

APPEAL,CAP,CAT B

**U.S. District Court
District of Columbia (Washington, DC)
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:21-cr-00035-EGS-7**

Case title: USA v. SABOL et al

Date Filed: 01/29/2021

Assigned to: Judge Emmet G. Sullivan

Defendant (7)

RONALD COLTON MCABEE

represented by **Isaiah S Gant**
810 Broadway
Suite #200
Nashville, TN 37203
(615)736-5047
Email: skip_gant@fd.org
TERMINATED: 10/25/2021
LEAD ATTORNEY
*Designation: Public Defender or Community
Defender Appointment*

William Lee Shipley , Jr.
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM L.
SHIPLEY
PO Box 745
Kailua, HI 96734
808-228-1341
Email: 808Shipleylaw@gmail.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

John M. Pierce
JOHN PIERCE LAW
21550 Oxnard Street
3rd Floor, PMB #172
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
(213) 279-7846
Email: jpierce@johnpiercelaw.com
TERMINATED: 04/28/2022
Designation: Retained

Michelle M. Peterson
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER FOR
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
625 Indiana Avenue, NW
Suite 550
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 208-7500 ext 125

Fax: (202) 208-7515
Email: shelli_peterson@fd.org
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Pending Counts

18:111(a)(1) and (b), and 2;
ASSAULTING/RESISTING/IMPEDING
OFFICERS/EMPLOYEES; Assaulting,
Resisting, or Impeding Certain Officers
Inflicting Bodily Injury and Aiding and
Abetting
(3)

18:111(a)(1);
ASSAULTING/RESISTING/IMPEDING
OFFICERS/EMPLOYEES; Assaulting,
Resisting, or Impeding Certain Officers
(7)

18:231(a)(3); CIVIL DISORDER; Civil
Disorder
(8)

18:111(a)(1) and (b), and 2;
ASSAULTING/RESISTING/IMPEDING
OFFICERS/EMPLOYEES; Inflicting
Bodily Injury on Certain Officers and
Aiding and Abetting
(9s)

18:231(a)(3); CIVIL DISORDER; Civil
Disorder
(10)

18:111(a)(1);
ASSAULTING/RESISTING/IMPEDING
OFFICERS/EMPLOYEES; Assaulting,
Resisting, or Impeding Certain Officers
(12s)

18:231(a)(3); CIVIL DISORDER; Civil
Disorder
(14s)

18:1752(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A);
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF THE
PRESIDENT; Entering and Remaining in a
Restricted Building or Grounds with a
Deadly or Dangerous Weapon
(16)

18:1752(a)(2) and (b)(1)(A);
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF THE
PRESIDENT; Disorderly and Disruptive
Conduct in a Restricted Building or

Disposition

Grounds with a Deadly Weapon
(17)

18:1752(a)(4) and (b)(1)(A);
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF THE
PRESIDENT; Engaging in Physical
Violence in a Restricted Building or
Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous
Weapon
(18)

18:1752(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A);
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF THE
PRESIDENT; Entering and Remaining in a
Restricted Building or Grounds with a
Deadly or Dangerous Weapon
(18s)

18:1752(a)(2) and (b)(1)(A);
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF THE
PRESIDENT; Disorderly and Disruptive
Conduct in a Restricted Building or
Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous
Weapon
(19s)

18:1752(a)(4) and (b)(1)(A);
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF THE
PRESIDENT; Engaging in Physical
Violence in a Restricted Building or
Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous
Weapon
(20s)

40:5104(e)(2)(F); VIOLENT ENTRY
AND DISORDERLY CONDUCT ON
CAPITOL GROUNDS; Act of Physical
Violence in the Capitol Grounds or
Buildings
(22)

40:5104(e)(2)(F); VIOLENT ENTRY
AND DISORDERLY CONDUCT ON
CAPITOL GROUNDS; Act of Physical
Violence in the Capitol Grounds or
Buildings
(24s)

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

Felony

Terminated Counts

None

Disposition

Highest Offense Level (Terminated)

None

Complaints

None

Disposition

Interested Party

PRESS COALITION

represented by **Charles D. Tobin**
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1909 K Street, NW
12th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
202-661-2218
Fax: 202-661-2299
Email: tobinc@ballardspahr.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

Lauren Russell
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1909 K Street, NW
12th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
202-661-2200
Fax: 202-661-2299
Email: russell@ballardspahr.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

Maxwell S. Mishkin
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1909 K Street, NW
12th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 508-1140
Fax: (202) 661-2299
Email: mishkinm@ballardspahr.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

Plaintiff

USA

represented by **Colleen D. Kukowski**
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

U.S. Attorney's Officer for the District of Columbia
 555 4th St. NW
 Washington, DC 20530
 202-252-2646
 Email: colleen.kukowski@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Assistant U.S. Attorney

Kimberly Louise Paschall
 U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 555 Fourth Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20530
 (202) 252-2650
 Email: kimberly.paschall@usdoj.gov
TERMINATED: 02/18/2021
LEAD ATTORNEY
Designation: Assistant U.S. Attorney

Benet Kearney
 DOJ-USAO
 One Saint Andrew's Plaza
 New York, NY 10007
 212-637-2260
 Fax: 212-637-2243
 Email: benet.kearney@usdoj.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Assistant U.S. Attorney

Matthew Moeder
 DOJ-USAO
 400 E. 9th Street
 Suite 5510
 Kansas City, MO 64106
 816-426-3122
 Email: matthew.moeder@usdoj.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Assistant U.S. Attorney

Date Filed	#	Page	Docket Text
08/04/2021	<u>92</u>		SEALED SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1) count(s) 1ss, 2ss, 5ss, 6ss, 8ss-9ss, 13ss-14ss, 15ss, 16ss, 17ss, 18ss, 22ss, PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2) count(s) 1ss, 2ss, 9ss, 16ss, 17ss, 18ss, 22ss, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (3) count(s) 1ss, 7ss, 9ss-10ss, 11ss, 19ss, 20ss, 21ss, 22ss, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4) count(s) 3s, 4s, 8s-9s, 19s, 20s, 21s, 22s, JACK WADE WHITTON (5) count(s) 2s, 5s, 8s-9s, 12s, 16s, 17s, 18s, 22s, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6) count(s) 2, 9, 19, 20, 21, 22, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) count(s) 3, 7, 8, 10, 16, 17, 18, 22. (zstd) (Entered: 08/05/2021)

08/04/2021	<u>94</u>	MOTION to Seal Case by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (3), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4), JACK WADE WHITTON (5), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7). (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(zstd) (Entered: 08/06/2021)
08/04/2021	<u>95</u>	ORDER granting <u>94</u> Motion to Seal Case as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC SR. (3), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4), JACK WADE WHITTON (5), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7). Signed by Magistrate Judge Robin M. Meriweather on 8/4/2021. (zstd) (Entered: 08/06/2021)
08/17/2021	<u>99</u>	Arrest Warrant Returned Executed on 8/17/2021 in Nashville, Tennessee as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (bb) (Entered: 08/17/2021)
08/17/2021		Arrest of RONALD COLTON MCABEE in Tennessee. (bb) (Entered: 08/17/2021)
08/17/2021		Case unsealed as to LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (bb) (Entered: 08/17/2021)
09/03/2021	<u>107</u>	NOTICE of Status of Discovery by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/03/2021)
09/08/2021	<u>108</u>	Emergency MOTION to Stay and for Review and Appeal of Release Order by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/08/2021)
09/08/2021	109	MOTION for Review and Appeal of Release Order by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (See docket entry <u>108</u> to view document.) (zstd) (Entered: 09/08/2021)
09/08/2021	<u>110</u>	ORDER granting <u>108</u> Emergency Motion to Stay as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7).Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/8/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/08/2021)
09/08/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). In view of 109 the Government's Emergency Motion for Review and Appeal of Release Order, it is hereby ORDERED that the parties shall adhere to the following expedited briefing schedule: Defendant shall file a response by no later than September 13, 2021; and the government shall file any reply by no later than September 16, 2021. A hearing on the Government's Motion will be set for the following week. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Government shall file on this docket the written opinion and release order issued by U.S. Magistrate Judge Jeffrey S. Frensley, U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, as to Mr. McAbee, or, if no such written opinion and release order was issued, the Government shall file transcripts of the August 26, 2021 and September 8, 2021 detention hearings before Magistrate Judge Frensley. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/8/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/08/2021)
09/09/2021		

