IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Case No. 21-mj-586

:

v.

VIOLATIONS:

RAFAEL RONDON, : 18 U.S.C. §§ 641 & 2

(Theft of Government Property)

and

: 18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2)

MARYANN MOONEY-RONDON, : (Obstruction of an Official Proceeding)

:

:

Defendants. : 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (2)

(Entering and Remaining and Disorderly

and Disruptive Conduct in a Restricted Building or Grounds)

:

40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(B), (C), and (D)
(Entering and Remaining in the Gallery of Congress, Entering and Remaining in Certain Rooms in the Capitol Building,

: Certain Rooms in the Capitol Building : and Disorderly Conduct in a Capitol

Building)

MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant is executed, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, Criminal Complaint, and Arrest Warrant in the above-captioned matter, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

1. The United States is investigating allegations that Rafael Rondon willfully and knowingly entered the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021 without legal authority, and

participated in criminal and disorderly conduct in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 641 & 2 (Theft of Government Property); 18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2) (Obstruction of an Official Proceeding); 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) (Entering and Remaining in a Restricted Building or Grounds); 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2) (Disorderly and Disruptive Conduct in a Restricted Building or Grounds); 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(B) (Entering and Remaining in the Gallery of Congress); 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(C) (Entering and Remaining in Certain Rooms in the Capitol Building); and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D) (Disorderly Conduct in a Capitol Building).

- 2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation and planned arrest(s) in this matter.
- 2. As stated in <u>Washington Post v. Robinson</u>, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if "(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest." <u>Id.</u> at 290 (quoting <u>Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court</u>, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).
- 3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings

¹ On June 29, 2021, federal agents executed a search warrant issued by a Magistrate Judge in the Northern District of New York on the residence shared by Maryann Mooney-Rondon and her son, Rafael Rondon, both of whom are subjects in this investigation. Although the subjects are aware of the existence of the FBI investigation, they are not aware of the full scope of the investigation or of the government's intention to seek warrants for their arrest.

related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court's public

files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal

notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons

who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in

Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order, means that the

defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest her. Thus, if this Motion

or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Complaint

and Arrest Warrant.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order

directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest

Warrant, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting

Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS

ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

D.C. Bar No. 415793

By: /s/ Christopher B. Brown

Christopher B. Brown, D.C. Bar No. 1008763

Assistant United States Attorney

U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia

555 Fourth Street, N.W., Room 4241

Washington, D.C. 20530

(202) 252-7243

Christopher.Brown6@usdoj.gov

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Case No: 21-mj-586

:

v.

VIOLATIONS:

RAFAEL RONDON, : 18 U.S.C. §§ 641 & 2

(Theft of Government Property)

and

: 18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2)

MARYANN MOONEY-RONDON, : (Obstruction of an Official Proceeding)

:

:

Defendants. : 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (2)

(Entering and Remaining and Disorderly

and Disruptive Conduct in a Restricted Building or Grounds)

:

40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(B), (C), and (D)
(Entering and Remaining in the Gallery of
Congress, Entering and Remaining in
Certain Rooms in the Capitol Building,

and Disorderly Conduct in a Capitol

: Building)

ORDER

This matter having come before the Court pursuant to the application of the United States to seal criminal complaint, the Court finds that, because of such reasonable grounds to believe the disclosure will result in flight from prosecution, destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation, the United States has established that a compelling governmental interest exists to justify the requested sealing.

1. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application is hereby GRANTED, and that the affidavit in support of criminal complaint and other related materials, the instant application to seal, and this Order are sealed until the arrest warrant is executed.

	2.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall delay any entry on the
public docket of the arrest warrant until it is executed.		
Date:	Septen	hber 2, 2021 HONORABLE ZIA M. FARUQUI UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE