## **EXHIBIT B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* ) Criminal Action UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. 21-517 VS. KEVIN LOUIS GALETTO, ) March 20, 2023 2:36 p.m. Defendant. ) Washington, D.C.

> TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA COLLOQUY BEFORE THE HONORABLE COLLEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SENIOR JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

FOR THE UNITED STATES:

KAITLIN KLAMANN

USAO

601 D Street NW

Washington, DC 20530

(202) 252-6778

Email: kaitlin.klamann@usdoj.gov

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

RICHARD A. PORTALE

CHAD MAIR

Portale and Randazzo, LLP 245 Main Street, Suite 605 White Plains, NY 10601

(914) 359-2400

Email: rportale@portalerandazzo.com

Court Reporter: Elizabeth Saint-Loth, RPR, FCRR

Official Court Reporter

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

## 1 PROCEEDINGS THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal Case 21-517, the 2 3 United States versus Kevin Louis Galetto. 4 Counsel, would you please identify yourselves for 5 the record, starting with the government. 6 MS. KLAMANN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 7 Kaitlin Klamann for the United States standing in for my 8 colleague, AUSA Sean McCauley, who had a scheduling conflict 9 this afternoon. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. PORTALE: Good afternoon, Judge. 12 Richard Portale of Portale Randazzo. To my right is 13 Mr. Chad Mair, and to my left is Mr. Galetto. 14 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. 15 So we're here for a plea. I am going to leave 16 you, Mr. Galetto, sitting where you are instead of standing 17 because we need to have you speak into the microphone; I 18 think it will be easier than having you to get up. 19 MR. PORTALE: Judge, if I may, we're going to 20 share the microphone. We may have to pass it back and forth 21 a bit. 22 THE COURT: That's fine. Most of it is going to 23 be really what he has to say anyway, in terms of the 24 inquiry. 25 MR. PORTALE: Okay, Judge.

```
1
                 THE COURT: But Mr. Galetto is compliant with his
2
       pretrial conditions. The last version I received did
 3
       correct in the letter which counts he's pleading quilty to.
       And the statement of offense evidently didn't have any
 4
 5
       changes to it, ultimately.
 6
                 MR. PORTALE: Right.
 7
                 THE COURT: So let me have Ms. Patterson swear
       Mr. Galetto in.
 8
 9
                 (KEVIN LOUIS GALETTO, Defendant, was sworn.)
10
                 THE DEFENDANT:
                                 I do.
11
                 THE COURT: All right. So let me -- I want to
12
       make sure you understand that you are now under oath. If
13
       you don't answer my questions truthfully, you can be
14
       prosecuted for perjury or for making a false statement.
15
                 Do you understand that?
16
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
17
                 THE COURT: All right. In terms of the process, I
18
       am going to be asking you some questions. And it will be --
19
       I will set out what you have been charged with in the second
20
       superseding indictment, what you are actually pleading to.
21
       I will go over some background information relating to you.
22
       I will go through your constitutional rights that you are
23
       giving up by pleading guilty.
24
                 The government will state the evidence that they
25
       would have presented by proffer if the case had gone to
```

trial, and they need to have facts that meet the elements of the two counts you are pleading guilty to in order for me to accept it. I will ask you if you agree with it, and I will go through it. Again, you'll need to admit conduct that meets the elements of the two counts that you are pleading guilty to.

We'll then go through the plea letter. I am not going to go through everything in the plea letter; it doesn't mean it's all not important. I will go through what I am required to under the rules as well as areas where, sometimes, there might be some misunderstandings or it's a little more complicated, to make sure that there are no misunderstandings about exactly what you are pleading guilty to and what the consequences are in terms of, particularly, sentencing; then I will ask some questions about the voluntariness of the plea. In the end, I need to make a finding that you are entering it knowingly and voluntarily.

If I ask you questions and you don't understand it, please stop me and ask. Don't just give me answers you think I want to hear.

If I have explained things differently than your lawyer did and you have a concern about it, speak up. I am going to -- obviously, on some of this -- do it in a summary form. You can consult with your lawyer at any time if you wish to do so; that's not a problem at all.

1 I want to make sure that we have a meeting of the minds of exactly what you are pleading to and what the 2 3 agreement actually means. 4 Do you understand? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. So in terms of the second 7 superseding indictment, it has eight counts. Count 1 is civil disorder. 8 9 Count 2 is obstruction of an official proceeding. 10 Count 3 is assaulting, resisting, or impeding certain officers. 11 12 Count 4 is entering and remaining in a restricted 13 building or grounds. 14 Count 5 is disorderly and disruptive conduct in a 15 restricted building or grounds. 16 Count 6 is engaging in physical violence in a 17 restricted building or grounds. Count 7 is disorderly conduct in the Capitol 18 19 grounds or buildings. 20 And Count 8 is act of physical violence in the 21 Capitol Building or grounds. 22 You are going to plead guilty to Count 1, which is 23 the civil disorder, and Count 3 which is assaulting, 24 resisting, or impeding certain officers. You also have 25 agreed to pay \$2,000 in restitution.

1 At sentencing, the other counts -- the other six counts will be dismissed. 2 Is that your understanding of the basic agreement? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: You need to speak up so we make sure the court reporter can get a record. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Is this better? THE COURT: Yes. Perfect. 8 9 The government has also agreed that you can remain 10 in the community with the present conditions as long as you 11 are in compliance. They have also reserved allocution, 12 which means they have reserved the right to make 13 recommendations at the time of sentencing, as has your 14 counsel as well. And the Court will hear from you, if you 15 wish to address me, in making a decision. But the plea 16 letter does address some issues for sentencing. 17 So let me go into the background questions. 18 How old are you, sir? 19 THE WITNESS: I am 63 years old. 20 THE COURT: And what is your date of birth? 21 THE WITNESS: 22 THE COURT: How far have you gone in school? 23 What is your highest level of education? 24 THE WITNESS: I have a master's degree in business 25 management.

```
1
                 THE COURT: Where were you born?
2
                 THE WITNESS: I was born in Montrose,
 3
       Pennsylvania.
 4
                 THE COURT: All right. Have you taken any alcohol
 5
       or drugs or any kind of medication in the last 48 hours?
 6
                 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
 7
                 THE COURT: How did you go through the materials?
       Did you read it yourself? Did your lawyer read it to you?
 8
 9
       What was the process?
10
                 THE WITNESS: A little combination of both.
                                                              They
11
       provided me, ahead of time, the information. And then we
12
       discussed it last night while I was here, and again this
13
       morning -- or this afternoon, rather.
14
                 THE COURT: All right. Have you ever received any
15
       treatment for any type of mental illness or emotional
16
       disturbance?
17
                 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
18
                 THE COURT: Have you received a copy -- did you
19
       have a copy of the indictment, particularly the last one,
20
       that sets out the pending charges against you?
21
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to look it over
23
       and discuss it with your lawyer?
24
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
25
                 THE COURT: Are you completely satisfied with the
```