		Set/Reset Deadlines as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07):Defendant Response due by 9/13/2021. Government Reply due by 9/16/2021. (mac) (Entered: 09/09/2021)
09/10/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). A hearing on 109 the Government's Emergency Motion for Review and Appeal of Release Order will be held on September 22, 2021 at 1:00 p.m. via video teleconference. The parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/10/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/10/2021)
09/12/2021		Set/Reset Hearings as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07):Motion Hearing set for 9/22/2021 at 1:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (mac) (Entered: 09/12/2021)
09/13/2021	<u>112</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Response to Govt Motion to Stay</i> by RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Peterson, Michelle) (Entered: 09/13/2021)
09/14/2021	<u>113</u>	RESPONSE by RONALD COLTON MCABEE re 109 MOTION to Review, <u>108</u> Emergency MOTION to Stay <i>and for Review and Appeal of Release Order</i> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Transcript of Detention Hearing)(Peterson, Michelle) (Entered: 09/14/2021)
09/14/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) granting, nunc pro tunc, <u>112</u> Motion to Extend Deadline to File Response. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/14/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/14/2021)
09/15/2021	<u>128</u>	Rule 5(c)(3) Documents Received as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE from United States District Court Middle District of Tennessee Case Number 21-mj-2956 (zstd) (Entered: 09/22/2021)
09/16/2021	<u>115</u>	MOTION to Access <i>Video Exhibits</i> by PRESS COALITION as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Tobin, Charles) (Entered: 09/16/2021)
09/16/2021	<u>116</u>	REPLY by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE re <u>113</u> Response to motion (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit MDTN Order Setting Conditions of Release)(Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/16/2021)
09/16/2021	<u>117</u>	NOTICE of <i>Filing Exhibits Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49</i> by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE re <u>116</u> Reply to document (Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/16/2021)
09/17/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). Counsel for the Government and Mr. McAbee shall file responses to <u>115</u> Motion for Access to Video Exhibits by the Press Coalition by no later than September 20, 2021. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/17/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/17/2021)
09/18/2021	<u>120</u>	NOTICE of <i>Status of Discovery</i> by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/18/2021)
09/18/2021		Set/Reset Deadlines as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07): Government and Defendant Responses To <u>115</u> Motion For Access To Video Exhibits By The Press Coalition due by 9/20/2021 (mac) (Entered: 09/18/2021)

09/20/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) granting <u>118</u> Sealed Motion for Leave to File Document Under Seal. The government may file the exhibits subject to this motion under seal. The government shall also file redacted versions of the exhibits on the public docket as soon as possible and by no later than 5:00 p.m. today. The government is cautioned that redactions shall be made solely to the extent necessary to preserve the confidential nature of the relevant information.Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/20/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/20/2021)
09/20/2021	<u>123</u>	RESPONSE by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE re <u>115</u> MOTION to Access <i>Video Exhibits</i> (Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/20/2021)
09/20/2021	<u>124</u>	NOTICE of Filing of Transcript by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Transcript)(Kearney, Benet) (Entered: 09/20/2021)
09/20/2021	<u>125</u>	NOTICE of Filing of Redacted Exhibits by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit B, # <u>2</u> Exhibit C, # <u>3</u> Exhibit D, # <u>4</u> Exhibit E, # <u>5</u> Exhibit F, # <u>6</u> Exhibit G)(Kukowski, Colleen) (Entered: 09/20/2021)
09/22/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) granting <u>115</u> Motion for Access to Video Exhibits by Press Coalition. After consultation with and consent of the parties, the government is hereby directed to promptly make the video exhibits from the detention hearing held in this matter in the Middle District of Tennessee on August 26, 2021 and September 8, 2021, as well as the video exhibits from the detention hearing held in this matter before this Court today, publicly available without restrictions by providing access using the "drop box" technical solutions described in Standing Order 21-28, In re: Media Access to Video Exhibits in Pretrial Capitol Cases.Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/22/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/22/2021)
09/22/2021		Minute Entry for proceedings held Via VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan:Motion Hearing as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07) held on 9/22/2021 re <u>108</u> Emergency Motion to Stay and for Review and Appeal of Release Order filed by USA. The Court Heard Oral Arguments From Both Parties And The Government Also Admitted Exhibits. Motion Hearing is set for 10/6/2021 at 01:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. Bond Status of Defendant: APPEARED VIA VTC – REMAINS COMMITTED; Court Reporter: CATHRYN JONES; Defense Attorney: SKIP GANT; US Attorney: BENET KEARNEY; Pretrial Officer: CHRISTINE SCHUCK; (mac) (Entered: 09/23/2021)
09/22/2021	<u>129</u>	GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT LIST as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07) As To Hearing Held On 9/22/21 (mac) (Entered: 09/23/2021)
09/23/2021		Minute Entry for proceedings held Via VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan:Status Conference as to PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), and RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07) held on 9/23/2021. Government Discussed The Current Posture Of The Case. Over Objection Of Defendant PETER STAGER (02) And With The Consent OF The Other Defendants, The Court Will Toll Speedy Trial Time 9/23/21 – 11/22/21 In The Interest Of Justice. Status Conference set for 11/22/2021 at 11:00 AM in Telephonic/VTC

		before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan as to PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), and RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). Bond Status of Defendant: #2, #5, #7 – APPEARED VIA VTC – REMAINS COMMITTED; #3, #4, #6 – APPEARED VIA VTC – REMAINS ON PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE; Court Reporter: JANICE DICKMAN; Defense Attorney: #2 – DAVID BENOWITZ/ RAMMY BARBERI/MATTHEW WILSON; #3 – DENNIS BOYLE; #4 – PAT WOODWARD; #5 – BENJAMIN ALPERT; #6 – CARA HALVERSON; #7 – SKIP GANT; US Attorney: BENET KEARNEY; (mac) (Entered: 09/24/2021)
10/02/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6) granting 133 Unopposed Motion for Protective Order. The terms presented in [133–1] Protective Order Governing Discovery are hereby incorporated by reference into this Order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/2/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/02/2021)
10/04/2021	<u>134</u>	MOTION for Protective Order by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Kearney, Benet) (Entered: 10/04/2021)
10/05/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. The Court has learned that Mr. McAbee was recently transported from the detention facility in Kentucky to the D.C. Jail. Due to the unavailability of video teleconference time slots at the D.C. Jail, it is necessary to reschedule the hearing currently scheduled for October 6, 2021. The hearing shall now take place on October 13, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. via video teleconference. The parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/5/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/05/2021)
10/05/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) granting <u>134</u> Unopposed Motion for Protective Order. The terms presented in [134–1] Protective Order Governing Discovery are incorporated by reference in to this Order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/5/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/05/2021)
10/13/2021		Minute Entry for proceedings held Via VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan: Motion Hearing as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07) held on 10/13/2021 re 109 MOTION to Review filed by USA. The Court Will Grant The Government's Motion. The Court Will Issue A Written Memorandum Opinion On The Docket. Oral Motion By Defense Counsel To Withdraw As Counsel Heard And Is Under Advisement. The Court Asks That Current Counsel Remain In This Matter Until New Counsel Is Appointed. Current Motions Are Currently Tolling Speedy Trial Time. Status Conference set for 10/21/2021 at 1:45 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. Bond Status of Defendant: APPEARED VIA VTC – REMAINS COMMITTED; Court Reporter: LISA BANKINS; Defense Attorney: SKIP GANT; US Attorney: COLLEEN KUKOWSKI/ BENET KEARNEY; (mac) (Entered: 10/13/2021)
10/20/2021		MINUTE ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). In view of Mr. Gant's continuation of his representation of Mr. McAbee, the status conference currently scheduled for October 21, 2021, is no longer necessary and is hereby

		VACATED. The next status conference in this matter for all codefendants is scheduled for November 22, 2021. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/20/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/20/2021)
10/20/2021		Terminate Deadlines and Hearings as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07): (mac) (Entered: 10/20/2021)
10/20/2021		Set/Reset Hearings as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07):Status Conference set for 11/22/2021 at 11:00 AM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (mac) (Entered: 10/20/2021)
10/21/2021	<u>138</u>	MEMORANDUM REGARDING STATUS OF DISCOVERY AS OF OCTOBER 21, 2021 by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Kearney, Benet) Modified text on 10/22/2021 (zstd). (Entered: 10/21/2021)
10/25/2021	<u>139</u>	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE: John M. Pierce appearing for RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Pierce, John) (Entered: 10/25/2021)
10/26/2021	<u>140</u>	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE Matthew Moeder appearing for USA. (Moeder, Matthew) (Entered: 10/26/2021)
11/08/2021	<u>141</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Regarding Discovery</i> by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Kearney, Benet) (Entered: 11/08/2021)
11/17/2021	<u>145</u>	THIRD SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1) count(s) 1sss, 2sss, 3sss, 4sss, 5sss-6sss, 8sss, 9sss, 10sss, 14sss, 18sss, 19sss, 20sss, 24sss, PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2) count(s) 1sss, 10sss, 14sss, 18sss, 19sss, 20sss, 24sss, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (3) count(s) 1sss, 12sss, 14sss, 15sss, 21sss, 22sss, 23sss, 24sss, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4) count(s) 9ss, 11ss, 14ss, 21ss, 22ss, 23ss, 24ss, JACK WADE WHITTON (5) count(s) 9ss, 10ss, 14ss, 16ss, 17ss, 18ss, 19ss, 20ss, 24ss, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6) count(s) 10s, 14s, 21s, 22s, 23s, 24s, RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) count(s) 9s, 12s, 14s, 18s, 19s, 20s, 24s, MASON JOEL COURSON (8) count(s) 7, 10, 11, 14, 18, 19, 20, 24, JUSTIN JERSEY (9) count(s) 9, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 24. (zstd) (Entered: 11/18/2021)
11/17/2021	<u>147</u>	MOTION to Seal Case by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, MASON JOEL COURSON, JUSTIN JERSEY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(zstd) (Entered: 11/18/2021)
11/17/2021	<u>148</u>	ORDER granting <u>147</u> Motion to Seal Case as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC SR. (3), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4), JACK WADE WHITTON (5), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7), MASON JOEL COURSON (8), JUSTIN JERSEY (9). Signed by Magistrate Judge Robin M. Meriweather on 11/17/2021. (zstd) (Entered: 11/18/2021)

