judges [4] 583/23 614/23 615/13 622/19
Judging [1] 622/23
judgment [5] 605/20 617/7 623/2
624/17 634/2
judicial [3] 568/8 616/22 616/23
July [7] 650/7 650/14 650/14 650/17
650/18 650/21 651/14
July 20th [1] 650/21
July 8th [1] 650/17
juncture [1] 572/18
juror [8] 612/16 612/23 613/19 634/2
634/3 638/4 638/6 638/8
jurors [18] 568/5 613/5 613/15 613/20
614/22 635/7 635/24 636/5 636/18
637/17 637/24 637/25 638/1 638/4
638/18 639/10 639/17 643/24
jury [67] 562/10 564/15 564/16 565/13
566/3 567/4 567/14 568/1 568/25
569/12 569/15 569/24 570/3 570/15
571/22 572/8 574/4 574/13 574/18
575/9 576/2 576/23 577/8 577/13

| Case 1.21-cr-00679-JFB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jury... [43] 581/21 583/13 583/20 |  | little [3] 578/78 587/4 591/1 |
| 583/25 584/21 584/25 585/1 585/12 | lastly [2] 590/8 593/14 | $13$ |
| 602/8 612/12 613/24 614/1 | late [1] 612/23 | location [6] 573/5 576/10 576/17 |
| 636/21 636/24 637/9 637/10 637/12 | later [8] 587/2 589/9 600/18 601/6 | 578/23 580/12 582/4 |
| 637/19 638/10 638/20 638/22 639/23 | 604/10 617/21 623/18 649/2 | locations [5] 578/9 580/9 580/10 |
| 640/12 641/1 641/12 642/3 642/16 | latitude [5] 576/5 576/15 580/12 | 80/11 598/18 |
| 642/17 642/19 642/20 643/24 644/1 | 580/14 580 | long [8] 584/4 58 |
| 644/3 644/5 644/7 645/21 645/23 | law [33] 5 | 611/24 641/19 644/7 650/2 |
| just [58] 565/14 565/21 570/22 572/10 | 605/17 608/15 609/12 612/7 612/10 | longitude [4] 576/5 576/14 580/12 |
| 572/12 574/10 574/18 575/22 576/11 | $614 / 8614 / 15614 / 16614 / 17618 / 4$ | longitude [4] 576/5 576/14 580/12 580/19 |
| 578/14 578/17 578/19 578/24 581/8 | 618/6 621/7 624/18 624/25 626/7 | longitudes [1] 580/14 |
| 584/19 586/8 586/25 587/2 587/3 | 628/21 629/8 630/5 631/7 631/25 | look [9] 569/9 574/17 575/15 580/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 632/4 632/8 632/19 634/17 | 582/5 601/17 604/14 604/21 608/11 |
| 597/19 598/3 598/11 599/6 599/11 | lawful [3] 591/22 592/10 592/12 | looked [2] 581/10 617/24 |
| 599/17 599/18 599/22 603/1 604/8 | lawfully [1] 602/23 | looking [1] 581/23 |
| 605/21 611/15 615/24 624/19 626/15 | laws [1] 602/3 | looks [2] 617/20 650/1 |
| 628/4 628/8 635/20 636/18 639/10 | lawyer [13] 566/ | loot [1] 612/4 |
| 639/15 641/12 643/24 645/1 645/2 | 9 571/10 587/7 601/19 601/25 | looted [3] 586/3 597/14 599/2 |
| 647/7 647/22 649/21 650/12 | 619/21 619/24 619/25 641/13 641/13 | looting [7] 585/20 594/18 597/10 |
| justice [5] 616/2 644/14 644/15 644/18 | lawyer's [2] | 04/22 609 |
| 645/15 | lawyers [6] 583/24 605/22 607/4 | lost [1] 638/4 |
| justified [1] 617/5 | 619/18 619/21 641/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lot [6] 583/23 606/9 644/12 645/12 } \\ & 645 / 13647 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| K | least [8] 567/10 575/19 596/23 597/3 | loud [5] 587/11 602/11 607/5 630/2 |
|  | 6 603/19 606/16 638/9 | 631/11 |
| keep [5] 565/25 568/1 596/19 600/2 | leave [4] 566/8 571/20 597/1 603/1 | lower [4] 577/21 601/16 603/25 604 |
| key [2] 587/15 587/20 | led [2] 605/4 611/23 | lowest [1] 609/18 |
| kind [13] 572/10 577/4 577/11 578/15 | left [6] 574/11 577/17 577/21 600/9 | lunch [5] 599/10 599/13 6 |
| 578/16 578/17 578/24 580/18 581/1 582/5 596/9 619/15 622/5 |  |  |
|  | left-hand [1] 577/21 <br> legal [13] 576/7 576/11 576/14 592/5 | Lyon [7] 586/9 600/13 600/16 603/4 603/6 603/7 603/13 |
| kinds [1] 599/14 | legal [13] 576/7576/11576/14 592/5 592/7 592/10 593/24 596/13 602/2 |  |
| knew [27] 585/23 586/1 586/5 586/14 | 602/3 611/3 616/10 619/7 | M |
| $59$ | lengths [1] 606/18 | machine [3] 563/7 651/7 651/12 |
| 597/7 597/16 597/17 598/13 602/17 | lengthy [1] 649/2 | mad [2] 611/15 611/21 |
| 604/8 604/23 605/5 606/11 611/10 | less [1] 605/19 | made [15] 567/24 570/11 577/21 596 |
| 611/12 611/25 63 | lesser [1] 624/23 | 598/12 600/23 601/1 618/23 619/13 |
| know [49] 565/17 566/2 566/2 566/19 | let [11] 570/25 572/9 581/7 585/21 | 619/23 619/25 623/24 628/11 633/13 |
| 570/6 571/25 575/18 576/20 578/6 | 612/9 617/15 617/19 635/21 643/24 | 646/18 |
| 579/19 583/23 590/18 591/12 592/11 | 645/24 645/25 | major [1] 568/4 |
| 592/13 593/16 596/5 597/7 599/7 | let's [7] 575/14 575/20 577/25 588/24 | make [26] 567/23 571/8 584/3 584/1 |
| 599/8 599/11 602/1 604/18 605/20 | 590/16 594/13 596/16 | 584/25 587/9 592/4 592/7 592/9 |
| 606/5 607/5 608/8 608/19 609/11 | letter [1] 645/2 | 596/12 599/ |
| 610/13 610/14 610/14 612/16 612/18 | letters [1] 647/6 | 607/12 607/15 611/3 611/14 614 |
| 612/21 635/21 641/14 641/19 64 | level [3] 607/21 616/5 648/4 | 614/12 619/7 640/7 641/4 645/4 |
| 645/7 645/7 645/15 645/24 645/25 | leveled [1] 571/1 | 648/23 649/25 |
| 646/23 647/4 647/6 648/14 649/1 | liar [1] 607/8 | makes [1] 588/9 |
| knowing [1] 6 | Libby [2] 570/19 570/24 | making [4] 571/5 608/24 609/1 |
| knowingly [12] 591/17 609/14 627/6 | lie [2] 610/9 649/25 | 13/10 |
| 629/13 629/21 629/24 630/11 631/2 | lied [1] 595/20 | man [5] 588/4 595/1 597/15 608 |
| 631/20 632/8 632/25 633/6 | lies [3] 608/3 608/6 608/21 | 610/4 |
| knowledge [5] 567/19 617/12 633/8 | life [1] 622/8 | manipulated [1] 608/1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Knowreage [J] } \\ 633 / 11633 / 15 \end{gathered}$ | light [2] 585/1 617/5 | mankind [1] 644/15 |
|  | like [18] 581/14 588/22 | 4] |
| L | 608/8 608/17 613/16 635/21 | many [6] 576/19 588/5 596/19 605/1 |
| lack [2] 622/1 649 | 636/8 641/5 641/5 644/4 644/17 | 608/11 646/11 <br> map [1] 598/18 |
| lacked [1] 592/12 | 646/24 647/24 649/24 650/16 <br> likely [3] 581/9 621/19 630/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{map}[1] ~ 598 / 18 \\ & \text { Maps [3] } 576 / 3576 / 4580 / 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| ladies [12] 585/16 586/17 594/23 | likely [3] 581/9 621/19 630/21 <br> likes [1] 615/18 | march [2] 572/15 609/2 |
| 602/22 604/21 605/13 610/20 | Likewise [1] 620/9 | Marcus [1] 600/1 |
| language [2] 565/18 | limited [1] 618/25 | marked [7] 575/10 576/1 579/4 579/11 |
| lapse [1] 624/2 | line [3] 570/14 578/2 581/9 | 579/25 580/23 635/ |
| laptop [1] 584/25 | liquor [4] 586/2 591/8 597/9 5 | married [2] 588/4 61 |
| large [1] 608/16 | listen [4] 585/2 635/19 636/13 636/13 | marshal [2] 637/8 637/17 |