1 services of your lawyer in this case? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Have you had enough time to talk with your lawyer and discuss the case and this plea offer and 4 5 whether or not you should accept it or go to trial? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. In terms of your basic 8 constitutional rights, I am going to ask if you understand 9 your rights, and at the end, I will ask if you are willing 10 to give them up. 11 The first is: You have a right to plead not 12 guilty and have a jury trial. That means that citizens from 13 the District of Columbia would be summoned to the courtroom; 14 they would be asked questions by the Court and counsel to 15 determine whether they would be fair and impartial to both 16 sides. They would listen to them -- there would be 12 of 17 them. They would listen to the evidence; they would apply 18 the jury instructions. And they would determine your guilt 19 or innocence based on the evidence presented in the 20 courtroom. 21 Do you understand your right to a jury trial? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand if you went forward 24 to trial you would have a right to be represented by a

lawyer at that trial, and if you could not afford one, one

25

1 could be appointed? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that at a trial you would have the right, through your lawyer, to confront and 4 5 cross-examine any witnesses against you? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that you would have 8 the right to present your own witnesses? And you would have 9 a right to subpoena them; in other words, to require them to 10 come and testify in your defense? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a 13 trial, you would have the right to testify, present evidence 14 on your own behalf if you wanted to, but that you would not 15 have to testify or present any evidence if you didn't want 16 to because you can't be forced to incriminate yourself? 17 That is, to present evidence of your own guilt. 18 In terms of the jury, I would instruct them that 19 they should not hold that against you, nor infer any kind of 20 guilt based on the fact that you have asserted your 21 constitutional right. 22 Do you understand that? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Do you understand that unless and 25 until I accept your guilty plea, you are presumed by the law

1 to be innocent because it's the government's burden to prove 2 your quilt beyond a reasonable doubt? Until it does, you can't be convicted at trial. 3 Do you understand that? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand if you went to trial 6 7 and were convicted, you would have a right to appeal your 8 conviction to a Court of Appeals? That's a higher court, 9 three judges. 10 Again, you could have a lawyer help you prepare 11 your appeal if you could not afford one. 12 Do you understand that? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Now, let me discuss -- by pleading 15 quilty you give up your rights. In terms of appeal, there 16 is a particular provision in your plea letter. So I would 17 ask if you could open it up to page 8, Capital D. 18 Do you have it in front of you? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 20 THE COURT: Let me go through it because it's a 21 little complicated. 22 You are agreeing to waive -- which means, of 23 course, give up -- insofar as such waiver is permitted by 24 law -- I will get back to that -- the right to appeal the 25 conviction in this case on any basis, including but not

limited to: One, claims that the statute under which you are pleading guilty is unconstitutional; and, two, that the admitted conduct doesn't fall within the scope of the statute; in other words, not criminal.

Now, at the present time the statute is constitutional; nobody has said otherwise. And at the present time your conduct would fall within the scope of the statute. So you are giving up your right to appeal.

Insofar as such waiver is permitted by law means:

If, at some later date, some other person takes it up on

appeal and the Court of Appeals decides that the statute at

issue in your case is unconstitutional or your conduct was

not criminal, then you can take a collateral appeal to

benefit from that Court of Appeals' decision.

Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Further down -- that was the conviction.

You also are agreeing to waive -- again, give up -- the right to appeal the sentence, which includes but isn't limited to a term of imprisonment, any fine, forfeiture, award of restitution, term or condition of supervised release, authority of the Court to set conditions of release, and the manner in which the sentence was determined. So you are giving up that, except if I sentence

1 you above the statutory maximum -- that would be an unlawful 2 sentence -- or above the guideline range, which could be a 3 lawful sentence; but you are reserving the right to be able to take it up on appeal should I do so. 4 5 And then the last part is: Notwithstanding that 6 agreement to waive the right to appeal the conviction as 7 sentenced as I have explained it to you, you do retain the 8 right to appeal on the basis of ineffective assistance of 9 counsel but not on other issues. 10 Do you understand and agree? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Then let me get back to --13 so with a few exceptions, any notice of appeal needs to be 14 filed within 14 days of judgment being entered. 15 Again, if you are unable to pay the cost of an 16 appeal, you can ask to have it filed without cost to you. 17 You can also ask to have counsel again appointed to help you 18 with your appeal without cost to you as well. 19 Do you understand? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Do you want to plead guilty, give up 22 your rights to trial, your right to an appeal, and all of 23 the other rights that I have explained to you? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. At this point we're going

1 to have the government state the offense. I would just read the written proffer; I think it puts it in context and gives 2 3 the rest of the information. I will ask, at the end, if there are any defenses 4 5 that needs to be specifically waived from counsel. 6 The government -- I would ask that you take the 7 facts in the proffer as to his conduct associated with the elements of the offense. All right. 8 9 MS. KLAMANN: Your Honor, if this case were to 10 proceed to trial, the government --11 THE COURT: You need to speak up a little bit. 12 Can you move the microphone more directly --13 MS. KLAMANN: Sure, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: There you go. 15 MS. KLAMANN: If this case were to proceed to 16 trial, the government's evidence would show that the 17 United States Capitol, which is located at First Street 18 Southeast, in Washington, D.C., is secured 24 hours a day by 19 United States Capitol Police. 20 Restrictions around the Capitol include: 21 Permanent and temporary security barriers and posts manned 22 by the United States Capitol Police. Only authorized people 23 with appropriate identification are allowed access inside 24 the Capitol. 25 On January 6, 2021, the exterior plaza of the

Capitol was closed to members of the public. On January 6, 2021, a Joint Session of the United States Congress convened at the Capitol, which is located at First Street Southeast, in Washington, D.C.

During the Joint Session, elected members of the United States House of Representatives and the United States Senate were meeting to certify the vote count of the Electoral College of the 2020 presidential election, which had taken place on Tuesday, November 3rd, 2020.