11/22/2021		Minute Entry for proceedings held Via VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan: Status Conference as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06) and RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07) held on 11/22/2021. Government Discussed Discovery As It Related To The Defendants. Over The Objection Of Defendants PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02) and JACK WADE WHITTON, The Court Tolls Speedy Trial From 11/22/21 – 02/07/2022 In the Interest Of Justice (XT) as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). Status Conference set for 2/7/2022 at 1:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07). Bond Status of Defendant: #1, #2, #5, #7 – APPEARED VIA VTC/REMAINS COMMITTED; #3, #4, #6 – APPEARED VIA VTC/REMAINS ON PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE; Court Reporter: LISA BANKINS; Defense Attorney: #1 – ALEX CIROCCO, #2 – DAVID BENOWITZ/RAMMY BARBERI/MATTHEW WILSON; #3 – DENNIS BOYLE; #4 – PAT WOODWARD; #5 – JOHN KIYONAGA; #6 – CARA HALVERSON; #7 – JOHN PIERCE; US Attorney: BENET KEARNEY/COLLEEN KUKOWSKI/MATTHEW MOEDER; (mac) (Entered: 11/22/2021)
12/02/2021	<u>152</u>	MOTION to Docket Redacted Third Superseding Indictment by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, MASON JOEL COURSON, JUSTIN JERSEY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Redacted Indictment)(zstd) (Entered: 12/02/2021)
12/02/2021	<u>153</u>	ORDER granting <u>152</u> Motion to Docket Redacted Indictment as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC SR. (3), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4), JACK WADE WHITTON (5), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7), MASON JOEL COURSON (8), JUSTIN JERSEY (9). Signed by Magistrate Judge Zia M. Faruqui on 12/2/2021. (zstd) (Entered: 12/02/2021)
12/02/2021	<u>154</u>	REDACTED INDICTMENT as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, JUSTIN JERSEY. (zstd) Modified text on 12/7/2021 (bb). (Entered: 12/02/2021)
12/21/2021	<u>165</u>	ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE granting <u>108</u> <u>109</u> the government's motion for review and appeal of release order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 12/21/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 12/21/2021)
12/21/2021	<u>166</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. Signed

		by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 12/21/2021. (lcegs2) (Entered: 12/21/2021)
02/07/2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan:Arraignment/Status Conference as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (1) PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2) MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC SR. (3) CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4) JACK WADE WHITTON (5) LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (6) RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) and JUSTIN JERSEY (9). All Defendants Consent To This Hearing Being Held Via VTC. Government Updated The Court On Discovery And Request Sixty (60) Day Continuance. Parties Discussed With The Court The Scheduling Of Next Status Conference and Jury Selection. The Court Sets Next Status Conference for 4/5/2022 at 12:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. Over Objection Of Defendant (s) PETER STAGER (02), and JACK WHITTON (05), The Court Will Toll Speedy Trial Time From 2/7/22 – 4/5/22 In The Interest Of Justice (XT) As To All Defendants. Jury Selection tentatively set for 11/30/2022 at 9:00 AM in Ceremonial Courtroom before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. All Defendants Plead Not Guilty To the <u>145</u> Third Superseding Indictment. The Court Will Grant Defendant MICHAEL LOPATIC (03) Of Curfew From 10:00pm – 6:00am. Bond Status of Defendant: ALL DEFENDANTS APPEARED VIA VTC ; #1, #2, #5, #7, #9 – REMAIN COMMITTED; #3, #4, #6 – REMAIN ON PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE; Court Reporter: LISA BANKINS; Defense Attorney: #1 – ALEX CIROCCO, #2 – DAVID BENOWITZ/RAMMY BARBERI, #3 – DENNIS BOYLE, #4 – PAT WOODWARD, #5 – JOHN KIYONAGA, #6 – CARA HALVERSON, #7 – JOHN PIERCE, #9 – ALFRED GUILLAUME; US Attorney: MATTHEW MOEDER; Pretrial Officer: MASHARIA HOLMAN; (mac) (Entered: 02/08/2022)
02/11/2022	<u>178</u>	NOTICE of United States' Memorandum Regarding Status of Discovery as of February 9, 2022 by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, MASON JOEL COURSON, JUSTIN JERSEY (Moeder, Matthew) (Entered: 02/11/2022)
02/15/2022		MINUTE ORDER as to MASON JOEL COURSON (08). Due to scheduling constraints at Northern Neck, the status conference currently scheduled for April 5, 2022 is hereby RESCHEDULED to April 7, 2022 at 2:00 pm. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 2/15/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 02/15/2022)
03/03/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting 177 Unopposed Motion for Protective Order as to MASON JOEL COURSON (8). The terms agreed to by the parties in [177-1] Protective Order Governing Discovery are hereby incorporated by reference into this Court's Order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 3/3/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 03/03/2022)
03/04/2022		ENTERED IN ERROR.....ORDER as to MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03). Pursuant to the discussion of Mr. Lopatic's conditions of release at the status conference on February 7, 2022, Mr. Lopatic is directed to file a motion for the requested modification. The Defendant shall indicate whether or not the motion is unopposed. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 3/4/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 03/04/2022)
03/04/2022		

		MINUTE ORDER as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01). Pursuant to the discussion about CTF telephone availability at the status conference on February 7, 2022, the Court has reached out to the General Counsel of the Department of Corrections and has been assured that any telephone problems at CTF will be addressed and resolved. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 3/4/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 03/04/2022)
03/04/2022	<u>181</u>	MOTION for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice William L. Shipley. Filing Fee \$100, receipt DCDC-9082902. Fee Status: Fee Paid. by RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration, # <u>2</u> Proposed Order)(Pierce, John). (Entered: 03/04/2022)
03/08/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>181</u> Motion for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7). William L. Shipley is hereby admitted pro hac vice in this action. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 3/8/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 03/08/2022)
03/11/2022	<u>183</u>	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE: William Lee Shipley, Jr appearing for RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Shipley, William) (Entered: 03/11/2022)
04/05/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting 185 Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Modify Conditions of Release as to CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4). The Court's Release Order issued on March 2, 2021 (ECF No. 11) is hereby modified to place Mr. Mullins on a curfew from 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. daily. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 4/5/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 04/05/2022)
04/05/2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held Via VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan:Status Conference as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07) and JUSTIN JERSEY (09) held on 4/5/2022. Government Discussed Current Posture Of This Matter. Without the Consent Of Defendant Jack Whitton, The Court Will Toll Speedy Trial From 4/5/22 - 11/30/22 In The Interest Of Justice (XT) as to ALL DEFENDANTS.Motion Hearing set for 10/19/2022 at 12:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan as to ALL DEFENDANTS. The Court Will Issue A Minute Order In Regards Filings Of Motions. Bond Status of Defendant: ALL DEFENDANTS APPEARED VIA VTC; #1,#2, #5, #7, #9 - REMAIN COMMITTED; #3,#4,#6 - REMAIN ON PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE; Court Reporter: LISA BANKINS; Defense Attorney: #1 - ALEX CIROCCO, #2 - MATTHEW WILSON, #3 - DENNIS BOYLE/BLERINA JASARI, #4 - PAT WOODWARD; #5 - JOHN KIYONAGA, #6 - MICHELLE PETERSON;#7 - WILLIAM SHIPLEY; #9 - ALFRED GUILLAUME; US Attorney: MATTHEW MOEDER/ BENET KEARNEY; (mac) (Entered: 04/06/2022)
04/14/2022		MINUTE ORDER as to MASON JOEL COURSON (08). The status conference scheduled for May 26, 2022 shall take place at 3:00 pm. As before, the hearing shall take place via VIDEO TELECONFERENCE. The parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information. In addition, the parties are ORDERED to comply with the Court's April 8, 2022 minute order and file a notice as to speedy trial time. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 4/14/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 04/14/2022)