me [37] 566/20 567/1 570/17 570/18 571/7 572/2 572/4 572/10 577/25 580/10 585/21 587/8 587/17 600/25 604/19 604/20 612/9 612/15 613/4 615/10 615/11 616/15 617/15 617/19 634/9 634/15 636/18 636/19 637/7 637/8 637/10 637/17 637/19 643/24 648/11 649/24 650/1
mean [12] 566/2 569/6 569/25 589/7 604/15 606/21 607/15 608/11 641/13 642/11 647/10 649/16
meaning [1] 633/2
meaningful [1] 623/10
means [16] 591/4 591/12 592/23
593/11 618/5 622/24 626/17 626/22
627/6 627/9 627/11 629/14 637/3
637/11 637/18 638/12
meant [3] 569/23 612/19 634/20 measure [1] 610/6
media [10] 566/23 568/9 568/9 571/4
571/6 571/12 571/24 572/11 636/12 638/15
medication [1] 649/11
meetings [1] 633/5
member [2] 570/15 637/12
members [2] 637/9 637/10
memory [12] 616/16 616/17 623/8
623/15 623/18 624/2 636/3 636/4
636/6 639/7 639/12 639/15
mental [1] 606/14
mention [2] 569/6 612/19
mentioned [5] 569/10 569/14 570/2 596/8 597/24
merely [5] 569/22 619/13 624/24
628/10 639/18
merit [1] 646/15
merits [1] 637/13
message [2] 603/24 604/18
messages [5] 600/23 603/3 603/20
603/22 603/23
meters [1] 578/8
Metro [1] 599/8
Mexico [1] 562/15
middle [4] 566/21 567/22 570/10 571/10
might [5] 568/10 604/13 607/19
 mind [4] 633/25 635/14 637/15 649/13 minded [1] 647/19 minimize [1] 607/19 minor [1] 578/9 minute [7] 583/18 612/10 612/11 613/21 641/16 645/19 646/5
minutes [6] 571/3 584/19 585/8
600/18 601/15 612/13
MISCELLANY [1] 564/10
misinformation [1] 608/4
misled [2] 609/23 609/25
missed [1] 640/10
mistake [2] 624/2 629/23
misunderstanding [1] 624/3
mob [2] 586/8 604/6
modifies [1] 634/18
moment [3] 576/11 598/17 612/14
momentarily [1] 565/23
monument [4] 577/18 578/17 598/9 598/22
monumental [1] 644/8
more [14] 571/21 581/9 581/14 581/20 596/19 605/18 618/19 621/19 621/22 622/7 637/9 640/17 649/7 650/16
morning [18] 565/5 565/7 565/8
565/10 565/12 572/9 574/2 574/3
574/23 582/19 587/17 587/21 594/3
594/16 595/23 597/12 605/3 605/14
most [8] 587/2 600/25 605/2 605/23
607/9 607/12 609/5 648/4
mother [1] 649/24
motivated [1] 624/12
motive [2] 626/16 632/6
move [1] 577/3
moving [1] 581/13
Mr [51] 564/6 566/15 573/8 573/10
575/14 575/20 576/9 576/15 577/1
578/1 578/15 578/23 579/10 579/18
579/21 580/7 580/25 582/2 582/12
586/22 591/2 591/17 592/8 592/18
594/21 595/14 601/18 601/23 602/24
603/1 603/4 603/6 603/9 603/11
603/12 603/13 603/14 603/15 603/20
604/17 605/14 605/14 606/16 607/18
608/2 609/4 609/7 611/10 611/24 633/13 641/6
Mr. [9] 573/5 575/8 576/6 577/10
589/20 592/21 596/14 601/20 607/5
Mr. Giuliani [1] 575/8
Mr. Thompson [3] 589/20 592/21 607/5
Mr. Thompson's [4] 573/5 576/6
577/10 601/20
Mr. Trump [1] 596/14
Ms [1] 564/5
MSNBC [1] 566/15
much [6] 593/25 594/15 607/15
610/17 613/2 618/7
must [34] 566/18 593/2 609/13 614/16 614/20 619/14 619/24 620/5 620/11
621/21 621/22 621/22 622/17 625/17
625/18 626/8 627/1 627/9 628/2
628/12 628/23 629/9 630/6 631/8
632/2 632/20 634/1 634/3 634/4
634/19 636/13 636/14 646/10 647/10
my 29 565/12 568/11 568/13 569/11 570移 $57+17591 / 9-572 / 2$ 574/5
583/22 594/6 604/13 613/16 614/4 614/9 614/13 615/14 616/10 636/18 646/10 646/11 646/25 647/17 647/22 649/16 649/18 649/24 651/12 651/13

## N

N.W [1] 563/3
name [5] 574/5 579/19 621/24 635/9 651/14
named [1] 575/13
nanosecond [1] 648/15
national [2] 582/6 616/3
natural [2] 627/3 633/17
nature [9] 565/18 567/24 570/11
606/23 606/24 625/14 625/17 629/22 649/5
near [6] 579/2 580/15 598/8 598/21
598/23 608/12
necessarily [3] 618/14 636/9 639/18
necessary [5] 565/19 621/19 628/17
633/25 637/6
need [10] 590/1 596/8 603/4 626/23 636/19 639/17 645/5 645/10 650/2

## 650/16

needed [2] 565/16 566/13
neither [4] 592/3 596/11 611/1 619/6 nervous [1] 604/14
never [9] 566/19 568/21 570/18 571/9
605/24 610/11 621/6 637/11 637/15
New [1] 562/15
newspaper [1] 636/10
next [4] 565/25 582/6 600/6 604/20
night [5] 566/15 572/6 572/12 586/6 604/10
nine [1] 650/21
Ninth [1] 580/20
no [54] 562/4 564/11 567/11 567/15 568/18 569/1 569/23 573/17 575/1 582/13 583/12 583/16 584/5 584/8
584/10 585/6 585/7 587/10 587/12
587/17 592/5 592/10 595/10 597/10
598/12 600/8 600/20 600/20 600/20
603/24 607/8 607/10 607/11 608/2
610/9 611/8 615/23 617/24 619/21
620/9 624/22 625/8 633/9 634/6 637/9
639/5 639/24 639/25 640/17 642/6
642/7 642/11 644/13 646/18
nobody [1] 607/21
noise [1] 587/11
nonetheless [2] 614/21 650/20
normal [1] 631/1
normally [1] 602/22
north [7] 599/25 599/25 600/12 600/19
600/21 601/13 603/12
not [160]
note [7] 615/10 635/20 636/7 637/7
637/11 642/3 642/4
notebooks [1] 635/25
notes [18] 635/25 636/3 636/5 636/6 639/1 639/2 639/3 639/5 639/5 639/6 639/7 639/9 639/11 639/13 639/15 639/16 639/19 651/12
nothing [9] 568/11 571/20 605/18
605/19 610/5 634/14 634/16 634/17 650/25