The Joint Session began at approximately 1 o'clock p.m. Shortly thereafter, by approximately 1:30 p.m., the House and Senate adjourned to separate chambers to resolve a particular objection.

Vice President Mike Pence was present and presiding, first in the Joint Session and then in the Senate chamber.

As the proceedings continued in both the House and the Senate, and with Vice President Pence present and presiding over the Senate, a large crowd gathered outside the Capitol. Temporary and permanent barricades, as noted above, were in place around the exterior of the Capitol, and United States Capitol Police officers were present and attempting to keep the crowd away from the Capitol and the proceedings underway inside.

At approximately 2 o'clock p.m., certain

individuals in the crowd forced their way through, up, and above the barricades.

Officers of the United States Capitol Police were forced to retreat, and the crowd had advanced to the exterior facade of the building. The crowd was not lawfully authorized to enter or remain in the building. And prior to entering the building, no members of the crowd submitted to security screenings or weapons checks as required by the United States Capitol Police officers or other authorized security officials. At such time, the certification proceedings were still underway, and the exterior doors and windows of the Capitol were locked or otherwise secured.

Members of the United States Capitol Police attempted to maintain order and keep the crowd from entering the Capitol. However, shortly after 2 o'clock p.m., individuals in the crowd forced entry into the Capitol, including by breaking windows and by assaulting members of law enforcement.

As others in the crowd encouraged and assisted those acts, the riot resulted in substantial damage to the Capitol requiring the expenditure of more than \$1.4 million of repairs.

Shortly thereafter, at approximately 2:20 p.m., members of the House of Representatives and of the Senate, including the President of the Senate, Vice President Pence,

1 were instructed to and did evacuate the chambers. 2 Accordingly, all proceedings of the United States Congress, 3 including the Joint Session, were effectively suspended until shortly after 8 o'clock p.m. on January 6, 2021. 4 5 In light of the dangerous circumstances caused by 6 the unlawful entry of the Capitol, including the danger 7 posed by individuals who had entered the Capitol without any 8 security screening or weapons check, congressional 9 proceedings could not resume until after every unauthorized 10 occupant had been removed from or left the Capitol and the 11 United States Capitol Police confirmed that the building was 12 secured. 13 The proceedings resumed at approximately 8 o'clock 14 p.m. after the building had been secured. 15 Vice President Pence remained in the Capitol from 16 the time he was evacuated from the Senate chamber until the 17 session resumed. 18 The defendant, Kevin Galetto, traveled by plane on 19 January 5th, 2021, from his then home in Westminster, 20 California, to Washington, D.C., to attend the "Stop the 21 Steal" rally on January 6, 2021. 22 In December 2020, Galetto told a group of friends 23 via text that he was going to Washington to "Fight for their

Around 2:40 p.m. on January 6th, the defendant

24

25

freedom."

approached the lower West Terrace tunnel entrance. He was wearing a black Trump baseball hat, a black headband, an ear warmer, a tan jacket, and a gray hoodie as he entered the tunnel. He was one of the first individuals inside the tunnel, and was met with a large police presence denying him and the larger crowd entrance into the Capitol Building.

The crowd in the lower West Terrace tunnel was significant, and numbered well more than three individuals. This assemblage, of which defendant was a part, confronted the police officers in the lower West Terrace who were attempting to hold the crowd from entering the Capitol through the tunnel. Some members of this assemblage engaged in acts of violence.

Evidence from body-worn camera of a Metropolitan Police Department officer, B.S., captured the defendant at the entryway doors of the lower West Terrace tunnel. At approximately 2:43 and 26 seconds that afternoon, body-worn camera captured the defendant with his arms extended and pressed up against MPD officer shields.

At approximately 2:44 and 14 seconds that afternoon, body-worn camera captured the defendant's body pressed up against officer shields.

At approximately 2:46 and 20 seconds that afternoon, the body-worn camera captured a scuffle involving the defendant. Officer B.S. was knocked to the ground.

1 At approximately 2:46 and 28 seconds that afternoon, body-worn camera captured the defendant on one 2 3 knee with a hand on the ground. At approximately 2:47 and 2 seconds that 4 5 afternoon, the defendant rose to his feet and retreated from 6 the area of the tunnel. 7 As he made his way from the inside to the tunnel entrance, the defendant shouted to the crowd outside, "More 8 9 people," in an attempt to summon more rioters to the tunnel 10 entrance. Defendant remained at the tunnel for over an hour 11 and a half and was part of the -- one of the last pushes 12 13 against police officers, at approximately 4:15 p.m. 14 Defendant's extended presence in the tunnel and 15 his violent confrontation with officers as part of the crowd 16 presented an immediate risk of injury to person or damage to 17 property. On the evening of January 6, 2021, the defendant 18 19 texted an individual on his cell phone noting that the 20 politicians, "need to be overthrown," and that Mike Pence 21 "is a trader [sic]." 22 Your Honor, with respect to the elements of the 23

Your Honor, with respect to the elements of the two charges against the defendant, Count 1 charges a violation of Title 18, United States Code,
Section 231(a)(3). The first element of that charge is that

24

25

the defendant knowingly committed an act or attempted to commit an act with the intended purpose of obstructing, impeding, or interfering with a law enforcement officer.

Paragraphs 12, 13, and 14 in the statement of offense establish that element; namely, defendant's interaction with Officer B.S. in the tunnel; defendant's encouragement of other rioters to enter the tunnel and further impede police; and defendant's participation in a push against officers later that afternoon.

The second element of the violation of

Section 231(a)(3) is that law enforcement was engaged in the

lawful performance of his official duties incident to and

during a civil disorder. Paragraph 12 of the statement of

offense establishes that element. It speaks to a specific

member of the Metropolitan Police Department, Officer B.S.,

with whom the defendant had physical contact; and at the

time that that contact occurred, Officer B.S. was a member

of the Metropolitan Police Department.

The third element for a violation of Section 231 is that the civil disorder obstructed, delayed, or adversely affected either commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in commerce, or the conduct or performance of any federally protected function.

Paragraphs 3 and 7 of the statement of offense discuss the interruption of the certification of the

electoral vote. And that electoral vote -- the certification of the Electoral College vote was a federally protected function. So each of the elements of Count 1 are established by the statement of offense.

Count 3 charges the defendant with a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 111(a)(1). The first element of that charge is that the defendant assaulted, resisted, opposed, impeded, intimidated, or interfered with any officer or employee of the U.S. -- of the United States -- or of any agency in any branch of the United States government.

