UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

 $\mathbf{v}_{\bullet}$ 

Case No. 1:21-CR-708-RCL-1

LEO CHRISTOPHER KELLY,

Defendant.

<u>ORDER</u>

Defendant's motion [118] for new trial and judgment of acquittal is **DENIED** for the

reasons stated in the Memorandum Opinion issued by the Court this same date.

Defendant's motion [124] for permission to file a motion under seal and ex parte is

**DENIED**. It is beyond belief that the Court would permit defense counsel to ex parte investigate

members of the jury without the knowledge of the U.S. Attorney. The Court refuses to engage in

such an improper exercise with the defendant. Consequently, the Court DENIES the defendant's

motion to file under seal and directs that the defendant's motion be filed on the record.

Defendant's motion [124-1] for permission to interview jurors, which was included in

defendant's motion [124] for permission to file under seal and ex parte, and which the Court has

ordered be filed on the record, is **DENIED** for the reasons stated in the Memorandum Opinion

issued by the Court this same date. It should now be obvious that the Court will not permit the

defendant to engage in an ex parte fishing expedition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Royce C. Lamberth

United States District Judge