04/19/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting 189 Joint Motion to Exclude Time Under the Speedy Trial Act as to MASON JOEL COURSON (8). With the consent of the parties, speedy trial time shall be tolled between April 5, 2022 through and including May 26, 2022, the date of the next status conference. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 4/19/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 04/19/2022)
04/28/2022	<u>190</u>	NOTICE of Withdrawal as Attorney by John Pierce. by RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Pierce, John) Modified text on 4/28/2022 (zstd). (Entered: 04/28/2022)
05/09/2022	<u>191</u>	MOTION for Reconsideration of <i>Detention</i> by RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Shipley, William) (Entered: 05/09/2022)
05/19/2022	<u>192</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to <u>191</u> MOTION for Reconsideration of <i>Detention</i> by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Kearney, Benet) (Entered: 05/19/2022)
05/19/2022	<u>193</u>	NOTICE of <i>No Opposition</i> by RONALD COLTON MCABEE (Shipley, William) (Entered: 05/19/2022)
05/20/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>192</u> Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7). The government shall file its response to Mr. McAbee's Motion for Reconsideration of Detention Order, see ECF No. 191; by no later than May 30, 2022. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 5/20/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 05/20/2022)
05/20/2022		Set/Reset Deadlines as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07):Government Response To Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Detention Order due by 5/30/2022 (mac) (Entered: 05/20/2022)
05/30/2022	<u>194</u>	Memorandum in Opposition by USA as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE re <u>191</u> MOTION for Reconsideration of <i>Detention</i> (Kearney, Benet) (Entered: 05/30/2022)
06/03/2022		MINUTE ORDER as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07), MASON JOEL COURSON (08), JUSTIN JERSEY (09) directing the parties to submit a joint proposed scheduling order by no later than June 15, 2022. In the event that counsel are unable to agree on a joint recommendation, each party shall file an individual recommendation by that time. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 6/3/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 06/03/2022)
06/03/2022		Set/Reset Deadlines as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), PETER FRANCIS STAGER (02), MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), JACK WADE WHITTON (05), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07), MASON JOEL COURSON (08), and JUSTIN JERSEY (09):Proposed Scheduling Order Or Individual Recommendation due by 6/15/2022 (mac) (Entered: 06/03/2022)
06/06/2022	<u>195</u>	REPLY TO OPPOSITION to Motion by RONALD COLTON MCABEE re <u>191</u> MOTION for Reconsideration of <i>Detention</i> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Service)(Shipley, William) (Entered: 06/06/2022)

06/07/2022	<u>196</u>	SUPPLEMENT by RONALD COLTON MCABEE <i>OF NEW VIDEO EVIDENCE AND ANALYSIS IN SUPPORT OF <u>191</u> MOTION TO RECONSIDER DETENTION</i> . (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Service)(Shipley, William) Modified to add link on 6/8/2022 (zstd). (Entered: 06/07/2022)
06/15/2022	<u>198</u>	NOTICE of Proposed Order <i>Scheduling Order</i> by USA as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, MASON JOEL COURSON, JUSTIN JERSEY re Set/Reset Deadlines, Order,, (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order Proposed Scheduling Order)(Moeder, Matthew) (Entered: 06/15/2022)
08/01/2022	<u>205</u>	PRETRIAL ORDER as to JEFFREY P. SABOL, PETER FRANCIS STAGER, MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR, CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, JACK WADE WHITTON, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, MASON JOEL COURSON, JUSTIN JERSEY. Due to the number of co-defendants, the Court will conduct two trials in this case. The first trial will commence on November 30, 2022 for the following defendants: Sabol (01), Stager (02), Whitton (05), McAbee (07), Jersey (09). The second trial will commence at a date to be determined for the following defendants: Lopatic (03), Mullins (04), Barnhart (06), Courson (08). See Pretrial Order for further details. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 8/1/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 08/01/2022)
08/08/2022	<u>207</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Order To Move Trial Group by RONALD COLTON MCABEE. (Shipley, William) (Entered: 08/08/2022)
08/08/2022	<u>208</u>	<p>TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS in case as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan held on September 22, 2021; Page Numbers: 1 – 48. Court Reporter/Transcriber Cathryn Jones, Telephone number 202 354–3246, Transcripts may be ordered by submitting Transcript Order Form. For the first 90 days after this filing date, the transcript may be viewed at the courthouse at a public terminal or purchased from the court reporter referenced above. After 90 days, the transcript may be accessed via PACER. Other transcript formats, (multi–page, condensed, CD or ASCII) may be purchased from the court reporter.</p> <p>NOTICE RE REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have twenty–one days to file with the court and the court reporter any request to redact personal identifiers from this transcript. If no such requests are filed, the transcript will be made available to the public via PACER without redaction after 90 days. The policy, which includes the five personal identifiers specifically covered, is located on our website at www.dcd.uscourts.gov.</p> <p>Redaction Request due 8/29/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/8/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/6/2022.(Jones, Cathryn) Modified defendant on 8/9/2022 (zstd). (Entered: 08/08/2022)</p>
08/09/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>207</u> unopposed motion to move to trial group as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7). Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on

		8/9/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 08/09/2022)
08/11/2022	<u>209</u>	NOTICE of Parties' Availability for Trial by USA as to CLAYTON RAY MULLINS, LOGAN JAMES BARNHART, RONALD COLTON MCABEE, MASON JOEL COURSON (Kearney, Benet) (Entered: 08/11/2022)
08/11/2022		Set/Reset Deadlines/Hearings as to MICHAEL JOHN LOPATIC, SR (03), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07), MASON JOEL COURSON (08): Notice due by 8/12/2022. Pre-Trial Motions due by 8/31/2022. Oppositions due by 9/14/2022. Replies due by 9/21/2022. Motion in Limine due by 9/14/2022 Oppositions To Motions In Limine due by 9/28/2022. Replies in Support of Motions In Limine due by 10/5/2022. Motion Hearing set for 10/19/2022 at 12:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. Motion Hearing set for 10/20/2022 at 12:00 PM in Telephonic/VTC before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (mac) (Entered: 08/11/2022)
08/11/2022		MINUTE ORDER as to CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07), MASON JOEL COURSON (08). In view of <u>209</u> Notice of Parties Availability for Trial, Jury Selection is scheduled for September 25, 2023 for trial of the following defendants: Mullins (04); Barnhardt (06); McAbee (07); and Courson (09). The Court will issue a Pretrial Order for the September 2023 Trial Group following the resolution of the motions for the November 2022 Trial Group since members of the September 2023 Trial Group may join in one or more of those motions. The Court observes that Speedy Trial time has been tolled through November 30, 2022 as to Mullins (04), Barnhardt (06), and McAbee (07) per the Minute Entry of proceedings of April 5, 2022; and through 9/28/2022 as to Courson (09) per the Minute Entry of proceedings of July 28, 2022. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 8/11/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 08/11/2022)
08/12/2022		Set/Reset Hearings as to CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07), MASON JOEL COURSON (08):Jury Selection/Trial set for 9/25/2023 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 24A- In Person before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (mac) (Entered: 08/12/2022)
08/17/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting 210 Motion for Hearing as to JUSTIN JERSEY (9). A change of plea hearing shall take place on September 7, 2022 at 3:00 pm. In light of the continuing public health emergency, the change of plea hearing will take place via ZOOM VIDEO TELECONFERENCE (VTC). The parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 8/17/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 08/17/2022)
08/19/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting 211 motion to schedule change of plea hearing as to JACK WADE WHITTON (5). A change of plea hearing shall take place on September 13, 2022 at 3:00 pm. In light of the continuing public health emergency, the change of plea hearing will take place via ZOOM VIDEO TELECONFERENCE (VTC). The parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 8/19/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 08/19/2022)

08/31/2022		MINUTE ORDER granting 212 consent motion to vacate scheduling order and schedule status conference as to PETER FRANCIS STAGER (2). A status conference shall take place on 10/7/2022 at 2:30 pm. In light of the public health emergency, the status conference will take place via Zoom VIDEO TELECONFERENCE (VTC). The parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 8/31/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
09/01/2022		Set/Reset Deadlines as to JEFFREY P. SABOL (01), CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (04), LOGAN JAMES BARNHART (06), RONALD COLTON MCABEE (07), MASON JOEL COURSON (08):Proposed Scheduling Order due by 9/30/2022 (mac) (Entered: 09/01/2022)
09/01/2022		MINUTE ORDER as to CLAYTON RAY MULLINS (4) denying as moot 216 consent motion to enlarge time to file motions and responses in view of the Court's Minute Order of August 31, 2022 vacating <u>205</u> Pretrial Order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 9/1/2022. (lcegs1) (Entered: 09/01/2022)
09/03/2022	<u>218</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 09/03/2022. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/03/2022)
09/03/2022	<u>219</u>	ORDER as to RONALD COLTON MCABEE (7) denying <u>191</u> Motion for Reconsideration of Detention. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 09/03/2022. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/03/2022)
09/13/2022	<u>224</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL (Interlocutory) by RONALD COLTON MCABEE re <u>218</u> Memorandum Opinion. Fee Status: No Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Shipley, William) (Entered: 09/13/2022)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
v.) Case No. 21-cr-00035-EGS
)
RONALD COLTON McABEE,)
)
Defendant.)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(b), notice is hereby given that Defendant Ronald Colten McAbee, hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit from the Order of this Court entered on September 3, 2022, denying Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Detention.

Dated: September 12, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William L. Shipley
William L. Shipley, Jr., Esq.
PO BOX 745
Kailua, Hawaii 96734
Tel: (808) 228-1341
Email:
808Shipleylaw@gmail.com
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RONALD COLTON MCABEE,

Defendant.