Paragraphs 12 and 14 of the statement of offense establish that element. Namely, paragraph 12 sets out an assault of Officer B.S., and paragraph 14 discusses defendant's participation in a physical push against the officers in the lower West Terrace tunnel.

It's clear from the facts that the Metropolitan Police Department was assisting officers of the United States, namely, United States Capitol Police officers, in defending the United States Capitol on January 6th.

The second element of Count 3 is that the defendant took that act with some use of force.

Paragraph 14 alleges that defendant took part in the last push at the lower West Terrace tunnel and "presented an

immediate risk of injury to person or damage to property."

So the statement of offense also establishes the second element of Section 111(a)(1).

The third element is that the defendant acted while the officer or employee was engaged in or on account of performance of official duties. Again, this is established by paragraph 14 of the statement of offense, which discusses defendant's actions with respect to -- actually, Your Honor, I'm sorry, it's paragraph 12 of the statement of offense, and paragraph 14, both of which discuss the defendant's actions with respect to a specific officer, Officer B.S., from the Metropolitan Police Department, as well as other officers that were defending the lower West Terrace tunnel on January 6th.

The last and final element of Count 3 is that the assault involved physical contact with the victim or the intent to commit another felony. Once again, paragraphs 12 and 14 of the statement of offense also establish this element in that they show that the defendant made physical contact with Officer B.S. and pushed against officers at the lower West Terrace tunnel.

Additionally, the statement of offense indicates that he acted with the intent to commit another felony, namely, civil disorder, which is the crime charged in Count 1.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 Mr. Galetto, do you have it in front of you? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So let me go through it. Let 4 5 me go through the background. 6 I assume that you will agree that the U.S. Capitol 7 is located in Washington, D.C., and it's secured 24 hours a 8 day by Capitol Police; that there are certain restrictions 9 and security barriers posted at various times; and that 10 appropriate identification is required in order to get into 11 the Capitol. Would you agree with that? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Would you agree that on January 6, 15 2021, that the exterior plaza of the Capitol was closed to 16 members of the public? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Paragraph 3 is that: On January 6, 19 2021, there was a Joint Session of the U.S. Congress 20 convened at the Capitol. During the Joint Session, members 21 of the House of Representatives and Senate were meeting to 22 certify the vote count of the Electoral College of the 2020 23 presidential election, which had taken place on 24 November 3rd, 2020. They began at around 1:00 p.m. 25 evidently -- they adjourned to separate chambers to resolve

1 a particular objection. Vice President Mike Pence was 2 present and presiding in the Joint Session, and then later 3 in the Senate chamber relating to the objection. Would you agree to all of that? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Paragraph 4 is: As the proceedings 7 continued in the House and Senate, and Vice President Pence 8 present and presiding over the Senate, that a crowd gathered 9 outside the Capitol. 10 There were temporary and permanent barricades around the exterior, and Capitol Police officers were 11 12 present and were trying to keep the crowd from the Capitol 13 and from getting into the Capitol to disrupt the 14 proceedings. 15 Would you agree with that? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Paragraph 5 is: At about 2:00 p.m., 18 some people in the crowd managed to get over the barricades. 19 U.S. Capitol Police officers were forced to retreat, and the 20 crowd advanced to the exterior of the building -- of the 21 Capitol Building. They were not lawfully authorized to 22 enter or to get into the building, and any of the security 23 or weapons checks were not able to be conducted. 24 Would you agree with that? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Six is: At the time that the certification proceedings were still underway, the exterior doors, windows of the Capitol were locked and secured.

Capitol Police was attempting to maintain order, keeping the crowd from entering the Capitol.

But around 2:00 p.m., certain members of the crowd forced entry into the Capitol, breaking windows, assaulting members of law enforcement, as they were encouraged, and substantial damage was required [sic] -- actually, it's 2.8 million at this point.

Would you agree with that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

after that, around 2:20, members of the House and of the Senate, including President of the Senate who was the Vice President, were instructed, and did — they evacuated the chambers. All proceedings of Congress including the Joint Session were suspended until after 8 o'clock on January 6th because of the dangerous circumstances caused by the unlawful entry to the Capitol. And the fact that there were no security screenings and congressional proceedings could not resume until later in the evening when the — unauthorized occupants had been removed and the Capitol was under control and secure again.

Would you agree with that?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. In terms of your specific 3 participation, as I understand it, you traveled by plane on 4 the day before, on January 5th, from your home in 5 Westminster, California, to Washington to attend the "Stop 6 the Steal" rally on January 6th. "Stop the Steal" was a 7 rally of then-President Trump; is that correct? 8 THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: In December of 2020, you told a group 10 of friends via text that you were going to Washington to 11 "Fight for their freedom." 12 Do you agree you did that? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: 10 -- paragraph 10 is: Around 2:40, 15 on January 6th, you approached the lower West Terrace, and 16 there is a tunnel entrance there that takes you into the Capitol. 17 18 At the time you were wearing a black Trump 19 baseball hat, a black headband-ear warmer kind of thing, a 20 tan jacket, a gray hoodie as you entered the tunnel. You 21 were one of the first individuals going inside the tunnel, 22 and you met with a large police presence who were trying to 23 keep out you and the larger crowd from that tunnel entrance 24 which would give you entrance into the Capitol Building. 25 Would you agree with that?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Paragraph 11 is: The crowd in the 3 lower West Terrace was significant and numbered well more than three people, and you were part of that group. 4 5 You and others confronted the police officers in 6 the lower West Terrace in that tunnel entrance. And they 7 were -- the officers were attempting to hold the crowd from 8 entering the Capitol through the tunnel. In sum, there were 9 some that were engaged in acts of violence. 10 Would you agree with that? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And 12 is: Evidence from a 13 body-worn camera of an MPD -- Metropolitan Police Department 14 officer, a D.C. officer, initials B.S., captured you at the 15 entryway doors of the lower west tunnel. 16 At approximately 2:43:26, the camera captured you 17 with your arms extended and pressed up against MPD officer 18 shields that they were holding up. 19 Is that accurate? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And at approximately 2:44 and 22 14 seconds p.m., the body-worn camera also captured your 23 body pressed up against the officer shields. And shortly 24 after that, at approximately 2:46 and 20 seconds p.m., the 25 body-worn camera captured a scuffle involving you and

```
1
       Officer B.S., and Officer B.S. was knocked to the ground.
2
                 Would you agree with all of that?
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: At approximately 2:46 --
 4
 5
                 MR. PORTALE: Your Honor, may I --
                 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 6
 7
                 MR. PORTALE: May I just speak briefly.
                 THE COURT: Yes.
 8
 9
                 MR. PORTALE: I just wanted to just clarify.
10
       don't think it was ever alleged that -- because the way it's
11
       written, it could be confusing. I just want to make sure
12
       that the Court and the record is clear that Mr. Galetto was
13
       not ever formally accused of knocking Officer B.S. to the
14
       ground. It was that there was a scuffle --
15
                 THE COURT: And he was -- as a part of that, he
16
       wound up on the ground.
17
                 MR. PORTALE: Yes. Correct.
18
                 THE COURT: That's the way I interpreted it.
19
                 MR. PORTALE: I just wanted to make sure that the
20
       record is clear and that Your Honor is clear that the
21
       government did not formally accuse him of being the person
22
       who knocked Officer B.S. to the ground.
23
                 THE COURT: Is that correct, Government?
24
                 MS. KLAMANN: I believe so, Your Honor.
25
                 MR. PORTALE: Thank you, Judge.
```