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| notice [3] 612/22 616/22 616/23 |  |  |
| notify [1] 635 | 599/17 599/20 599/24 601/21 602/15 | 570/25 571/8 584/12 626/7 629/8 630/5 631/7 632/19 634/2 645/11 |
| now [66] 569/8 571/16 572/6 | 602/16 602/16 602/17 602/19 612/5 | ordered [5] 570/20 601/22 620/10 |
| 575/25 576/22 577/24 579/3 579/24 | $641 / 3$ | 640/7 640/9 |
| 580/22 581/8 583/17 584/18 585/13 | officer [4] 600/1 601/14 624/18 624 | 0/16 |
|  | officer's [2] 624 | 631/15 631 |
| 614/4 614/13 614/16 6 | officers [5] 591/24 601/3 601/1 | orders [2] |
| 616/15 616/18 617/9 618/14 618/22 | 610/3 | ordinarily [1] |
| 619/12 619/18 619/21 620/13 621/2 | official [28] | ordinary [1] 63 |
| 621/16 622/15 624/18 625/13 625/21 |  |  |
| 628/19 629/5 629/14 629/21 630/2 | 6/19 626/21 626/23 626/25 627 | ientation |
| 631/2 631/4 632/16 633/8 634/1 634/5 | 627/5 627/7 | origin [1] 616/3 |
| 634/12 635/11 635/24 636/8 637/6 |  |  |
| 637/24 645/8 646/16 647/24 649/20 | 630/17 642/25 651/5 651/11 651/17 | 571/13 578/6 578/17 580/ |
| 650/3 |  |  |
| number [25] 567/10 569/10 579/19 | 3] 587/16 | 594/23 595/8 598/6 601/4 |
| 579/22 585/1 591/16 596/20 597/23 | Ohio [4] 562/23 567/20 609/8 647/2 | 611/6 613/4 614/ |
| 602/10 602/24 604/4 612/16 613/19 | okay [35] | 618/21 619/5 619/22 620/19 621/12 |
| 618/15 618/19 618/20 620/13 635/6 | 2/25 573/2 573/11 574/10 574/17 | 623/13 623/25 624/9 624/19 624/22 |
| 635/9 638/4 638/7 6 | 576/11 576/14 576/17 576/2 | 625/6 626/2 627 |
| 646/11 647/11 | 577/16 577/24 578/11 578/21 579/1 | 634/3 635/7 637/5 637/11 637/21 |
| numbers [1] 650/ | 579/16 579/24 581/3 582/2 587/21 | 637/22 640/4 641/14 643/24 644/1 |
| 0 | 602/24 604/25 612/13 613/20 614/ | thers [7] 567/4 567/7 568/17 595/ |
| o'clock [3] 598/25 600/12 650/21oath [2] 586/22 586/23object [1] 620/1objected [1] 619/22objecting [1] 619/23objection [5] 565/17 573/16 584/8$620 / 4620 / 10$objections [1] 619/25obligation [2] 614/4 644/22observation [1] 575/4observations [1] 613/9observe [4] 599/17 599/18 600/15623/10observed [2] 574/18 622/25observer [1] 599/22obstruct [9] 590/20 590/22 591/9$591 / 10626 / 12626 / 16627 / 4627 / 7$627/13obstructing [4] 626/6 627/17 627/25$633 / 4$obstruction [7] 590/19 628/1 628/4$628 / 7628 / 10628 / 14642 / 25$obstructs [1] 627/19obtains [1] 578/3obvious [5] 588/9 589/7 596/7 596/17$611 / 12$obviously [6] 584/3 613/14 639/8$639 / 8639 / 16642 / 14$occasion [1] 565/21occasions [1] 565/21occurred [1] 565/18occurs [1] 630/18off [11] 574/11 578/4 578/8 578/9$580 / 6580 / 9580 / 9580 / 17580 / 18$$581 / 4629 / 15$offense [18] 568/22 621/10 621/12$621 / 14621 / 15625 / 22625 / 22626 / 8$$626 / 24628 / 5628 / 8629 / 9630 / 6631 / 3$$631 / 8632 / 9632 / 20633 / 7$offenses [3] 619/2 634/23 635/1offered [1] 619/236 | 642/8 642/18 | /9 625/9 63 |
|  | old [5] 588/4 595/1 597/15 599 $612 / 1$ | otherwise [4] 568/9 571/12 620/17 629/15 |
|  | omissions [1] | our [18] 588/10 595/6 603/22 606 |
|  | omitted [1] 633/13 | 06/23 608/25 610/2 610/3 615/24 |
|  | once [7] 570/9 577/12 581/6 597/8 | 6/1 644/5 644/14 644/18 645/5 |
|  | 640/ | 46/23 646/24 647 |
|  | one [65] 565/14 565/21 566/5 566/5 | out [19] 567/20 570/14 581/7 584 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 642/13 644/22 646/20 648/8 650/1 |
|  | 587/3 588/5 590/17 590/18 | outcome [1] 623/12 |
|  | 591/17 592/15 594/22 594/22 596/20 | outfits [1] 608/15 |
|  | 597/19 598/12 603/21 606/14 607/1 | outraged [1] 647/7 |
|  | 610/24 612/13 612/24 613/14 613/20 | outside [1] 568/2 |
|  | 618/6 618/19 621/21 625/13 625/25 | over [17] 568/5 579/1 580/8 580/ |
|  | 626/5 626/20 627/23 636/9 637/9 | 601/17 602/14 603/11 617/15 618 |
|  | 637/21 638/4 638/17 639/5 639/9 | 638/21 640/4 645/2 645/18 645/1 |
|  | 640/10 640/12 641/8 642/14 642/14 | 646/16 646/16 647/6 |
|  | 642/24 644/16 646/12 647/11 648/16 | overlay [2] 576/4 581/ |
|  | 649/10 | overturn [1] 567/7 |
|  | online [1] | overview [1] 576/3 |
|  | only [40] 566/3 566/9 567/13 568/2 | overwhelmed [1] 650/12 |
|  | $571 / 13584 / 11584 / 13585 / 17588 / 5$ $589 / 2591 / 14592 / 20594 / 4594 / 21$ | overwhelming [4] 586/13 590/5 \| 590/14 602/7 |
|  | 598/10 601/2 606/7 606/15 610/24 | own [8] 587/7 588/6 595/1 602/7 |
|  | 611/13 614/23 615/1 616/19 619/9 | 608/18 609/7 616/16 636/6 |
|  | 619/19 620/14 620/18 620/23 621/ | O |
|  | 25/ | P |
|  | 647 | 23] |
|  | open [4] 573/19 637/14 637/19 640/1 | 578/24 578/25 581 |
|  | opened [1] 5 | 598/25 600/12 600/15 603/4 603/10 |
|  | opening [2] 594/1 595/1 | 603/21 603/24 613/23 613/24 641 |
|  | operate [1] 645 | 642/2 642/2 642/16 651/3 |
|  | opinion [3] 616/9 616/13 634/1 | pace [1] 645/9 |
|  | opinions [1] 615/19 | page [9] 564/11 566/1 576/22 577/7 |
|  | opportunity [4] 574/17 623/10 644/11 | 577/14 577/17 578/11 578/12 578/21 |
|  | 644/19 opposed [1] 641/9 opposite [1] 618/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pages [5] 576/19 576/21 579/22 639/2 } \\ & 651 / 10 \\ & \text { paid [1] } 613 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |

P Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB pains [1] 569/7
pandemic [1] 645/11
parade [1] 633/1
paraded [1] 632/23
parading [3] 632/17 633/2 643/19
parliamentarian's [12] 585/19 586/15
589/22 590/8 593/17 599/16 599/17
599/19 601/21 602/16 602/19 612/4
part [5] 604/5 608/16 609/5 620/9 630/22
participate [2] 638/20 638/22
participating [1] 644/17
participation [1] 644/5
particular [5] 615/7 618/8 621/10 621/14 641/12
particularly [1] 576/23
parties [7] 588/15 588/18 589/4
600/11 614/4 616/24 616/25
parts [1] 566/2
party [2] 619/25 620/25
Pass [1] 582/14
passageways [1] 633/4
path [3] 577/11 578/24 581/14
pause [2] 572/1 572/7
paused [1] 581/21
pawn [1] 610/1
pay [1] 571/6
Pelosi [1] 603/15
pending [6] 626/24 626/25 646/6
646/9 649/8 650/1
Pennsylvania [2] 598/24 609/3
people [15] 567/10 568/14 595/20
601/15 602/5 603/2 603/8 606/19 616/1 644/9 647/3 647/7 648/24 649/17 650/13
pepper [4] 596/22 596/23 602/20 602/25
percent [3] 607/11 609/12 611/20
perception [1] 624/4
perfect [1] 644/14
perfectly [1] 571/8
periods [1] 581/11
permissibly [1] 611/16
permit [2] 641/8 645/22
permitted [3] 617/3 618/9 635/24
perpetrator [1] 647/12
person [23] 592/24 595/9 611/8 617/20 619/14 622/6 625/8 627/12 629/16 629/18 629/21 630/18 630/19 630/20 630/24 630/25 633/10 633/17 637/4 637/16 638/6 640/13 640/22
person's [2] 630/20 633/19
personal [7] 566/7 608/18 615/18
615/23 616/2 636/7 639/10
persons [1] 623/14
Petworth [3] 582/4 587/14 598/5
phone [9] 576/15 577/1 577/10 578/4
579/19 581/1 600/10 604/13 604/15
photo [4] 600/19 602/13 604/19 604/19
pick [8] 580/6 580/9 580/11 580/11
589/8 639/20 640/19 641/7
picked [2] 580/7 580/14
picket [1] 633/1
picketing [4] 632/18 632/24 633/2 643/20
picking [1] 600/4 Filed 01/03/23 596/3 596/10 596/11,597/12 601/22
picture [2] 60398603iled 01/03/23 pictures [1] 620/16
pieces [1] 579/12
place [6] 574/12 574/14 582/9 582/11 615/23 644/10
places [3] 599/12 599/12 644/13
Plaintiff [1] 562/4
plans [1] 628/11
play [10] 571/20 575/22 580/24 581/6
581/7 581/20 585/3 606/15 620/8 635/22
played [10] 572/15 575/23 581/6 581/20 584/22 599/21 604/3 620/17 635/21 645/16
playing [1] 570/25
please [8] 565/4 574/4 577/19 609/14 615/10 635/14 638/23 642/18
pled [1] 647/6
podium [1] 575/1
point [12] 585/17 586/1 588/13 591/15
600/7 600/9 604/23 609/18 610/25
612/15 613/1 645/10
pointed [1] 594/12
pointing [2] 600/19 610/21
points [1] 578/14
pole [1] 608/12
police [13] 591/24 597/1 601/3 601/8 601/9 601/12 601/14 604/11 604/14
610/3 611/12 624/18 624/24
political [1] 616/5
poll [1] 642/9
ponder [1] 606/4
portion [2] 615/7 620/18
portions [5] 620/15 620/15 620/19
620/22 620/23
position [1] 646/7
possession [2] 603/10 630/21
possibility [1] 615/21
possible [5] 595/11 625/9 634/5
636/19 648/24
post [1] 575/19
posted [1] 629/15
potential [1] 618/25
potentially [1] 604/17
power [7] 592/4 596/12 608/23 611/3
619/7 640/17 647/1
powerful [1] 621/22
PowerPoint [1] 584/8
praying [1] 633/6
precisely [1] 609/4
precluded [1] 570/3
preferences [1] 615/23
prejudice [4] 615/2 616/8 624/11
624/13
prejudices [2] 615/19 615/23
preliminary [1] 614/9
preparation [1] 628/11
present [2] 641/9 648/17
presentation [1] 572/21
presented [5] 618/13 625/19 629/25
636/15 644/22
presenting [1] 587/23
president [34] 567/3 567/7 569/18
574/11 592/3 592/6 592/9 594/6 594/9
594/10 594/15 594/20 594/24 595/17
595/20 595/21 595/24 595/25 596/2