Crim. Action No. 21-35-7 (EGS)

ORDER

For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Detention Order, ECF No. 191, is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed:

Emmet G. Sullivan
United States District Judge
September 3, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RONALD COLTON MCABEE,

Defendant.

Crim. Action No. 21-35-7 (EGS)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Mr. Ronald Colton McAbee (“Mr. McAbee” or “Defendant”) has been charged in a federal indictment with seven serious offenses arising from his participation in the events at the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021. See Mem. Op., ECF No. 166; Redacted Third Superseding Indictment, ECF No. 154. Shortly after his arrest in his home state of Tennessee, Magistrate Judge Jeffrey S. Frensley issued an order for Mr. McAbee’s release to home detention with certain conditions. See Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 12.¹ The Government filed an emergency motion to review the Magistrate Judge’s Release Order and stay that decision pending resolution of the appeal. See *id.* at 13. The Court granted the stay and, following extensive briefing and a motion hearing, granted the Government’s motion to detain Mr. McAbee pending trial. See *id.* at 13, 41.

¹ When citing electronic filings throughout this Opinion, the Court cites to the ECF page number, not the page number of the filed document.

Now pending before the Court is Mr. McAbee's Motion for Reconsideration of the Detention Order. See Def.'s Mot. Recons. Detention Order ("Def.'s Mot."), ECF No. 191. Upon careful consideration of the motion, opposition, and reply thereto, the applicable law, and the entire record herein, Mr. McAbee's motion is **DENIED**.

I. Background

The Third Superseding Indictment charges Mr. McAbee with the following offenses: (1) one count of Inflicting Bodily Injury on Certain Officers or Employees and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a)(1) and (b) and 2; (2) one count of Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding Certain Officers or Employees, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 111(a)(1); (3) one count of Civil Disorder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 231(a)(3); (4) one count of Entering or Remaining in any Restricted Building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1752(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A); (5) one count of Disorderly and Disruptive Conduct in any Restricted Building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1752(a)(2) and (b)(1)(A); (6) one count of Engaging in Physical Violence in any Restricted Building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1752(a)(4) and (b)(1)(A); and (7) one count of Act of Physical Violence in the

Capitol Grounds or Buildings, in violation of 5104(e)(2)(F). See Redacted Third Superseding Indictment, ECF No. 154 at 5-12.

The Court sets forth below a brief summary of the factual evidence proffered by the Parties.² The Court previously discussed many of the following facts in its December 21, 2021 Memorandum Opinion granting the Government's motion for review of the Release Order. See Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 3-11.

A. Factual

Mr. McAbee is a 28-year-old who lived in Tennessee before his detention in this case. See *id.* at 3. He previously served in law enforcement, having worked as a sheriff's deputy at the Cherokee County, Georgia Sheriff's Office until November 2020 and then the Williamson County, Tennessee Sheriff's Office until March 2021. *Id.*

In December 2020, Mr. McAbee started to exchange text messages with another person (Associate-1). *Id.* The pair discussed plans to travel to Washington, D.C. on January 6, 2021, the date on which Congress was scheduled to convene and certify the Electoral College vote count for the 2020 Presidential Election. *Id.* They coordinated travel logistics and discussed what items they would bring with them to Washington,

² At a detention hearing, both parties may present evidence by way of a proffer. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f); *United States v. Smith*, 79 F.3d 1208, 1209-10 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

D.C. *Id.* at 4. Among the items discussed were a firearm magazine, a knife, brass knuckles, and a t-handle tire puncture. *Id.* at 4-5. At Mr. McAbee's request, Associate-1 purchased for him an item called "Steel Outdoor Reinforced Brass Knuckle Motorcycle Motorbike Powersports Racing Textile Safety Gloves" through Amazon. *Id.* at 5.

At around the same time, in December 2020, Mr. McAbee underwent a medical examination for a shoulder injury he sustained in a car accident. *Id.* at 4 & n.4. Because of that examination, he was excused from work at the Williamson County Sheriff's Office for the period from December 30, 2020 to January 14, 2021, which included the day he participated in the riot at the U.S. Capitol. *Id.* at 4 n.4. On January 14, 2021, he was cleared to return to work. *Id.*

Meanwhile, Mr. McAbee and Associate-1 prepared themselves for January 6. Associate-1 told Mr. McAbee that he would have the previously-discussed metal-knuckled gloves and extra knives for him. *See id.* at 5-6. They also talked about the possibility of violence on January 6. *See id.* at 6. For instance, Mr. McAbee stated that he did not want certain people to travel with them because he did not think they "should be subject to violence,"

and violence "w[ould] be there." *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted).

The Government's evidence establishes that on January 6, 2021, Mr. McAbee was at the U.S. Capitol. *Id.* He was wearing black gloves with hard, metal knuckles and a black tactical vest with one patch that read "SHERIFF" and another patch with insignia associated with the "Three Percenters," which is "a loosely organized collection of individuals and militia group members." *Id.* at 6 & n.5. Video and photographic evidence also show him wearing a red "Make America Great Again" baseball hat, a red face scarf, white sunglasses, and a black shirt with white lettering that read "DIFFERENT GENERATION." *Id.* at 7.

More than two hours after rioters forced entry into the U.S. Capitol, Mr. McAbee was part of "a mob of hundreds of rioters" close to the archway and stairs at the U.S. Capitol building's Lower Western Terrace. *Id.* Video footage from approximately 4:27 p.m. shows rioters, including Mr. McAbee, near the archway leading to the Lower Western Terrace. *Id.* at 7-8. These rioters were "engaged in brutal assaults on at least three MPD Officers—Officers A.W., B.M., and C.M.," during which rioters threw objects at the officers, struck them with weapons,

knocked them to the ground, and dragged them down the stairs. *Id.* at 8 (alterations and internal quotation marks omitted).

Mr. McAbee was present at this assault. *Id.* Throughout, he was wearing his metal-knuckled gloves, and he briefly carried a black police baton. *See id.* Video footage shows that after other rioters (including two of Mr. McAbee's co-defendants) knocked Officer A.W. to the ground and took his police baton, Mr. McAbee grabbed the Officer by his left leg and torso. *Id.* Another co-defendant grabbed the Officer's right leg, and together they dragged the Officer toward the stairs and the mob. *Id.* Mr. McAbee stood over Officer A.W., yelling at the other officers as they tried to help the officers and rioters who had been knocked to the ground. *Id.* at 9. When Officer C.M. pushed and hit him with a police baton, Mr. McAbee stood up straight, swung his arms at the Officer, and screamed profanities. *Id.* A co-defendant then stepped in and assaulted Officer C.M. *See id.*

Officer A.W. remained on the ground. *Id.* Mr. McAbee stood over him and then grabbed him by his torso. *Id.* Mr. McAbee dragged the Officer out of the archway, causing the two of them to tumble together down the stairs and into the mob. *Id.* Mr. McAbee remained on top of Officer A.W., who was lying on his back, for the next twenty-five seconds. *Id.* Officer A.W. endured further violence from the mob at the bottom of the stairs: he "was kicked, struck with poles, and stomped on by several

individuals, his helmet was ripped off, he was stripped of his baton and his MPD-issued cell phone, and he was maced while his helmet was off." *Id.* at 10. The Officer suffered a laceration on his head, which was later treated with two staples. *Id.*

The riot continued for hours as more rioters entered the U.S. Capitol and physically engaged with law enforcement officers. *Id.* at 7. As for Mr. McAbee, the record evidence shows that he and other rioters attempted to provide medical assistance to a rioter in medical distress. *Id.* at 10. While he was helping that rioter, Mr. McAbee was pushed into the side of the archway by the mob, which aggravated his shoulder injury. *See id.* He then tried to get out of the riot and through the police line by pointing to the "SHERIFF" on his vest. *See id.*

After January 6, 2021, Mr. McAbee communicated with Associate-1 and another individual ("Associate-2") about what transpired. *See id.* at 11. He sent Associate-1 and Associate-2 a picture of himself smiling and holding a newspaper with the headline "INSURRECTION." *Id.* He messaged Associate-1 that he "call[s] for secession." *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted). Mr. McAbee also sent Associate-2 photographs of a bloodied baseball hat and a head injury, as well as a text message that read: "I've shed blood for my country. By the hands of the swamp. I will shed more in the days to come. But I will not

forget the Oath I swore years ago to protect the America I once knew." *Id.*

Law enforcement officers later identified Mr. McAbee as a participant in the January 6, 2021 insurrection at the U.S. Capitol. *Id.*

B. Procedural History

On August 17, 2021, Mr. McAbee was arrested in his home state of Tennessee pursuant to an arrest warrant issued from the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia by Magistrate Judge Robin M. Meriweather. *See Arrest Warrant Returned Executed*, ECF No. 99. After briefing and a detention hearing, Magistrate Judge Frensley of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee ordered Mr. McAbee released to home detention, which restricted him to his residence at all times except for employment; education; religious services; medical, substance abuse, or mental health treatment; attorney visits; court appearances; court-ordered obligations; or other activities approved in advance by the pretrial services office. *See Release Order*, ECF No. 116-1 at 2. The Release Order also required that Mr. McAbee: (1) not travel to the District except for case-related obligations; (2) not travel outside of Tennessee without approval from the pretrial services office; (3) not contact anyone who may be a victim or witness in this

case; (4) not possess a firearm; and (5) refrain from social media or websites regarding insurrection activity. *Id.*

The Government orally moved for a stay of Mr. McAbee's release pending appeal of the Release Order, which Magistrate Judge Frensley granted. *See* Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 13. The Government filed an emergency motion with this Court to review and stay the Release Order pending review. *Id.* After further briefing and a motion hearing, the Court granted the Government's motion and ordered that Mr. McAbee be detained pending trial. *Id.* at 41. The Court concluded "by clear and convincing evidence, that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community were Mr. McAbee to be released pending trial." *Id.*

On May 9, 2022, Mr. McAbee moved for reconsideration of the Court's Detention Order. *See generally* Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191. The Government filed a response in opposition, *see generally* Gov't's Opp'n Def.'s Mot. Recons. Detention Order ("Gov't's Opp'n"), ECF No. 194; and Mr. McAbee filed a reply, *see* Def.'s Reply Opp'n Mot. Recons. Detention Order ("Def.'s Reply"), ECF No. 195. The motion is ripe and ready for the Court's consideration.