1 I'm sorry to interrupt. 2 THE COURT: No problem. 3 At approximately 2:46:28 p.m., the body-worn camera captured Mr. Galetto on one knee with a hand on the 4 5 ground. At approximately 2 minutes -- 2:47:02, you rose to 6 your feet and retreated from the area of the tunnel; is that 7 correct? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: So as I understand it, then, they 10 capture a scuffle involving you and the officer; the officer 11 falls to the ground. And you, basically, are also on one 12 knee as a result of this scuffle, I take it, with your hand 13 on the ground, and then you get up. Is that accurate? 14 MR. PORTALE: May I --15 THE COURT: He has to say something, not you. 16 MR. PORTALE: Can I speak to him for a second? 17 THE COURT: No, no, no. 18 Let him speak. He can correct me if I'm 19 interpreting it wrong. 20 MR. PORTALE: Can I speak to him before he does? 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 MR. PORTALE: Thank you. 23 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I did not specifically 24 engage with this police officer. I was knocked to the 25 ground due to the crowd. The police officer was already on

```
1
       the ground by the time I was on one knee. In actuality, I
2
       was trying to help him back up because he was screaming,
 3
       "Help me, help me, help me," at the time. So I tried to --
 4
                 THE COURT: Let me go over this again.
 5
       of -- again, at approximately 2:44:14, the body-worn camera
 6
       captures your body pressed up against officer shields, the
 7
       plastic shields. Is that accurate?
 8
                 THE WITNESS: That is accurate, yes, ma'am.
 9
                 THE COURT: Okay. And then, shortly after that,
10
       the camera captures what they have called a "scuffle"
11
       involving you.
12
                 Is that correct or not?
13
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: All right. And as I also understand
15
       it, this one particular officer was knocked to the ground by
16
       somebody else, or in this scuffle where there are other
17
       people, he wound up on the ground?
18
                 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
19
                 THE COURT: Which is correct?
20
                 THE WITNESS: That he was knocked to the ground.
21
       I was knocked to the ground. We were both on the ground at
22
       the same time, but it was not as a result of me physically
23
       putting him on the ground.
24
                 THE COURT: Is that acceptable to the government?
25
       That's not the way I read this.
```

1 MS. KLAMANN: Your Honor, that's not what is 2 written in the plea agreement. As Your Honor read, it's 3 that the scuffle involved Officer B.S. falling to the ground, and the defendant was also on the ground. 4 5 THE COURT: The way it's written, and I think the 6 way -- in terms of, frankly, doing the elements of the 7 offense, you have to have been involved in the scuffle with 8 B.S., which -- I don't know whether others were involved in 9 the scuffle, but in a scuffle with B.S. B.S. wound up -- I 10 am not suggesting you hit him, but he wound up -- as a 11 result of this, maybe he lost his balance or whatever -- but 12 he wound up on the ground. You also wound up on the ground 13 as well. 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 15 THE COURT: Would you agree with that? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Is that acceptable? 18 MS. KLAMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So paragraph 13 is: As you 20 made your way inside the tunnel entrance -- as you made your 21 way from the inside to the tunnel entrance, you had shouted 22 to the crowd outside, "More people," in an attempt to get 23 more people to come into the tunnel entrance. Is that 24 accurate? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: 14 is, you remained at the tunnel for over an hour and a half, and were part of the last pushes against the officers at approximately 4:15; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. MR. PORTALE: If I can just clarify that, too, very briefly, if I may. I just think that words are important; I want to make sure because some of the way that these two paragraphs are written are just a little confusing. We have never alleged that Mr. Galetto remained in the tunnel as part of a push for an hour and a half. He was outside it or around it. He ended up going back into the tunnel about an hour and a half later and was in the crowd as the crowd pushed against officers. But Mr. Galetto was never accused of or caught on camera pushing -- physically pushing against officers, and I think that that is important. THE COURT: I don't think --MR. PORTALE: He was not part of the crowd -- part of that push. THE COURT: Excuse me. I didn't read this as he was -- I read it that he was in the tunnel -- the tunnel is some distance -- for around an hour and a half. It doesn't say what you were doing there, but,

```
1
       ultimately, you were involved in the last push at the
2
       officers. Is that accurate?
 3
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
                 MR. PORTALE: Thank you, Judge.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: All right. And your extended presence
 6
       in the tunnel and your violent confrontation with the
 7
       officers, both pressing against the shields and this
 8
       scuffle, part of the crowd presented an immediate risk of
 9
       injury to person or damage to property.
10
                 The injury would be to the Capitol Police officers
11
       and MPD officers that were trying to prevent people from the
12
       crowd getting into the tunnel, which would give access to
13
       the Capitol.
14
                 Would you agree with that?
15
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
16
                 THE COURT: And then on the evening of
17
       January 6th, you sent a text to someone on your cell phone
18
       noting that "Politicians need to be overthrown," and that
19
       Mike Pence "is a trader," which I assume you meant
20
       T-R-A-I-T-O-R.
21
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
22
                 THE COURT: Yes? Would you agree?
23
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
24
                 THE COURT: All right. So the civil disorder
25
       knowingly -- in other words, you know what you were doing;
```

this wasn't some accident -- committed an act or attempted to commit an act with the purpose of disrupting, impeding, or interfering with a law enforcement officer. That would be what occurred in paragraph 12, which is pushing against the MPD officer shields, this scuffle, and then in 14, part of the push -- the final push against them.