618/23 619/6 629/19 629/20 646/25
president's [1] 594/3
presidential [3] 590/23 626/22 632/15
press [1] 571/16
presumed [1] 621/2
presumption [1] 621/3
pretty [2] 591/16 592/15
prevent [1] 601/4
previous [1] 623/23
previously [4] 579/25 631/2 632/8
633/6
price [1] 571/6
prior [5] 567/11 567/12 567/15 568/15
568/18
privacy [1] 620/20
private [1] 579/8
privilege [1] 627/16
probability [1] 624/6
probable [3] 621/20 627/4 633/17
probably [3] 607/17 609/5 613/7
probation [2] 650/2 650/19
problem [4] 584/10 613/11 613/14 646/3
problems [1] 584/5
proceed [5] 572/13 583/19 585/9
605/10 640/24
proceeding [26] 590/19 590/20
590/24 626/7 626/12 626/18 626/19
626/19 626/21 626/23 626/25 627/2
627/5 627/7 627/14 627/15 627/18
627/19 627/20 627/25 628/1 628/4
628/7 628/10 628/14 642/25
proceedings [5] 563/7 642/1 651/2 651/8 651/11
process [12] 576/7 576/11 576/14
579/4 579/7 579/14 631/1 644/5 644/6
644/17 646/2 649/1
produce [2] 621/8 630/22
produced [1] 563/7
progress [2] 566/23 626/18
prohibited [1] 569/20
prompt [1] 570/17
prompted [1] 571/7
proof [10] 586/13 588/10 590/15
593/20 593/23 602/7 621/6 621/22
632/6 646/14
proper [1] 619/24
properly [1] 616/19
property [11] 586/9 588/25 588/25
589/4 589/10 603/10 606/8 628/21
628/23 630/20 643/4
prosecuted [3] 595/8 611/7 625/7
prosecutor [1] 570/10
protect [2] 601/3 602/5
protected [2] 629/16 629/18
prove [14] 607/22 621/7 621/13
621/19 622/11 622/13 627/1 628/2
628/16 628/23 629/9 631/8 631/21

## 634/19

proved [11] 593/3 595/13 622/16
625/2 626/9 626/14 630/7 632/2
632/20 633/9 633/23
proven [7] 588/19 611/18 617/4 621/5
621/9 625/11 646/16
provide [3] 572/6 584/1 615/3

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| provided [9] 565/12 572/5 576/7 576/9 580/12 631/2 632/8 633/7 634/12 | ready [1] 640 |  |
| proving [3] 618/5 621/16 621/17 | real [4] 566/24 647/10 647/17 647/2 | reintroduce [1] 574/4 |
| PSR [2] 650/4 650/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reality [2] } 568 / 236 \\ & \text { reality is [1] } 568 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 570/14 571/14 571/16 |
| public [3] 599/8 616/9 647/23 | realize [4] 609/23 609/25 609/25 610/1 | relative [4] 570/14 571/14 571/ |
|  | realizes |  |
| punishment [2] 634/5 634/11 |  |  |
| le [1] |  |  |
| purported [1] |  |  |
| purpose [8] 591/7 5 | 623/9 638 |  |
| 6 | reasonable [32] 588/19 593/3 593/19 |  |
| pursuant [1] 584/12 |  | remaining [5] 590/17 |
| pushing [1] | 621/10 621/14 621/18 621/23 621/24 |  |
| put [8] 584/13 598/10 | 622/4 622/5 622/6 622/14 622/16 625/2 626/10 626/14 627/2 628/2 |  |
| 634/25 638/2 648/13 |  | remember [5] 568/12 598/9 599 599/18 601/1 |
| putting [4] 575/9 579/11 579/24 580/22 | 628/24 629/10 630/7 630/19 631/9 | $\text { 2] } 6$ |
| Q | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 632/3 632/21 633/24 634/19 } \\ \text { reasonableness [1] 624/5 } \end{array}$ |  |
| query [1] 567/18 | reasonably [3] 568/16 617/15 627/2 reasons [6] 596/18 610/14 614/20 | [1] |
| question [28] 56 | reasons [6] 596/18 610/14 614/20 620/18 644/16 646/11 |  |
| 588/22 590/17 591/1 | rebut [1] 573/7 <br> rebuttal [6] 564/14 572/24 573/20 | lace [1] 636/4 |
| 592/11 592/13 595/11 596/4 596/4 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { rebuttal [6] 564/14 572/24 573/20 } \\ & 573 / 25583 / 15610 / 19 \end{aligned}\right.$ | places [2] 634/16 634/17 ply [1] 604/17 |
| 596/6 606/9 609/23 609/24 | recall [2] 598/7 623/19 | port [2] 641/17 650/17 |
| 611/13 619/22 620/4 620/5 620/6 620/8 625/9 625/17 634/5 634/24 | recalled [1] 623/1 $\quad$ reported [1] 651/7 |  |
| questioning [2] 592/1 | receive [5] 581/18 603/23 617/8 reporter [5] 563/2 563/2 612/9 651/5 <br> $624 / 17641 / 7$ $651 / 17$ |  |
| questions [6] 565/14 596/21 61 |  |  |
| 615/9 619/20 625/13 | received [3] 579/13 633/14 633/21 reports [2] 636/9 636/14 <br> recent [2] 587/3 649/4 reprehensible [1] 649/16 |  |
| quick [2] 585/2 |  |  |
| quiet [1] 633/5 | recess [2] 585/10 613/22 <br> recessed [2] 571/25 642/1 | presentatives [1] 631/19 presented [1] 634/1 |
| quite [1] 645/8 | recollection [3] 568/11 607/7 623/18 representing [1] 576/8 <br> recommendation [1] 645/4 <br> represents [1] 620/1  |  |
|  |  |  |
| R | record [13] 565/4 567/12 567/13 <br> 567/15 568/13 568/15 568/18 569/11 <br> 569/22 569/22 569/25 573/12 577/16 | quest [3] 583/21 641/5 6 |
| race [1] 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requested [4] 576/6 576/14 576/ć } \\ & 580 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { rack [28] 586/2 586/9 589/1 } \\ 589 / 3589 / 7589 / 8589 / 105 \end{array}$ | 569/22 569/22 569/25 573/12 577/16 recorded [1] 563/7 | uesting [ |
| 589/13 589/16 589/17 591/8 600/4 | recorder [1] 614/12 ${ }^{\text {require [6] 618/10 621/7 628/15 632/4 }}$ |  |
| 601/2 601/4 601/6 601/12 602/1 603/5 | recording [3] 569/9 615/4 634/20 632/5 632/6 <br> res  |  |
| 604/20 606/10 607/14 607/20 628/25 | recordings [1] 635/13 required [5] 619/1 619/10 622/11 <br> red [1] 607/3 $631 / 21633 / 16$ |  |
| 628/25 629/2 629/4 |  |  |
| radio [5] 582/24 582/25 5 | redacted [1] 566/1 <br> redactions [1] 566/6 | research [1] |
| 636/10 |  | resolve [1] 650/18 |
| rage [1] 608/13 | redirect [3] 564/4 583/11 583/12 reduce [1] 645/12 | respect [5] 606/8 606/16 626/2 626 650/5 |
| raise [3] 570/4 570/6 572/12 | refer [2] 615/6 615/6 $\quad$ respectful [1] 648/19 |  |
| rally [15] 572/14 574/22 574/25 582/ |  |  |
| 582/11 582/12 582/21 582/21 584/17 | reference [9] 584/3 584/24 616/15 | response [1] 603/17 |
| $6$ | 619/13 634/22 639/4 639/4 647/4 | responsibility [4] 605/ |
|  | referenced [2] 571/15 571/17 | 616/14 620/1 |
| ran [5] 586/10 586/10 604/6 604/6 | referencing [1] 568/17 rest [2] 572/19 649/2 <br> res  |  |
| 609/8 | reflecting [1] 569/22 |  |
| range [1] 5 | reflection [1] 622/6reflects [1] 569/23 | 591/17 591/21 629/7 629/11 629/14 |
|  |  | 629/15 630/4 630/14 643/8 643/12 |
| rather [5] 584/19 584/1 | refresh [1] 639/12 | [1] 634/9 |
| 618/16 648/13 | refresher [1] 585/21 | sult [3] 579/13 624/2 64 |
| RBW [1] 562/4 reach [3] 625/16 | refreshing [1] 639/7 refuse [2] 627/15 627/20 | suming [3] 585/10 613/22 642 tire [1] 636/21 |
| reached [5] 635/3 637/18 6 | refute [2] 573/6 573/8 <br> regarding [7] 565/14 571/17 606/6 <br> 618/22 619/9 635/1 650/13 | turn [7] 570/23 593/22 605/8 615/1 |
| 642/4 642/20 |  | 25/24 626/3 63 |
| reaching [3] 618/11 635/17 648/24 <br> read [15] 571/15 588/16 606/17 | 618/22 619/9 635/1 650/13 <br> regardless [1] 616/2 <br> Regensburger [4] 562/22 565/11 | reveal [1] 637/16 reviewing [1] 575/6 revised [1] 565/13 |