II. Legal Standard

The Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3141 *et seq.*, provides that a hearing shall be held to determine whether a defendant should be detained pretrial upon a motion by the Government if the defendant is charged with an offense falling in one of five enumerated categories. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(E).³ If a detention hearing is held pursuant to Section 3142(f), a judicial officer may detain a defendant pending trial if the judicial officer determines that “no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community.” *Id.* § 3142(e). “In common parlance, the relevant inquiry is whether the defendant is a ‘flight risk’ or a ‘danger to the community.’” *United States v. Munchel*, 991 F.3d 1273, 1279 (D.C. Cir. 2021) (quoting *United States v. Vasquez-Benitez*, 919 F.3d 546, 550 (D.C. Cir. 2019)).

Certain conditions and charged offenses trigger a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any person and

³ As relevant here, a detention hearing shall be held pursuant to Section 3142(f)(1)(A) if a defendant is charged with a “crime of violence,” or pursuant to Section 3142(f)(1)(E) if a defendant is charged with any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves the possession or use of any dangerous weapon. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f).

the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)-(3).⁴ Where there is no rebuttable presumption of detention, the Court considers the following factors to determine whether detention is required to ensure the appearance of the person and the safety of any other person and the community:

1. The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence;
2. The weight of the evidence;
3. The history and characteristics of the person, including
 - A. The person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and
 - B. Whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release; and
4. The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release.

⁴ Offenses triggering a rebuttable presumption under Subsection (e)(3) include the following: "(A) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act . . . the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act . . . , or chapter 705 of title 46; (B) an offense under section 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b of this title; (C) an offense listed in section 2332b(g)(5)(B) of title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; (D) an offense under chapter 77 of this title for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or (E) an offense involving a minor victim under section 1201, 1591, 2241, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425 of this title." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(A)-(E).

18 U.S.C. § 3142(g); *see also Munchel*, 991 F.3d at 1279–80.

Where, as here, the Government argues that the basis for pretrial detention is the defendant's danger to the community, the Government is required to demonstrate the appropriateness of detention pursuant to Subsection (e), in consideration of the Subsection (g) factors, by clear and convincing evidence. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f).

Pursuant to the Bail Reform Act, the Court may reconsider its pretrial detention decision if it "finds that information exists that was not known to the movant at the time of the hearing and that has a material bearing on the issue whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of such person as required and the safety of any other person and the community." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)(B); *see also United States v. Bikundi*, 73 F. Supp. 3d 51, 54 (D.D.C. 2014). "New and material information . . . must consist of truly changed circumstances, something unexpected, or a significant event," *United States v. Lee*, 451 F. Supp. 3d 1, 5 (D.D.C. 2020) (quoting *United States v. Esposito*, 354 F. Supp. 3d 354, 359 (S.D.N.Y. 2019) (internal quotation marks omitted); and "must relate in some significant or essential way to the decision whether to detain," *United States v. Worrell*, No. 1:21-CR-00292-RCL, 2021 WL 2366934, at *9 (D.D.C. June 9, 2021), *appeal*

dismissed, No. 21-3040, 2021 WL 4765445 (D.C. Cir. Sept. 15, 2021) (citation omitted).

III. Analysis

A. The Nature and Circumstances of the Offense Charged

In its December 2021 Memorandum Opinion, the Court first considered “the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence.” 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(1). The Court reviewed “the specific offenses and underlying conduct with which Mr. McAbee is charged,” Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 19 (citing *United States v. Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d 14, 25-26 (D.D.C. 2021)); in order to “adequately demonstrate that it considered whether [Mr. McAbee] pose[s] an articulable threat to the community in view of [his] conduct on January 6, and the particular circumstances of January 6,” *id.* (quoting *Munchel*, 991 F.3d at 1283).

To make this determination and “contextualize the nature and circumstances of offenses committed at the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021,” *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 27; the Court considered the record using the six-factor framework set forth in *Chrestman*:

(1) whether the defendant has been charged with felony or misdemeanor offenses; (2) the extent of the defendant’s prior planning; (3) whether the defendant used or carried a dangerous weapon; (4) evidence of coordination with other protestors before, during, or after the riot; (5) whether the defendant assumed a

formal or de facto leadership role in the events of January 6, 2021, for example "by encouraging other rioters' misconduct" "to confront law enforcement"; and (6) the defendant's "words and movements during the riot"—e.g., whether the defendant "remained only on the grounds surrounding the Capitol" or stormed into the Capitol interior, or whether the defendant "injured, attempted to injure, or threatened to injure others."

Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 19-20 (quoting *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26-27).

Mr. McAbee objects to the Court's conclusion that at least four of the *Chrestman* factors support the detention order. See Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 8-17. The Court considers each of Mr. McAbee's arguments in turn.

1. Mr. McAbee is Charged with At Least One Felony

Mr. McAbee concedes, as he must, that he has been charged with at least one felony. Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 8-9. Indeed, he faces several serious felony charges at this time. See Redacted Third Superseding Indictment, ECF No. 154.

Mr. McAbee also concedes that he has been charged with at least one "crime of violence." Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 9. The Court determines the same, having concluded in its December 2021 Memorandum Opinion that "assaulting and inflicting bodily injury on a MPD officer who was tasked with protecting the U.S. Capitol" and "engaging in physical violence with a deadly or dangerous weapon on restricted grounds" are both crimes of

violence. Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 21 (citing Redacted Third Superseding Indictment, ECF No. 154 at 5, 9).

Although the above clearly meets the standard set out in the Bail Reform Act, see 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(1) (“The judicial officer shall . . . take into account . . . the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence.”); Mr. McAbee contends that the Court overstepped by “mak[ing] repeated references to alleged political motivations behind his actions on January 6,” Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 9. Not so. The Court reviewed the Government’s proffer, considering evidence that “Mr. McAbee observ[ed] and then join[ed] his codefendants and other rioters in violent assaults against MPD officers positioned at the entrance of the archway on the Lower Western Terrace of the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021” and that he “forcibly dragg[ed] a MPD officer into a violent mob of rioters who ultimately punched, kicked, and hit him, causing a head laceration and other injuries.” Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 21. The Court made no comment on any possible political motivation—and rightly so, because neither Section 3142(g)(1) nor the first *Chrestman* factor direct the Court to make that consideration.

Mr. McAbee has presented no new and material information as to the first *Chrestman* factor. Rather, his Motion for Reconsideration underscores the “gravity” of his offenses, and

so this factor therefore “‘weighs heavily in favor’ of pretrial detention.” *Id.* (quoting *United States v. Brown*, CR No. 21-mj-565 (ZMF) (RC), 2021 WL 4033079, at *4 (D.D.C. Sept. 3, 2021) (quoting *United States v. Caldwell*, CR No. 21-181 (CKK), 2021 WL 2036667, at *7 (D.D.C. May 21, 2021)), *aff’d*, No. 21-3063, 2021 WL 5537705 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 17, 2021)).

2. Mr. McAbee Engaged in Planning Prior to January 6

Mr. McAbee next argues that there was no factual basis to support a finding that the second *Chrestman* factor weighs in favor of pretrial detention. Again, he presents no argument that there is new and material information bearing on this factor. *See generally* Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 9-13. Instead, he contends that: (1) any acts of prior planning are not linked “to a motive or intent to interfere with the Congressional action scheduled for January 6”; and (2) the facts regarding Mr. McAbee’s offenses are distinguishable from those regarding Mr. Chrestman’s offenses. *Id.*⁵

In *Chrestman*, Chief Judge Howell explained:

[A]ny indication that a defendant engaged in prior planning before arriving at the Capitol, for example, by obtaining weapons or tactical gear, suggests that he was not just caught up in the frenzy of the crowd, but instead came to Washington, D.C. with the intention of

⁵ Mr. McAbee makes additional arguments that confuse the second and third *Chrestman* factors. *See* Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 9-13. The Court more appropriately considers those other arguments in its discussion below of the third factor.

causing mayhem and disrupting the democratic process, mandated under the U.S. Constitution, of counting and certifying Electoral College votes.