At the time of the act, the law enforcement officer was engaged in his lawful performance incident to and during a civil disorder. So he -- this would have been the officers -- in particular, Officer B.S. -- who were there to provide security and to keep the crowd from getting through the tunnel into the Capitol.

The civil disorder is, basically, you are obstructing or interfering with the officer, which we have indicated; so it's both elements 1 and 2.

And three is the civil disorder: In any way or degree obstructed, delayed, or adversely affected the performance of a federally protected function. And the performance of the federally protected function was the vote of the Electoral College, which they were -- MPD and Capitol Police officers were trying to protect the Capitol so that those functions could go on and that the crowd would not be able to get in.

Count 3, that the defendant assaulted, resisted, imposed, impeded, intimidated, or interfered -- I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paragraphs 12 and 14 talk about both the scuffle and the push against the shields -- the officers who had put them up, obviously, to prevent you from going in. And you assaulted, you opposed, and you impeded and interfered with their trying to keep the crowd from getting through the tunnel into the Capitol; that you did it with some use of force, which would have been both pushing against your body, the shields, and the final push. In paragraph 14, that the defendant did so while Officer B.S. was engaged in his official duties which -- he was on duty to prevent the crowd from getting into -- past the tunnel into the Capitol; that the assault involved physical contact with the victim which would have been, presumably, the scuffle as well as -- the scuffle in paragraph 12, it seems to me; as well as, potentially, 14; and the intent to commit another felony, which would have been -- the felony would have been the civil disorder itself.

So I'll find that the government then has evidence beyond a reasonable doubt of the elements of both the charges in Count 1 and 3, and that Mr. Galetto has admitted conduct that meets the elements of that offense.

Now, in terms of the written letter that sets out the plea agreement, did you go over it carefully?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. What I am going to do is -- as

I told you, I would go through portions of it. I am going to start with two things, which are consequences which relate to the sentencing. Set out here, although I will discuss it slightly differently.

By statute, penalties, crimes, and charges have statutory maximums as to what the penalties can be. It's unlawful to sentence above the maximums that are set out by statute.

So for Count 1, it would be the civil disorder. The maximum sentence for jail time is incarceration, five years. The maximum fine is \$250,000 or two times the pecuniary loss or gain.

Supervised release, if you are given a period of jail time, you can be ordered to spend time supervised in the community; it cannot be for more than three years. If you are given jail time and then supervised release -- if you commit a new crime or violate the supervised release, in terms of its conditions, it can be revoked. If it is revoked, then a new sentence is calculated based on statute and the sentencing guidelines; then you will not get credit for the period of time that you have already served on the original offense; you would have to serve the full sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There is a special assessment which I

cannot waive; you need to pay \$100.

Do you understand all of that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, in Count 3, which is the assaulting, resisting, or impeding certain officers, the maximum period of incarceration is eight years; the maximum fine is \$250,000, or two times the pecuniary loss or gain. Supervised release -- again, if you are incarcerated and you are put in the community, you can be monitored; it cannot be for more than three years; and, again, the same thing if it's revoked; and another \$100 for the special assessment.

Do you understand and agree to all of that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

itself, there are certain factors by statute, 3553(a), and some other sections that the Court needs to consider; they are very broad. They're basically your background information, any rehabilitation needs, the seriousness of the offense, just punishment, deterrence to you, deterrence to others, those kind of broad things that the Court needs to consider.

The probation office will prepare a presentence report which will give -- set out the offense, give background information about you. We can also make a recommendation. And we'll also, importantly, do the

advisory sentencing guideline calculation; that will be the official one that is done.

Once they do that, when this whole report is prepared, it will be provided to your counsel and the government; he can review it with you. And if there are objections, either you disagree with how they have done the calculations or some other factual information there, you can indicate that and object to the probation officer, who will either change the report or will leave your objection and indicate why not -- why they haven't changed it. I will resolve it before the sentencing goes forward.

So are you following me so far?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So in terms of the advisory sentencing guidelines, there is a commission that Congress set up that is supposed to consider all of the things that a judge would consider. And it comes up with numbers, basically, that reflect those considerations, in terms of the seriousness of the offense, and they give a number to that. Certain characteristics of the offense may garner additional points, or any of the other things that may reduce the points.

So for Count 1 the base offense is 10. Because there was physical contact, there is an additional three points, which means that the offense level is 13.

Count 3, the base offense is 14. Because there

was an official victim, which was the MPD officer, there is an additional 6 points, which makes it 20. So, combined, you take the two offenses and you take the larger number, which is the 20.

Because you have no convictions in terms of looking at the criminal history, you will be in criminal history 1. The combined offense of 20 -- if you accept responsibility, there can be a reduction of 2 points and then an additional point if the government moves to allow you that; so that is 17. So if you are in offense Level 17, Criminal History Category 1, the range and sentencing is 24 to 30 months. The range of the fine is 10,000 to 95,000.

You should be aware that, in some circumstances — although I am not sure in these types of offenses, if they decide whether they are all connected, in which case you would get — I could not sentence you consecutively, it has to be concurrent. Let me just say that it's either concurrent so the two counts — if the sentence runs together, there is a slim possibility it's consecutive, where the Court can sentence you for one count and, on top of that, the second count. But I think because they're related, the two offenses and counts, that it's probably just going to be concurrent.