$R \quad$ Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB Reyes [2] 600/1 601/14
rides [1] 580/5
ridiculous [1] 600/25
right [66] 565/24 569/5 576/22 580/15 580/21 581/18 582/5 582/6 583/2
583/4 584/18 587/16 587/24 588/14 588/19 589/6 589/7 589/13 589/13 589/15 589/17 590/8 590/10 590/17 590/18 590/25 591/14 591/16 592/13 592/14 593/15 593/19 594/6 594/21 595/19 596/4 596/8 596/16 597/4 597/23 598/17 599/7 599/9 599/25 600/5 600/5 600/6 601/24 602/4 602/10 602/14 602/21 603/2 603/5 603/20 604/2 604/20 605/2 605/20 607/15 609/3 610/10 610/16 610/25 629/3 649/13
ringing [1] 602/11
riot [1] 586/4
rioters [4] 590/7 600/3 600/3 601/4
risk [2] 648/17 649/6
Robert [3] 586/8 600/13 600/15
Roger [1] 570/19
role [1] 645/17
room [7] 563/3 585/1 610/12 635/11
636/1 636/21 640/12
route [1] 589/24
row [1] 612/25
Rozzoni [3] 562/14 564/5 565/9
RPR [2] 563/2 651/17
Rudolph [4] 575/5 592/4 596/12 618/23
Rudy [6] 575/23 587/8 587/13 598/4 611/2 619/6
rule [1] 614/15
ruled [2] 620/6 620/7
run [1] 607/21
running [1] 580/13
Russian [1] 644/13
S
said [64] 566/25 568/14 569/16 569/19
569/21 570/14 571/5 572/3 573/12
573/14 575/20 578/11 586/24 587/1
587/4 587/7 587/12 587/13 588/14 594/10 594/16 595/19 596/22 596/25 597/1 597/3 597/17 598/2 598/4 598/6 598/7 598/10 599/7 599/11 599/15 599/18 599/25 600/7 600/8 600/14 600/14 600/18 600/20 601/2 602/1 602/12 603/13 604/18 605/1 607/7 609/20 609/22 610/10 611/19 611/23 613/2 616/10 630/1 639/13 646/14 647/16 647/20 648/4 651/11
Sam [1] 565/10
same [3] 589/19 593/8 624/21
Samuel [1] 562/22
sat [1] 609/16
satisfy [1] 593/7
Save [3] 572/14 582/21 608/10
saving [1] 647/3
saw [21] 566/17 583/3 587/8 589/21 591/19 592/16 598/17 600/3 602/12 602/13 602/14 602/15 603/6 608/4
612/18 612/19 612/20 617/20 617/23 617/24 617/25

603/2 606/1 610/8 611/1 611/5 613/6 613/16 622/3 639/5 639/18 641/11 644/13 645/3 646/10 647/10 647/13 saying [5] 567/10 603/19 603/21 641/16 642/3
says [10] 575/14 603/7 603/13 603/14 603/19 604/12 608/9 609/14 618/4
639/14
scenario [1] 641/20
scientific [1] 622/13
Scooter [2] 570/19 570/24
screen [2] 565/23 576/22
scripted [1] 607/9
search [1] 580/3
seat [1] 613/19
seated [5] 572/9 585/13 612/14 644/4 646/7
seats [1] 638/3
Seattle [1] 562/19
second [12] 568/11 589/3 590/25
591/22 626/12 628/5 628/25 629/12
630/10 631/11 632/23 638/6
seconds [2] 575/19 581/24
secret [3] 629/16 629/17 629/18
security [1] 586/3
seduced [1] 608/21
see [15] 575/15 577/2 577/25 580/9
582/6 587/10 599/11 599/12 602/10
602/12 620/21 639/11 642/4 642/15
645/5
seem [2] 599/22 613/4
seen [3] 568/6 617/22 618/1
select [2] 640/12 640/15
selected [2] 637/24 638/1
selecting [2] 625/14 644/7
self [3] 624/12 627/16 634/22
self-explanatory [1] 634/22
Senate [5] 585/19 586/14 593/17
612/4 631/18
send [4] 603/22 604/12 615/10 637/7
sending [1] 635/11
sends [1] 604/12
SENIOR [1] 562/11
sense [6] 584/15 597/6 602/6 602/8
602/22 607/16
sent [5] 572/3 576/12 603/20 603/21 642/3
sentence [2] 565/15 634/8
sentencing [9] 646/4 646/6 646/9
649/1 649/8 650/1 650/2 650/9 650/10
separate [2] 625/22 625/24
separately [1] 625/23
serious [1] 648/15
seriously [1] 647/8
serve [1] 638/25
served [1] 579/5
service [3] 579/13 629/17 629/18
session [7] 590/6 592/19 626/22
631/15 631/22 632/12 632/14
seven [1] 637/20
several [2] 586/23 614/10
sex [1] 616/4
sexual [1] 616/4
Shamansky [4] 562/22 564/6 565/11 566/15
shametul tit 60:211
share [1] 639/9
shared [1] 613/10
she [21] 572/2 572/3 572/3 572/3
572/5 572/6 610/9 612/17 612/17
613/2 613/5 613/5 613/7 613/17
617/12 620/1 623/11 624/24 640/3
640/4 641/22
she'll [3] 640/2 640/24 646/5
shepherd [1] 640/18
shifts [1] 621/6
shit [1] 604/13
shoe [1] 566/21
shoes [1] 570/8
short [1] 575/13
shorthand [3] 563/7 651/8 651/12 shot [1] 603/7
should [56] 568/2 570/5 595/8 595/11
605/8 606/9 611/6 614/25 615/10
615/20 616/6 616/7 616/11 616/13
616/17 617/2 617/6 617/7 618/12 618/16 620/4 620/7 620/7 620/8 620/22 620/23 620/25 622/21 624/16 624/19 624/21 624/23 625/6 625/9 625/22 625/23 626/1 633/21 634/7
634/10 634/11 634/14 634/16 636/4 636/6 636/11 637/10 637/18 639/1 639/13 639/17 640/8 640/11 640/15 640/19 644/9
shoving [1] 630/24
show [3] 566/18 576/23 640/10
showing [8] 576/5 576/25 577/10
577/11 578/15 578/24 579/3 581/1
shown [4] 566/3 605/16 624/10 648/19
shows [6] 566/16 578/23 580/7 580/14 580/18 598/18
sick [2] 596/20 610/2
side [9] 571/5 578/17 618/16 618/19 618/21 619/22 624/12 642/5 642/9
sides [1] 641/10
sideshow [2] 611/14 611/15
sign [2] 635/5 635/9
signal [1] 578/7
signed [2] 637/8 637/11
significant [1] 645/16
Silver [2] 577/2 580/15
similar [2] 591/16 592/12
Similarly [1] 619/20
since [3] 571/24 572/11 601/17
sincere [1] 647/16
sincerity [1] 648/4
single [1] 571/15
sinister [1] 610/4
sir [6] 573/17 583/10 583/14 584/11
642/23 649/8
sit [1] 613/19
sitting [2] 610/7 610/11
situated [2] 607/18 609/17
six [15] 588/12 592/14 593/8 593/20
596/18 604/4 605/9 606/14 632/16
634/22 635/3 637/20 637/20 642/22
643/19
size [1] 608/6
sleep [1] 617/25
sleeping [2] 612/16 613/7

S Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB slightly [1] 575/21
slow [1] 626/17
smaller [1] 618/19
smell [1] 596/23
snow [4] 617/21 617/22 617/24 617/25
snowed [1] 618/2
so [94]
social [1] 568/9
sole [3] 615/13 616/14 622/19
solely [3] 616/6 634/10 636/15
some [29] 566/6 573/4 579/8 584/7
586/1 587/1 596/9 598/2 598/7 598/16
603/3 603/13 603/20 604/23 607/3
612/14 615/8 620/16 621/20 628/11
628/11 628/13 635/21 636/8 644/13
645/3 645/10 647/5 649/16
somebody [4] 607/14 639/14 640/15 647/19
somehow [1] 583/2
someone [3] 600/19 603/16 640/19
someone's [2] 633/8 633/11
something [13] 585/18 589/14 591/6 591/11 593/1 604/15 604/16 605/5 611/16 631/25 638/17 641/11 649/12 sometimes [4] 578/2 578/5 619/22