Chrestman, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26 (citing U.S. Const. art. II, § 1, cl. 3.). In other words, the Court should consider information that Mr. McAbee obtained weapons or tactical gear or otherwise engaged in prior planning. See *id.* That information supports an inference of an “intention of causing mayhem and disrupting the democratic process.” *Id.*

Mr. McAbee concedes that he obtained dangerous weapons before arriving at the U.S. Capitol on January 6. See Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 10-11 (discussing metal-knuckled gloves⁶ and “other items”). Still, he argues that this factor cannot weigh in favor of pretrial detention because he “is not charged with having come to Washington DC to disrupt the political process” and “[t]here is no evidence in this case that Mr. McAbee had any intention to do so.” *Id.* at 10. The first point is not relevant to the second *Chrestman* factor, which asks whether the defendant engaged in prior planning—not whether the defendant has been indicted on a particular charge. See *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d

⁶ Mr. McAbee quibbles with this characterization of his gloves, see Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 16; but the gloves are called “Steel Outdoor Reinforced Brass Knuckle Motorcycle Motorbike Powersports Racing Textile Safety Gloves” on Amazon, which is where the gloves were purchased, Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 5 (emphasis added).

at 26. Indeed, and to the second point, Mr. McAbee's Motion for Reconsideration is rife with information that he engaged in prior planning before arriving at the U.S. Capitol. He concedes that he obtained metal-knuckled gloves, a ballistic vest, and "other items" that are dangerous. See Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 10-11. He also concedes that he coordinated and communicated the procurement of these dangerous weapons with Associate-1 before January 6. See *id.*

Chrestman makes clear that this information is sufficient to support an inference that he intended to cause mayhem and disrupt the democratic process, see *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26; but Mr. McAbee contends that this inference is inappropriate as applied to him, see Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 10-13. His argument fails. Courts have repeatedly held that such an inference is reasonable. See, e.g., *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26; *United States v. Sabol*, 534 F. Supp. 3d 58, 73 (D.D.C. 2021) (rejecting defendant's "argument that he did not plan to commit violence" when he "brought tactical gear, including a helmet, steel-toe boots, zip ties, a radio and an ear piece" to the rally); *United States v. DeGrave*, 539 F. Supp. 3d 184, 202 (D.D.C. 2021) (finding that the defendant's decision to wear "bullet proof clothing," as he described it when planning for January 6, 2021, "made clear that he was dressed in anticipation of confronting and engaging in violence"). Moreover, Mr. McAbee

himself proffers evidence that he intended to cause some measure of mayhem on January 6. See Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 11 (explaining that he procured weapons and planned with Associate 1 how to meet counter-protester attacks with violence); see also Gov't's Opp'n, ECF No. 194 at 7 ("That he asserts that he intended to use these items against counter-protestors, as opposed to law enforcement or members of Congress, does little to undercut the fact that he and Associate-1 were prepared to encounter and use violence."). As with other defendants, the fact that there might not be "a single line of communication" in his text messages with Associate-1 about "an intention to interfere with the planned Congressional" action does not change the inference. Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 11.⁷

Mr. McAbee's arguments about Mr. Chrestman and other defendants facing charges from their conduct on January 6 are also unavailing. He argues that other defendants brought other items with them to the U.S. Capitol, see Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 10; but the inquiry at the second *Chrestman* factor is only whether the defendant *obtained* dangerous weapons or tactical gear, see *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26. Mr. McAbee does not dispute that he obtained such items. See generally

⁷ Moreover, Mr. McAbee's text messages with Associate-1 and Associate-2 after January 6 call this argument into question. See Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 11.

Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 9-13. He also argues that he "was not a member of any national organization," that "he came to Washington D.C. with only one other person to attend a political protest rally," and that "[h]is decision was not in response to any invitation from a national organization announced for the purpose of interfering with Congress." *Id.* at 12. Although a response to an invitation from a national organization planning to interfere with the democratic process is evidence of prior planning, so too is the procurement of dangerous weapons. See *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26. In any event, whether the defendant is a member of an organization such as the Proud Boys goes to the fourth *Chrestman* factor, *see id.*; which the Court held weighs against pretrial detention here, *see* Mem. Op., ECF No. 161 at 25-26.

Accordingly, the Court confirms its determination that the second *Chrestman* factor weighs in favor of pretrial detention.

3. Mr. McAbee Carried a Dangerous Weapon

With respect to the third *Chrestman* factor, Mr. McAbee again fails to present new and material information and instead rehashes the same arguments already considered by the Court. See Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 11-15; Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 23-25. He concedes that he carried metal-knuckled gloves and (albeit briefly) a black police baton. See Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 13-15. He argues that because "he had multiple

opportunities to employ both the gloves and the baton against the law enforcement officers . . . but never did," *id.* at 13; the third factor ought to weigh against detention.

In *Chrestman*, Chief Judge Howell explained that evidence of prior planning, such as "a defendant's carrying or use during the riot . . . indicates at least some degree of preparation for the attack and an expectation that the need to engage in violence against law enforcement or, indeed, the Legislative branch, might arise." *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 26 (emphasis added). Put differently, carrying a dangerous weapon alone supports an inference that the defendant prepared for the attack. *See id.* In considering this factor, courts have made clear that "wielding [a dangerous weapon] would be serious by itself." *Brown*, 2021 WL 4033079, at *5; *see also United States v. Fairlamb*, 535 F. Supp. 3d 30, 41 (D.D.C. 2021). That Mr. McAbee may never have used the metal-knuckled gloves or baton does not minimize his carrying those weapons during the insurrection.

Mr. McAbee also argues that his choice during the riot to not use any of the weapons he carried cuts against any conclusion that he "deliberately joined in an ongoing attack on law enforcement officers[] and [that] his actions were that of an aggressor." Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 14. The Government's proffer contradicts this argument. Text message communications

between Mr. McAbee and Associate-1 and video evidence establish that Mr. McAbee was prepared to engage in violence at the U.S. Capitol. See Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 22 (messages discussed weapons Mr. McAbee might bring on January 6, including a t-handle tire puncture, knives, brass knuckles, a magazine, and metal-knuckled gloves); *id.* at 23 (describing that Mr. McAbee wore the metal-knuckled gloves when he entered the violence between the rioters and MPD officers); Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 11. Video evidence further establishes that Mr. McAbee chose to join in on the violent attack at the U.S. Capitol: "while [Mr. McAbee] had a clear view of his co-defendants and others assaulting uniformed police officers at the entrance to an archway on the Lower Western Terrace of the Capitol building ('Archway'), [he] moved towards the Archway and joined the attack." Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 27 (quoting the Government's reply brief). The record evidence demonstrates that his preparation for and his role in the attack were deliberate.

Consequently, the Court again finds that the third *Chrestman* factor weighs in favor of pretrial detention.

4. Mr. McAbee's Words and Movements Strongly Support Detention

The sixth *Chrestman* factor examines the "defendant's words and movements during the riot[, which] reflect the egregiousness of his conduct." *Chrestman*, 525 F. Supp. 3d at 27. A finding of

egregiousness is warranted for “defendant[s] who breached the interior of the Capitol building” as well as for those “who injured, attempted to injure, or threatened to injure others, or who damaged or attempted to damage federal property.” *Id.* Significant here, “[g]rave concerns are implicated if a defendant actively threatened or confronted federal officials or law enforcement.” *Id.*

Mr. McAbee argues that the Court erred in determining that the sixth *Chrestman* factor weighs against him. See Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 15-17. In his briefing, he lists 15⁸ additional facts, which he claims are “incontrovertibly true” and “simply ignored” in the December 2021 Memorandum Opinion. *Id.* Only the final six points are relevant to the sixth *Chrestman* factor. Moreover, the Court need not consider any of these points at greater length because: (1) they do not present new and material information; and (2) they merely rehash arguments presented before the Court issued its 2021 detention order.