Now, the government has also indicated that there is an enhancement which, so far, no judge has actually

```
1
                 I assume it's what is labeled the terrorism one.
       imposed.
2
                 Is that correct, Government?
 3
                 MS. KLAMANN: Your Honor, I apologize.
                 Which portion of the plea letter are you referring
 4
 5
       to?
 6
                 THE COURT: It's in the -- let me show you.
 7
                 Where is it? It's under -- page 5, second
 8
       paragraph under C, an upward departure.
 9
                 MS. KLAMANN: Your Honor, I believe that just
10
       refers -- I don't believe that refers to a specific
11
       enhancement that the government plans to seek.
12
                 I'm sorry, I don't have my guidelines book with me
13
       or else I would confirm that.
14
                 THE COURT: I assume that you spoke to -- Counsel,
15
       you talked to your client. Which one is it?
16
                 I meant to look it up as well.
17
                 MR. PORTALE: Judge, I am 100 percent certain that
18
       there has never been any mention of an upward variance or an
19
       enhancement for anything.
20
                 THE COURT: It's in here -- it's in your -- look
21
       at page 5, the second paragraph.
22
                 MR. PORTALE: Yes, Your Honor.
23
                 The way I see it, it says: The government
24
       reserves the right to request --
25
                 THE COURT: Right. I am saying -- he should just
```

1 know that the government may request it. I am just asking: What is it, the upward 2 3 departure? 4 MR. PORTALE: Judge, if I may, maybe we should 5 take a minute to just verify it; I think that's probably the 6 best idea, because it's not something that we discussed. 7 THE COURT: Well, what did you discuss with your client? 8 9 Let me find it. I had meant to look at it 10 beforehand, and I didn't get a chance to. 11 MS. KLAMANN: Your Honor, I apologize. You are That is a reference to 381.4, a terrorism 12 correct. 13 enhancement. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 As I said, no judge has imposed it so far. 16 You should just be aware that they may ask for it. 17 Which, if they ask for it, you obviously can object, and the 18 Court would be making a decision about it. But I wanted to 19 at least flag it so you are aware of it. 20 MR. PORTALE: Thank you, Judge. 21 THE COURT: All right. Then, in looking at the 22 guidelines, the sentencing guidelines, within that network you can ask for a departure. They're very narrow because 23 24 the idea is the commission has come up with -- considered 25 everything that should be considered. So they have to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somewhat extraordinary or out of the ordinary in some way. The departures are -- you can depart upward; you can add points or depart downward, which is what you would be most interested in. As I said, they're very narrow. Also, because these are advisory, the Court is not bound by them. I do need to make the calculation, but I can decide that I will vary the sentence. Obviously, I cannot be above the statutory maximum, but I can vary either up or down. Now, according to our Court of Appeals, you have to be very specific as to why the sentencing guideline range does not satisfy the requirements of 3553(a) such that you would move to a variance, but that is another option. So you do the calculations, and then there is some opportunity to argue for a slightly different sentence based on either the departures or the variance. So do you understand all of that? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is that something you talked about with your attorney? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Did you have a discussion with him about what the guidelines are like? How they apply? Obviously, government counsel and defense counsel came up with their best guess, in terms of what is in the

plea letter, but the official one is really going to be what probation puts together.

Did you have that discussion?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I won't be able to decide the advisory guideline sentence until after I get the presentence report, after everybody has had an opportunity to either object or not, and to basically make whatever arguments that you and your counsel and the government make me to decide what the official guideline range is?

Do you understand and agree?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then, as I said, after I have decided what guideline applies, I have the authority, in some circumstances, to impose a sentence that's more severe or less severe than what is in the advisory guidelines.

Those are those departures that I spoke about.

Also, I am also required to tell you this, that parole has been abolished. So if you are sentenced to prison, you actually serve the sentence. It used to be -- in the old days, you may have seen movies where people are put on parole. You would get a sentence and part of the sentence -- if the parole commission allowed you to -- would be for you to serve it in the community; and that would be

1 part of your incarceration sentence, you would be on parole. 2 They have abolished that. Whatever sentence I give you of 3 incarceration, that's actually what you'll serve. The Bureau of Prisons on its own, which has 4 5 nothing to do with me, has a program of good time credits. 6 And they may reduce, based on your good behavior, your 7 number of days but that's not something the Court does. 8 Do you understand that? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Do you also understand -- because the 11 offense as to which you are pleading guilty to are felonies, 12 if I accept your plea, that that may deprive you of valuable 13 civil rights: The right to vote, the right to hold public 14 office, the right to serve on a jury. It depends on where 15 you live. In some places it's a lifetime deprivation; other 16 places it's for a time period; in some places it doesn't 17 make any difference. It depends on where you are living as 18 to what effect having a felony offense will have on you. 19 Do you understand and agree to all of that? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Then let me move to the 22 letter itself, if you have it in front of you. 23 I am going to go and indicate the page and the

I am going to go and indicate the page and the paragraph as I go through it. Page 1 sets out what you are charged with. It sets out what the plea is and what the

24

25

statutory penalties are. We then get into the elements on page 2 and 3.

You have also indicated, in paragraph 4 on page 3, that you are agreeing to allow law enforcement agents to conduct an interview with you regarding the events around January 6th prior to sentencing; is that correct?

Is that what you have agreed to?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Additional charges -- you have indicated that, obviously, the other charges that you are not pleading guilty to will be dismissed. And that if you have told them about any nonviolent criminal offenses that you would have committed that they did not know about, they will not charge you with those.

Moving to page 4, it sets out the guideline calculations that we just talked about; the acceptance of responsibility or being able to reduce it; the fact that the estimated offense level, 17, after the -- if you do a deduction of the 3 points for acceptance of responsibility.

And then on page 5, it talks about, under C, the guideline range for that offense level and criminal history. Paragraph second, more importantly: You are agreeing that solely for the purpose of calculating the range neither a downward nor upward departure from the guideline range is warranted. The government does preserve its right about

1 that one particular potential departure. 2 You are also agreeing that neither party will seek 3 a different offense level calculation, but you are able to arque for a different criminal history category should it 4 5 turn out to be different. 6 Do you understand and agree? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Also, do you understand that the 9 estimated guideline range that has been set out is not 10 binding on the probation office or the Court? 11 Do you understand and agree to that? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Now, at the bottom of 5 -- obviously, 14 if you commit any conduct after this agreement that could 15 serve as an increase in your base offense or adjusted upward 16 departure -- obstructing justice, not appearing for court, 17 false statements, those kinds of things -- then you may wind 18 up with some increases to the base offense level. 19 Do you understand and agree? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Page 6, the top. 22 Importantly, 8, you have agreed that a sentence within the 23 estimated quideline range would be considered a reasonable sentence under that statute 3553(a). But the parties agree 24 25 that either one of you may seek a variance that I spoke

1 about, and suggest the Court consider a sentence outside of 2 the guideline range based on those factors that I set out 3 under 3553(a). So both of you have reserved the right to ask for a variance. 4 5 Do you understand and agree? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Under 9, on page 6, the 8 second paragraph, if you have agreed to something --9 recommend something or not recommend something as part of 10 the plea, post sentencing -- so it's after the sentencing --11 in front of the Bureau of Prisons or elsewhere, neither you 12 nor the government will be bound by those strictures that 13 have been put into the plea letter. 14 Also, the government is putting you on notice that 15 after sentencing they are not going to move to have your 16 sentence reduced for being cooperative, which would be this 17 Rule 35(b). 18 Do you understand and agree to all of that? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. At the bottom of 6, it 21 indicates that I can impose a sentence all the way up to the 22 maximum. Obviously, above that would be an illegal 23 sentence. 24 Looking at page 7, again at the top, I want to 25 make sure you understand that you have no right to withdraw

the guilty plea if I impose a sentence set outside the guideline range or if I don't follow the government's sentencing recommendation or, frankly, your lawyer's recommendation; and you and the government are bound by the agreement regardless of the sentence that I impose. Any effort on your part to withdraw the guilty plea because you are unhappy with the length of the sentence that I could impose would be considered a breach of the agreement.