## 620/14

somewhat [1] 598/7
soon [2] 636/18 645/18
sorry [6] 609/21 617/6 617/15 625/5
630/13 632/5
sort [1] 640/18
sought [2] 569/19 605/24
soul [1] 610/4
south [1] 577/3
Span [2] 574/18 574/21
speak [2] 645/22 645/24
speaker [1] 583/5
speakers [1] 574/25
speaking [5] 575/7 575/8 642/19
643/23 645/23
special [5] 564/5 573/3 573/22 574/5 586/7
specific [1] 578/8
specifically [6] 569/16 569/17 569/19
571/23 576/25 580/4
speculate [1] 620/5
speculation [1] 622/10
speech [13] 572/14 573/9 573/10
574/11 574/12 574/13 574/14 575/14 584/13 584/19 594/3 598/19 598/20
spirit [1] 571/19
spoke [1] 575/5
spokesperson [1] 640/21
spot [1] 578/6
spray [1] 602/25
sprayed [3] 596/22 596/23 602/21
Spring [2] 577/2 580/15
spur [1] 598/16
Square [1] 598/23
staff [1] 591/25
stage [1] 608/24
stand [17] 585/22 585/24 585/25 586/4 586/20 594/5 596/20 597/14 600/14 600/21 601/18 604/6 604/23
604/24 607/19 611/10 623/5
standing [2] 602/14 604/20
stands [1] 623/12
suggest [1] ${ }^{634 / 15} 101$
588/24 638/10 638/21 640/5 suggesting [1] 567/12
started [2] 574/15 609/9
suggests [1] 604/16
starting [1] 645/9
Suite [2] 562/15 562/18
starts [1] 577/3
superseding [12] 619/12 619/14
625/4 626/5 626/21 627/23 628/19 629/5 630/2 631/4 632/11 632/16
statement [6] 570/11 571/14 594/1 595/19 601/1 633/12
statements [13] 567/23 597/24 599/14
600/22 605/4 607/13 608/24 609/12
618/22 619/4 619/9 619/18 623/23
states [33] 562/1 562/3 562/12 565/3 565/6 565/9 589/4 589/5 589/6 589/10 589/13 594/19 595/2 598/19 602/3 617/12 626/20 628/20 628/22 629/1 629/3 631/10 631/16 631/17 631/17 631/18 631/18 632/12 632/13 632/22 643/3 646/17 651/6
stating [1] 592/3
station [1] 636/10
stay [1] 641/5
staying [1] 648/8
steal [9] 587/19 597/11 597/16 597/18 597/20 597/21 599/2 601/12 601/25 stealing [7] 588/25 589/14 589/14 597/8 602/3 604/22 609/10
steals [1] 597/9
step [3] 607/23 628/6 628/15
steps [1] 570/22
Stewart [1] 562/18
still [8] 575/2 589/7 598/21 600/8
601/5 603/12 638/10 647/25
stipulated [24] 579/20 588/13 588/15
589/2 589/2 589/9 589/23 590/2
590/21 590/22 591/7 591/9 591/18
591/20 591/23 593/13 600/11 605/25
607/21 616/24 617/1 617/2 648/12
648/23
stipulations [3] 588/17 588/20 590/13
stole [10] 586/2 586/2 586/3 589/3
589/16 591/7 591/8 593/18 593/19 612/5
stolen [4] 586/9 595/21 603/10 604/19
stood [2] 601/15 606/22
stop [3] 599/10 609/9 610/5
stopped [3] 572/16 599/13 604/10
story [6] 587/23 587/23 587/24 601/19
602/4 604/25
straight [3] 581/14 601/19 607/11
strange [1] 598/7
streamlined [1] 648/12
Street [4] 562/15 562/18 562/23
580/20
strength [1] 578/7
stricken [3] 565/14 620/7 620/11
strong [1] 608/22
stuff [1] 605/5
submitted [1] 580/4
subscribed [1] 651/13
substantial [2] 628/6 628/15
substitute [3] 584/14 613/16 638/5
subtle [1] 608/8
such [15] 616/2 616/13 617/4 617/7 617/11 619/24 620/15 621/21 624/13 624/16 630/19 633/5 636/12 636/14 636/25
supported [1] 624/9
supporters [1] 608/1
suppose [1] 584/21
supposedly [1] 605/4
sure [18] 566/21 569/25 570/4 570/16 574/5 574/14 575/12 576/3 576/24 578/3 578/13 579/18 582/25 587/9 599/6 601/1 612/14 642/13
surrounding [2] 623/16 633/12
susceptible [1] 609/18
suspended [1] 602/2
sustained [4] 565/17 620/3 620/10 644/25
swiped [1] 606/10
swiping [1] 607/20
switch [1] 566/16
sworn [1] 616/20
sympathy [2] 615/2 616/8
system [7] 616/1 644/14 644/14
644/19 645/15 645/16 647/7

## T

TABLE [1] 564/1
tainted [2] 568/1 568/5
take [26] 575/18 583/18 585/8 588/17
588/18 589/1 598/12 598/13 603/22
612/11 612/13 613/20 616/9 616/23
635/25 635/25 639/1 639/6 639/13
639/15 640/4 640/24 641/19 645/5
648/5 650/3
taken [10] 566/18 585/10 599/5 613/22
620/24 629/1 630/21 634/14 636/5 648/19
taker's [1] 636/7
taking [6] 582/9 582/11 589/14 589/17 647/8 649/11
talk [7] 569/7 593/23 594/13 596/16 636/19 638/12 646/1
talked [2] 581/11 594/12
talking [5] 571/3 581/8 600/18 646/1 650/16
tape [6] 592/16 597/8 604/22 614/12 615/4 635/13
tapes [9] 566/1 566/3 584/25 585/2
585/3 635/19 635/20 635/21 635/22
taping [1] 614/11
tattoos [1] 608/14
teaching [1] 644/12
tear [5] 596/22 596/23 602/21 602/25 639/2
tearing [1] 647/2
television [1] 636/11
tell [13] 574/13 581/21 587/20 592/23
595/6 601/20 604/24 605/1 610/10
610/20 614/16 624/15 636/18
telling [2] 603/1 623/9
temporarily [1] 629/17
ten [7] 583/18 585/8 612/9 612/11
612/13 613/20 641/16

## T

 Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB term [7] 626/19 626/21 629/14 629/18 633/1 633/6 649/14terms [5] 606/19 608/3 648/6 648/7 648/24
Terrace [1] 603/25
testified [12] 567/17 574/7 574/11 586/16 601/23 601/23 608/2 622/18 622/24 623/2 623/11 649/19
testifies [1] 617/21
testify [3] 600/1 627/15 627/20 testifying [4] 587/4 618/15 623/6 623/13
testimony [31] 567/3 567/16 569/1 569/3 587/22 588/2 588/22 597/23 597/25 602/7 612/20 616/20 616/20 616/24 617/13 617/22 618/1 618/18 618/20 622/17 623/22 623/23 623/25 624/7 624/14 624/16 624/19 624/22 624/24 647/14 651/8
text [11] 600/13 600/15 600/19 600/23 603/3 603/18 603/20 603/23 604/12 604/18 637/1
than [18] 581/9 584/19 584/19 594/23 595/8 598/11 601/11 611/6 618/11 618/20 621/19 621/22 625/6 637/11 638/24 640/17 646/21 650/17
thank [17] 572/12 572/19 579/3 580/22 583/10 583/13 605/9 605/11 610/16 612/8 641/25 642/7 644/5 644/19 645/17 649/8 651/1
thanks [1] 645/2
that [534]
that's [53] 568/4 569/3 574/9 574/24 575/3 576/13 580/12 582/14 583/10 586/15 586/24 587/15 587/22 588/24 589/4 589/14 589/17 590/10 590/19 591/1 591/14 592/9 593/20 595/5 596/4 596/25 597/4 597/20 597/21 600/9 601/22 603/8 603/10 603/25 605/18 605/21 606/2 606/15 607/1 607/13 607/15 608/22 609/4 609/24 611/5 611/13 612/5 613/12 640/10 641/11 641/14 644/18 649/12
theft [5] 588/24 606/7 628/20 628/22 643/3
their [11] 568/7 571/3 608/14 608/14 608/14 608/15 619/9 636/6 638/3 646/2 647/9
theirs [1] 639/15
them [31] 568/6 568/9 571/4 571/23 572/6 585/3 585/4 586/18 592/7 594/22 594/22 595/25 598/7 606/20 608/12 611/23 614/21 619/25 620/11 635/14 636/1 638/2 639/2 639/9 642/13 642/15 645/23 645/24 646/1 646/2 646/11
themselves [2] 588/20 625/15 then [48] 566/8 566/9 567/18 569/21 571/4 577/6 577/17 578/12 580/8 580/16 581/4 583/19 583/24 585/8 586/6 586/16 586/23 587/9 591/11 592/11 593/20 594/2 597/17 598/9 598/22 599/15 599/23 599/24 600/17 600/18 602/4 602/13 603/7 603/13 603/18 604/9 613/15 613/19 617/25 622/4 634/24 635/4 635/8 638/19

593/8 592/12592/21,594/21 594/25 595/29595/48091/18661/23 602/24
566/25 570/2 575/1 580/18 586/1 586/4 586/13 587/9 587/13 588/13 592/5 596/14 596/19 597/2 597/4 597/5 597/5 597/10 598/6 598/14 598/17 599/8 599/17 599/18 599/24 600/5 600/5 600/14 601/9 601/15 602/17 602/18 604/24 605/5 609/3 609/20 617/9 620/18 620/22 623/21 636/9 636/11 636/15 637/24 642/8 645/19 646/11 646/18 646/19
there's [11] 566/5 571/6 571/20 578/14 581/3 590/16 592/11 607/3 610/24 613/1 633/9
thereby [1] 627/17
therefore [1] 638/1
Thereupon [14] 572/8 583/20 585/10 585/12 612/12 613/22 613/24 641/1 642/1 642/16 644/1 644/3 645/21 651/2
these [25] 577/9 578/2 578/5 578/8 588/23 590/7 592/14 596/14 596/19 597/24 599/14 600/3 601/20 602/25 603/3 605/4 606/18 606/20 608/3 608/21 614/18 615/6 644/8 648/14 649/7
they [45] 566/17 568/1 570/2 570/3 571/4 571/23 575/7 576/7 585/2 586/25 592/7 596/1 596/1 596/3 596/5 596/5 601/10 607/5 608/12 608/13 608/13 609/11 611/23 619/19 620/2 620/8 636/3 636/4 639/16 639/18 639/18 641/14 642/3 642/14 642/21 645/24 645/25 645/25 646/2 646/13 647/3 647/7 650/10 650/11 650/16
they're [8] 568/8 571/25 578/9 608/17 646/19 650/3 650/12 650/15
They've [1] 641/18
thing [10] 566/13 571/13 587/15 587/20 589/19 595/22 605/2 610/16 640/11 649/10
things [28] 586/23 586/24 587/1 587/14 587/16 590/2 591/21 592/7 594/9 596/2 596/3 596/5 598/1 598/2 598/4 598/6 599/2 600/1 601/21 602/21 605/1 605/23 609/9 612/5 615/20 645/3 645/6 649/17
think [43] 565/20 565/24 567/22 568/16 569/25 587/17 588/2 595/16 595/20 595/21 595/23 595/24 596/1 596/2 596/9 606/20 606/24 606/24 606/25 607/24 607/25 608/18 610/22 611/21 611/22 613/1 613/8 616/11 633/22 634/21 638/4 639/6 640/10 644/14 644/18 646/23 647/2 647/23 649/19 649/21 650/2 650/7 650/11
thinking [3] 595/12 625/10 633/10
thinks [1] 597/12
third [5] 589/5 629/2 630/13 631/14 632/24
this [183]
Thomas [7] 564/5 573/4 573/23 574/5 575/11 575/25 582/19
THOMPSON [59] 562/6 565/3 565/11 578/1 579/10 579/21 580/7 582/2 582/12 586/22 589/20 591/2 591/17