In the December 2021 Memorandum Opinion, the Court noted that the parties had submitted “differing descriptions of Mr. McAbee’s conduct on January 6, 2021.” Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 26. The Court considered Mr. McAbee’s argument that “he ‘did not engage in any actual assault.’” *Id.* at 27 (quoting Mr. McAbee’s

⁸ The list in Mr. McAbee’s Motion for Reconsideration omits the number 14. See Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 15-17.

opposition briefing); see Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 16-17. The Court reviewed the record to determine whether Mr. McAbee "took offensive conduct" and whether he was "in the area during the assaults on the MPD officers to provide aid and assistance to individuals he saw who were in peril." Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 28 (citation and internal quotation marks omitted) (considering evidence also before Magistrate Judge Frensley). After reviewing the "multiple angles" of what transpired at the Lower Western Terrace, *id.* at 28; the Court made the following findings: (1) Mr. McAbee moved to the center of the fight "[a]fter one MPD officer had already been knocked down, beaten, and dragged into the massive mob of rioters"; (2) "Mr. McAbee placed his hands on Officer A.W.'s legs and torso as the officer was lying on the ground"; (3) "Officer C.M pushed Mr. McAbee with his police baton" in an "attempt[] to prevent Mr. McAbee from continuing to physically assault Officer A.W."; (4) "Mr. McAbee st[ood] to confront Officer C.M.," "swinging his arms and hitting the officer"; and (5) Mr. McAbee "stood over [Officer A.W.], grabbed him by the chest, and pulled him toward the stairs, causing them to tumble together into the mob." *Id.* at 29-30. The Court declined to make factual findings as to "the period after Mr. McAbee and Officer A.W. tumbled down the stairs" because the video evidence did "not make clear whether Mr. McAbee was pinning the officer to the ground in an aggressive way or in a

way meant to shield the officer from other rioters.” *Id.* at 30. In his Motion for Reconsideration, Mr. McAbee merely offers another explanation of events—one that the Court considered and largely rejected in its earlier detention order. Compare Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 15-17, with Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 26-32. Moreover, and as the Court explained in December 2021, “even if Mr. McAbee had a change of heart” at some point, he still “engaged in a number of aggressive, offensive, and violent actions,” none of which were “aimed at helping any of the law enforcement officers who were being beaten.” *Id.* at 30-31. The video evidence shows that at several points, Mr. McAbee engaged in offensive action toward law enforcement officials. His Motion for Reconsideration restates “facts” that have already been controverted, and therefore the Court determines again that the sixth *Chrestman* factor weighs in favor of pretrial detention.

Accordingly, and after considering the *Chrestman* factors to aid in its consideration, the Court holds again that the nature and circumstances of Mr. McAbee’s specific offenses and underlying conduct strongly favor detention.

B. The Weight of the Evidence

Mr. McAbee next disputes that the weight of the evidence against him favors detention. See Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 18-20. He does not offer new and material information to support his argument. Rather, he argues that the Court’s reasoning on

this point "is tantamount to a pre-trial determination of Mr. McAbee's guilt," which "is expressly prohibited under the Bail Reform Act." *Id.* at 18 (citing *United States v. Alston*, 420 F.2d 176, 179-80 (D.C. Cir. 1969)). He further explains that "[t]his case involves real disputes over what events are depicted in the video" and that he "intends to testify" to offer his "alternative interpretation of all the events on the videos." *Id.*

Contrary to Mr. McAbee's suggestions, the Court made no determination of his guilt in considering the weight of the evidence. The Court instead reviewed the "indisputabl[e]" evidence and found the following all weighed against Mr. McAbee: (1) video footage and photographs show that he was present at the U.S. Capitol on January 6; (2) former co-workers have identified Mr. McAbee as the person captured in that evidence; (3) Mr. McAbee's text messages corroborate that he planned to and actually participated in the insurrection; (4) video footage shows that he wore a ballistic vest and metal-knuckled gloves; (5) video footage shows that Mr. McAbee entered the riot in the Lower Western Terrace; (6) video footage shows he physically engaged with two MPD officers; and (7) law enforcement later recovered items from his home. *See id.* at 32-33. These findings do not exclude the possibility of a meritorious defense. Indeed, recognizing that Mr. McAbee has an alternative explanation of

the video footage, the Court explained that he “will have the opportunity to refute the government’s evidence and present additional evidence in his defense at trial.” *Id.* at 33. In other words, although the weight of the evidence favors pretrial detention, the Court acknowledged that such a finding is distinguishable from a prediction or conclusion that Mr. McAbee is guilty of the charged offenses.

The Court’s reasoning aligns with that of other district court decisions considering the pretrial detention of January 6 defendants. *See, e.g., United States v. Gieswein*, No. CR 21-24 (EGS), 2021 WL 3168148, at *12 (D.D.C. July 27, 2021), *aff’d*, No. 21-3052, 2021 WL 5263635 (D.C. Cir. Oct. 19, 2021) (considering videos and photographs); *Brown*, 2021 WL 4033079, at *6 (videos and photographs); *Fairlamb*, 535 F. Supp. 3d at 41 (videos).

Mr. McAbee also suggests that the weight of the evidence is relevant only in cases where the Government seeks detention based on flight risk. *See* Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 19 & n.2. Binding precedent from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (“D.C. Circuit”) makes clear that courts must consider the weight of the evidence in all cases, including those where the Government seeks detention based only on dangerousness. *See Munchel*, 991 F.3d at 1279.

Accordingly, Section 3142(g)(2) weighs slightly⁹ in favor of pretrial detention.

C. The History and Characteristics of Mr. McAbee

Mr. McAbee also disputes the application of the third Section 3142(g) factor. In its December 2021 Memorandum Opinion, the Court found that several factors weighed in Mr. McAbee's favor—namely, his age, lack of criminal history, lack of prior dangerousness or violence, and strong ties to the community. Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 34. Mr. McAbee argues, though, that the Court's finding that he was a law enforcement officer should not count against him because he chose to not attack Officer A.W. or Officer C.M. in particular ways at particular times on January 6. See Def.'s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 20-21.

Mr. McAbee misunderstands the Court's prior Memorandum Opinion. There, the Court explained that his law enforcement background weighed against him because he had a "responsibility to uphold and enforce the law" and "an understanding of what constitutes a violation of that law." Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 35. Even if he disputes the physical altercations with Officers A.W. and C.M., Mr. McAbee cannot seriously dispute that the video evidence demonstrates that he breached the interior of the

⁹ The Court again notes that the weight of the evidence "is the least important" factor. *United States v. Padilla*, No. CR 21-214 (JDB), 2021 WL 1751054, at *7 (D.D.C. May 4, 2021) (quoting *United States v. Gebro*, 948 F.2d 1118, 1121-22 (9th Cir. 1991)).

Capitol building, that he was carrying a weapon inside the building, and that he had some sort of physical and violent engagement with law enforcement officers. As a law enforcement officer, he should understand the gravity and egregiousness of such conduct—but nevertheless, he chose to act in this manner. It is no “Catch-22” that the Court takes seriously charges that someone tasked with enforcing the law has shirked that responsibility.

However, Mr. McAbee offers some new and material information bearing on Section 3142(g)(3). Specifically, he has an employment offer from NEURO TOUR Physical Therapy, Inc, located in Marietta, Georgia. Def.’s Mot., ECF No. 191 at 24. He explains that he may work remotely in this position while under home detention with GPS monitoring. *Id.* at 25. The Bail Reform Act specifically instructs the Court to consider a defendant’s employment situation in consideration of Section 3142(g)(3), see 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A); and the D.C. Circuit has recently affirmed that an offer of employment if released is “some credible evidence contrary to the statutory presumption,” *United States v. Gamble*, 810 F. App’x 7, 8 (D.C. Cir. 2020).

Because the Government does not offer any further evidence regarding Mr. McAbee’s dangerousness as to this factor, see generally Gov’t’s Opp’n, ECF No. 194; see also 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f); the Court re-weighs this factor and determines that

Section 3142(g) (3) weighs neither in favor nor against detention.

D. The Nature and Seriousness of the Danger to Any Person or the Community

Finally, Mr. McAbee contends that the Court erred in its consideration of Section 3142(g) (4). He argues that: (1) the Court made its determination by pronouncing his factual guilt; and (2) the Court sidestepped certain "uncontroverted video evidence." The Court rejects both arguments.

The Court made no determination as to Mr. McAbee's guilt or innocence. Instead, as explained *supra*, the Court considered the multiple videos, photographs, co-worker identifications, and physical evidence that law enforcement obtained. See Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 32-33. The Court recognized that several facts are uncontroverted based on this evidence, such as Mr. McAbee's presence in the Lower Western Terrace of the U.S. Capitol and his carrying of the metal-knuckled gloves while physically engaging with MPD officers. See *id.* The Court also recognized that there are ambiguities that it could not resolve, such as what occurred after Mr. McAbee and Officer A.W. tumbled down the staircase. See *id.* at 30.

Moreover, the "uncontroverted" points that Mr. McAbee offers in his Motion for Reconsideration were all already considered and addressed by the Court. Compare Def.'s Mot., ECF

No. 191 at 23-24, *with* Mem. Op., ECF No. 166 at 23-24 (baton), *and id.* at 29 ("Mr. McAbee placed his hands on Officer A.W.'s legs and torso as the officer was lying on the ground."), *and id.* at 30 ("Mr. McAbee stands to confront Officer C.M. and begins swinging his arms and hitting the officer."). Far from determining Mr. McAbee's guilt, the Court fairly considered what the evidence does and does not show at this time.

Therefore, the Court determines that this final Section 3142(g) factor weighs against Mr. McAbee and in favor of his continued pretrial detention.

IV. Conclusion

After examining Mr. McAbee's arguments to reconsider the December 2021 Memorandum Opinion, the Court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Section 3142(g) factors weigh in favor of pretrial detention and that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community were Mr. McAbee to be released pending trial. Accordingly, Mr. McAbee's motion is **DENIED**. Mr. McAbee shall remain detained pending trial.

An appropriate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: Emmet G. Sullivan
United States District Judge
September 3, 2022