603/1 603/9 603/11 603/12 603/14 603/15 603/20 604/17 605/14 605/23 606/10 606/16 606/25 607/5 607/18 608/2 608/18 609/4 609/7 609/16 609/20 610/7 611/10 611/24 621/7 621/11 621/15 626/6 627/24 628/20 629/6 630/3 631/5 632/17 633/13 633/24
Thompson's [14] 573/5 573/8 576/6 576/9 576/15 577/1 577/10 578/15 578/23 579/18 580/25 601/20 619/16 621/17
those [42] 567/1 581/9 581/10 581/11 587/13 588/12 588/16 588/17 590/2
590/12 590/14 591/21 593/15 593/18 596/3 596/5 598/4 599/2 600/3 600/6 603/22 603/23 604/1 608/11 608/11 608/16 611/18 612/5 613/9 614/11 615/20 619/3 635/1 635/22 635/22 635/24 636/5 639/3 639/5 639/6 639/10 639/13
though [9] 583/2 586/21 599/4 599/5 599/5 603/14 638/9 638/16 639/16 thought [10] 571/10 572/3 594/6
601/21 604/25 613/5 646/2 647/13 647/14 647/15
thoughtful [1] 622/6
thousand [2] 578/8 648/22 threatening [1] 631/11 three [10] 591/11 591/16 592/18 593/18 597/23 600/18 601/6 606/14 632/21 643/7
through [19] 567/16 568/9 568/25
569/12 576/7 578/10 580/13 580/24 587/1 588/11 604/14 614/6 629/23 637/8 640/18 644/6 648/11 648/11 648/21
throughout [4] 621/4 621/6 638/11 644/11
throwing [1] 609/9
Thursday [1] 562/7
thus [1] 605/16
till [2] 578/25 650/16
time [25] 573/14 575/6 580/6 580/6
581/11 581/20 583/24 598/21 599/4 601/10 603/6 603/19 617/23 623/17 626/24 629/1 638/17 639/20 641/15 644/7 645/7 649/20 649/21 649/21 650/16
times [6] 574/25 578/6 587/7 612/15 612/17 613/5
timestamp [4] 575/16 580/16 581/21 584/22
timing [2] 574/13 583/21
today [5] 588/1 593/21 593/24 640/9 641/8
today's [1] 567/24
together [1] 609/16
told [21] 583/25 586/23 594/8 597/12 597/16 601/19 602/4 602/24 603/1
603/4 603/9 603/15 604/22 609/20
611/20 614/8 616/25 636/2 638/21 648/8 649/24
too [2] 587/11 607/17
took [17] 569/7 574/12 574/14 586/22

| T Case 1:21-cr-00679-JEB <br> took... [13] 589/2 598/9 601/2 601/3 <br> 607/14 616/22 628/13 628/25 629/2 <br> 639/2 639/16 639/18 644/10 <br> top [2] 586/24 612/25 <br> topic [1] 571/17 | $586 / 7587 / 758820588 / 23588$ 589418590125547759480592 593/24 606/7 617/9 626/9 628/1 631/17 638/1 642/13 643/3 type [2] 568/25 644/8 types [1] 617/9 | used [4] 610/1 619/9 626/20 627/9 uses [1] 630/21 <br> using [2] 601/4 602/22 <br> utilize [1] 584/7 <br> uttered [1] 631/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| totally [3] 568/7 613/17 647/14 | U |  |
| toward [2] 623/13 628/7 | U.S [13] | V |
| towers [1] 578/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 586 / 3587 / 18590 / 10590 / 11591 / 19 \\ & 591 / 20591 / 23601 / 3604 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | value [1] 614/24 <br> variance [2] 578/9 578/19 |
| transcribed [1] | Uber [5] 579/5 580/3 587/14 598/5 | variety [1] 620/18 |
| $6!$ | 599/6 | various [4] 576/8 591/5 646/16 646/17 |
| transcription [1] 5 | ultimately [2] 637/25 647/1 | vehicle [1] 581/1 |
| travel [1] 644/11 |  | verbatim [2] 584/4 584/9 |
| traveled [1] 609/7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unanım } \\ & 642 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 615/11 615/25 616/13 618/12 625/16 |
| treatment [1] 616/1 | unavailable [1] 613/15 | 625/18 625/20 626/1 626/4 634/1 |
| espassing [1] 567/20 | uncertain [1] 608/3 | 634/2 634/3 634/4 634/10 634/12 |
| trial [45] 562/10 566/21 567/2 570/14 570/19 570/20 570/24 | undeniable [1] 628/13 | 634/15 634/20 634/21 635/5 635/17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 570 / 1457 \\ & 571 / 1157 \end{aligned}$ | under [4] 586/23 623/19 630/23 | 637/18 640/14 640/20 640/22 641/8 |
| 594/25 594/25 595/2 612/15 613/17 | 637/15 | 641/19 642/4 642/12 642/17 642/20 |
| 614/3 614/14 614/16 615/15 616/10 | understand [7] 568/23 588/12 606/6 | 643/1 643/4 643/9 643/13 643/17 |
| 616/19 616/25 620/3 620/13 621/4 | 607/18 612/7 614/20 634/21 | 643/21 645/20 |
| 621/7 622/18 624/12 625/3 629/25 | understanding [3] 619/20 | s [2] |
| 633/21 635/24 636/3 636/16 636/23 |  | version [1] 572/5 <br> versus [1] 565/3 |
| 638/3 638/12 638/24 644/20 647/24 | undisputed [1] 588/17 | $\text { very [22] } 565 / 24 \text { 566/8 566/13 567/21 }$ |
|  | undoubtedly [1] 648/16 | 571/13 571/22 572/20 573/16 573/18 |
| 568/24 589/8 | unethical [2] 566/20 567/22 | 578/5 584/24 588/9 592/12 602/9 |
| $648 / 23$ | unfortunately [2] 646/12 646/24 | 610/4 610/16 638/3 642/24 643/2 |
| trip [1] 5 | UNITED [31] 562/1 562/3 562/12 | 644/21 646/23 |
| Trip [1] | 565/6 565/9 589/3 589/5 589/6 589/10 | vest [2] 598/8 598/1 |
| trouble [2] 646/24 | 589/13 594/19 595/1 598/19 602/3 | vice [2] 629/19 629/19 |
| troubling [3] 567/21 646/23 647/14 | 628/20 628/22 629/1 629/3 | vicinity [2] 578/15 600/12 |
| true [8] 567/15 586/24 587/24 590/2 | 631/10 631/16 63 | ly [1] |
| 600/22 606/2 621/19 624/8 | 651/6 | $574 / 21575 / 4575 / 23581 / 6581 / 20$ |
| Trump [31] 567/3 568/15 569/18 | unlawful [17] 586/1 58 | 581/24 583/3 584/23 5 |
| 572/14 574/11 592/3 592/6 592/9 | 592/25 593/16 597/2 597/5 604/23 | 591/19 594/2 599/21 600/3 604/3 |
| 594/9 594/10 594/12 594/15 594/20 | 611/11 611/13 611/25 627/9 627/10 | 608/5 620/16 620/17 |
| 595/20 595/21 595/24 595/25 596/2 | 627/12 627/21 629/12 631/25 | view [4] 635/19 646/25 649/16 649/18 |
| 596/3 596/12 596/14 597/12 603/19 608/5 610/21 611/2 611/15 611/22 | unless [1] 621/4 | violating [1] 571/19 |
| 608/5 610/21 611/2 611/15 611/22 612/3 618/23 619/6 | unnecessarily [1] 630/24 | violation [6] 626/7 628/21 629/7 630/5 |
| Trump's [4] 584/13 594/6 594/24 595/17 | unreasonableness [1] 624 unreasonably [1] 630/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 631/6 632/18 } \\ & \text { violence [3] 598/14 630/22 649/1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| trust [1] 5 | until [8] 571/16 598/19 598/25 621/4 | violent [1] 608/13 |
| truth [6] 587/20 605/1 610/10 621/20 | 637/17 640/3 650/7 650/18 | visiting [1] 629/1 |
| 623/9 624/15 | un | VOLUME [1] 562/ |
| truthful [2] 623/7 647/15 |  |  |
| truthfully [1] 622/25 | $5$ | vulnerable [2] 608/21 609/1 |
| try [11] 567/7 569/12 570/25 596/19 | 580/11 580/11 580/14 580/22 586/ |  |
| 600/2 606/25 607/19 607/22 637/10 | 586/22 587/25 589/8 592/24 594/5 | W |
| 49/13 | 594/8 595/7 595/7 596/14 596/20 | WA [1] 562/19 |
| trying [7] 568/15 569/14 584/18 | 598/23 600/4 601/18 601/19 604/2 | wait [1] 640/3 |
| 597/11 603/21 611/14 645/12 | 606/22 609/2 609/20 611/5 618/1 | waiting [1] 572/4 |
| Tuesday [1] 641/9 | 618/2 625/5 625/5 633/19 639/20 | waking [1] 618/1 |
| turn [2] 586/19 590/16 | 640/10 641/7 | walk [4] 587/1 588 |
| turn [2] 586/19 590/16 | upon [8] 570/23 614/15 621/25 622/1 | walked [4] 585/23 600/9 603/25 612/3 |
| turned [3] 586/21 647/11 647/ <br> TV [6] 566/14 567/23 570/10 57 | 634/24 636/15 644/24 645/13 | walking [3] 578/10 578/17 647/25 |
| $\text { TV [6] 566/14 567/23 570/10 } 571$ 606/2 606/3 | upper [1] 577/17 | walls [2] 568/3 608/6 |
| tweet [2] 575/12 575/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urged [1] 609/2 } \\ & \text { us [7] 576/9 607/22 613/17 635/21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WALTON [4] 562/11 605/11 } 605 \\ & 606 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| twice [1] 597/8 | $641 / 9645 / 14650 / 3$ | Walton's [2] 606/17 609/11 |
| twisted [1] 608/20 | usdoj.gov [2] 562/16 562/20 | Wang [1] 575/13 |
| Twitter [1] 575/12 two [23] 565/20 571/3 578/21 585/24 | use [11] 589/6 589/11 590/25 600/2 | want [14] 571/2 583/24 585/2 593/24 |

608/12 610/22 615/5 644/19 645/17 645/25 646/1
wanted [14] 566/10 567/5 567/6 570/2 595/25 597/1 600/8 601/8 601/9
601/12 601/14 608/24 610/12 635/25
wanting [1] 602/5
wants [5] 594/15 594/16 594/20
612/21 642/4
warrant [1] 580/3
warranted [1] 604/13
was [224]
Washington [9] 562/6 563/4 577/12 577/18 578/16 582/5 598/8 598/21 609/8
wasn't [4] 587/13 591/23 599/6 612/14 watch [3] 636/13 636/14 644/20
watched [2] 574/18 604/1
watching [2] 566/14 601/15
way [15] 567/13 568/24 569/23 580/17
583/14 598/11 598/22 599/7 610/5 612/24 619/13 633/9 634/8 637/5 647/20
waypoints [4] 576/8 577/9 581/9 581/10
ways [1] 613/3
we [65] 566/12 571/24 571/25 572/11 572/13 572/18 573/11 573/13 574/10 575/13 576/4 576/6 576/24 580/4 580/4 580/5 580/24 581/10 581/11 581/18 583/3 584/8 584/22 585/2 588/16 593/23 603/4 605/22 608/9 609/19 610/10 613/14 613/18 615/18 615/19 625/13 625/16 635/22 638/4 638/5 638/19 638/25 640/7 640/7 640/8 640/10 641/4 641/7 644/6 644/16 645/4 645/6 645/7 645/8 645/9 645/10 646/4 646/5 646/12 646/24 648/11 648/21 648/22 648/22 648/22
we'll [14] 566/8 583/18 583/19 585/3
585/8 585/8 585/13 612/11 612/13
613/18 613/20 636/19 646/5 650/20
we're [9] 581/23 584/13 584/20 595/6 641/16 645/12 645/13 650/14 650/16
we've [4] 576/22 581/21 583/17 584/6
weak [1] 647/19
weak-minded [1] 647/19
weapon [2] 601/5 607/15
Wednesday [1] 650/21
week [1] 650/20
weigh [1] 612/21
weight [8] 614/24 615/16 617/7 618/7
618/9 618/14 624/16 624/23
welcome [2] 642/5 642/15
well [27] $565 / 24566 / 8566 / 13568 / 20$ 569/21 571/13 571/22 572/20 573/16 573/18 574/12 579/10 584/24 589/7 591/7 591/22 599/11 599/19 603/3 604/18 613/4 639/8 642/24 643/23 646/10 649/9 649/15
went [5] 577/11 578/1 595/1 599/25 617/25
were [56] 565/13 565/20 566/3 566/17 566/22 567/24 568/14 568/17 569/20 570/3 570/8 575/4 575/7 578/19 580/4 583/8 586/18 586/25 590/12 591/21

608/13 608/13 608/13 608/16 608/25 609/23 609/25 609/25 610/1 613/5 616/21 616/25 620/14 620/15 620/23 625/13 625/14 635/15 635/16 637/24 638/1 638/2 644/6 646/13 646/13 646/18
west [3] 601/16 603/25 604/1 what [136]
what's [4] 579/3 584/4 610/13 646/7 whatever [2] 597/11 607/20 whatsoever [1] 646/15
when [80] 566/14 567/13 567/24 575/5
575/7 575/14 581/4 583/22 585/19 585/23 585/24 585/25 586/6 586/8 587/4 587/8 587/13 588/16 589/15 590/3 593/17 593/18 594/5 594/18 595/15 596/1 596/5 596/21 597/5 597/14 597/19 598/3 598/10 598/18 598/19 599/4 599/15 599/16 599/18 600/7 600/14 600/18 600/20 601/2 601/18 601/23 601/25 602/7 602/10 602/23 603/24 603/25 604/5 604/24 605/3 605/7 607/25 609/23 609/24 609/25 610/1 611/11 612/5 613/18 615/11 617/3 617/11 619/22 625/13 629/2 630/18 640/4 640/11 640/20 644/6 644/7 649/19 650/3 650/7 650/14
where [27] 571/10 574/10 577/11 577/13 577/18 580/2 581/10 581/15 581/21 582/2 582/6 582/8 582/10 588/20 590/16 598/3 598/21 599/12 600/1 605/3 615/24 620/22 629/16 641/2 644/9 646/17 647/5
whereabouts [1] 578/15
whereof [1] 651/13
whether [42] 565/15 565/18 566/9 571/23 572/10 583/8 585/18 587/8 587/11 592/6 595/8 595/12 596/9 609/16 611/6 611/17 613/17 618/8 619/10 622/15 622/20 622/24 622/25 623/6 623/7 623/8 623/9 623/11 623/21 624/1 624/7 624/8 624/13 625/1 625/6 625/10 625/14 629/24 633/23 637/21 644/25 649/20 which [46] 565/17 565/21 565/21 566/5 567/15 570/23 579/20 580/20 584/22 587/16 590/17 598/24 605/20 606/5 607/22 608/4 609/13 613/9 615/22 616/22 617/9 617/15 617/18 618/17 619/11 620/10 621/11 621/25 622/21 623/1 623/10 623/19 625/23 626/7 628/2 628/21 628/23 629/7 630/4 631/6 632/18 633/14 634/18 635/21 638/12 639/2
while [9] 566/23 599/24 603/1 607/13 615/6 615/14 618/2 645/8 648/6 whipped [1] 609/2
White [4] 577/13 578/16 578/25 598/23
who [21] 567/11 568/15 595/1 608/9 608/9 608/19 608/23 610/5 619/25 622/18 627/19 635/24 636/5 638/1 639/13 639/14 640/13 640/15 644/17 646/13 646/25
whg's [3] 5p8/4 58866638/18
whove 11 15 509311
whole [9] 586/7 586/17 586/18 586/21
595/21 597/6 599/1 606/9 615/8
whom [1] 570/16
whomever [1] 609/22
whose [1] 608/20
why [17] 569/17 574/13 578/2 588/9 594/14 596/16 598/1 598/12 601/25 604/7 610/14 620/18 620/24 640/10 641/14 647/25 648/14
wife [2] 603/18 610/8
will [50] 570/17 583/18 584/7 584/10
584/25 586/25 592/2 592/14 592/22
592/23 595/6 596/19 606/5 609/11 612/7 614/3 614/6 614/7 614/9 614/11 615/3 629/17 634/12 634/23 634/25 635/5 635/8 635/11 635/16 635/22 637/11 638/8 639/3 639/5 640/4 640/5 640/7 640/13 640/13 640/16 640/19 640/21 640/22 641/2 641/19 642/8 642/11 645/24 645/25 649/25
willful [1] 633/15
willfully [13] 592/21 592/21 592/23
592/25 593/2 593/14 631/20 631/24 632/2 632/4 632/5 632/24 633/6
willfulness [3] 593/8 633/8 633/11
William [2] 562/17 565/5
william.dreher [1] 562/20
willing [2] 605/16 646/13
window [2] 617/20 617/24
windows [1] 602/18
wisdom [1] 614/18
wish [5] 609/24 610/11 635/19 636/1 636/22
within [1] 594/18
without [12] 589/6 589/13 589/15
589/17 614/18 615/2 616/8 620/17 629/3 629/12 646/14 650/1
witness [40] 566/11 567/16 567/17
567/19 571/17 572/24 573/21 577/15 577/20 582/15 607/10 607/19 617/11 620/6 622/21 622/21 622/24 622/25 623/1 623/3 623/5 623/5 623/6 623/7 623/8 623/9 623/11 623/19 623/24 624/7 624/8 624/10 624/14 624/15 624/16 624/22 624/24 627/15 627/20 651/13
witness' [6] 617/13 623/5 623/15 623/22 623/23 623/25
witness's [1] 622/23
witnesses [11] 564/2 569/14 614/25 615/17 616/21 618/15 618/18 618/19 618/20 622/18 622/20
woke [1] 618/1
won't [1] 608/9
words [8] 593/2 606/20 608/5 614/19 620/16 630/21 632/1 634/3
work [1] 610/7
worked [2] 610/6 612/23
working [2] 609/16 648/9
world [4] 567/24 591/1 607/10 644/12
worry [4] 588/21 590/1 590/13 596/15
worse [2] 594/23 641/20
worth [2] 568/19 647/3
would [55] 565/3 566/21 567/15
567/17 567/22 568/25 569/4 569/11