Do you understand and agree?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Conditions of release. We have indicated that you can remain in the community as long as you are compliant with the conditions. If that changes, it's possible that you -- between now and sentencing, it's possible that you could be picked up and held.

Do you understand and agree?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: At the bottom, in terms of statute of limitations, this would only come up if the agreement is vacated, in other words, made null and void. If that's the case, then -- by statute, most crimes have a period of time within which the government has to charge you. If they charge you outside of that time period, you have a defense saying they have charged you outside of the statute of limitations and they can't do that; they can't proceed with

1 the prosecution. 2 So if at the time that we're entering this plea 3 the statute of limitations has not run, in other words, they 4 have charged you within that period of time but at some 5 later date the plea is vacated, made null and void, but the 6 government wants to go ahead and charge you again, you are 7 agreeing that you will not raise a statute of limitations 8 defense if, from the time of the plea and after it's 9 vacated -- if the statute of limitations has run. 10 Do you understand and agree? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: If you don't understand -- it's 13 basically to not have people plead guilty, it's vacated, and 14 then you try and withdraw the plea or have it vacated --15 THE WITNESS: I see. 16 THE COURT: -- and then claim, oh, look; although, 17 originally, when you were charged, it was in the statute of 18 limitations, in the meantime, it has run. That's the 19 purpose of it. 20 THE WITNESS: So it's not the other way around, 21 they can charge me some other future charge that they didn't 22 come up at this particular plea bargain, correct? 23 THE COURT: Right. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I understand that. 25 THE COURT: All right. In terms of trial rights,

1 which is page 8, I have gone over them. The only one is the 2 second sentence: You are agreeing to forgo the right to any 3 further discovery or disclosure of information that has not already been provided to you. 4 5 Do you understand and agree? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The second paragraph under that is Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 8 9 410, Rules of Evidence. Statements that you have made, 10 including the ones you have made today, that are admissions, 11 that if the plea is later withdrawn -- so if -- this is in 12 the circumstances it's withdrawn. Ordinarily, under those 13 rules, if any admissions you have made could be used to 14 impeach your credibility. 15 So if you got up on the stand and testified to 16 something different than, say, what you said today or some 17 other time, they could use those statements, your 18 admissions, against you. You are agreeing that they -- if 19 that occurs, that they can use it as direct evidence of what 20 you have said occurred. 21 Do you understand and agree? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: We went over the appeal rights. 24 Let's go to page 9, which talks about collateral 25 So this is another way of appealing; it's usually

done at a later date.

You are giving up your right to challenge, again, the conviction or sentence or attempt to modify or change the sentence or the manner in which it was decided in any collateral attack, which is an indirect attack on a conviction or sentence at a later point.

Some examples are 2255s, which is a writ of habeas corpus. Rule 60(b) is final judgment.

But there are exceptions. The exception is such that if it's a motion based on newly discovered evidence or you're claiming that you received ineffective assistance of counsel, then you would be able to do the appeal in this collateral way.

You are also reserving your right to file a motion under Section 3582(c)(2). That is, if -- every once in a while the commission revisits the advisory sentencing guidelines. If they, at some later date, lowered it for your type of offense -- it depends on whether they would hold that it's retroactive or not. But if it was, you could file to ask that the new guidelines which would give you a lower sentence should be applied to you; but if it's denied, then you can't take it up on appeal.

Do you understand and agree?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And we can do video for

everything but the plea, which we are doing now, and any sentencing.

Do you understand and agree?

THE WITNESS: You said and any sentencing, so I will have to --

THE COURT: Yes. For sentencing you will have to come back; they are felonies.

THE WITNESS: I understand.

THE COURT: There are some differences with the COVID procedures, but that has not changed. Statuses and things we can do by videoconference, but not the plea or the sentencing itself.

THE WITNESS: I understand.

THE COURT: All right. Page 10 is restitution.

You have agreed to restitution in the amount of \$2,000,

which goes to the repairs that need to be done to the

Capitol; they're made to the Clerk of the Court. And while

you have not paid the \$2,000 restitution, you are required

to submit a financial statement or a financial disclosure

form to the U.S. Attorney's Office so that they can see -
in terms of whether you have money and should actually be

paying. And you are authorizing the U.S. Attorney's Office

to get a credit report. Now, once you have paid that off,

then there is no reason for you to have to do disclosures of

your finances.

```
1
                 The Court can also set a schedule so you don't
2
       have to pay it all at once; it can be over a monthly period
 3
       of time. The Court can do that. The government can still
       pursue and try and collect it more as a lump sum.
 4
 5
                 Do you understand and agree?
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: All right. Let's move to page 11.
                 THE WITNESS: Can I ask one question?
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Sure.
10
                 THE WITNESS: So the financial disclosure, I
11
       guess, it is --
12
                 THE COURT: Yes.
13
                 THE WITNESS: -- that's only if I cannot pay --
14
                 THE COURT: If you haven't paid it.
15
                 THE WITNESS: Okay.
16
                 THE COURT: In other words, they want to look at
17
       your finances if you have not paid the -- until you have
18
       paid the actual restitution. So it's not an ongoing thing
19
       that -- while you are sentenced that you are going to be
20
       providing them with your financial information; it's really
21
       only until your financial obligations, whatever they are,
22
       are actually fulfilled or satisfied.
23
                 THE WITNESS: I see. Thank you for the
24
       clarification.
25
                 THE COURT: In terms of -- 15 is the breach of
```

agreement, if you break your end of the bargain.

Do you understand and agree that if you fail to specifically perform or fulfill each of the obligations or engage in any criminal activity before sentencing, you will have been considered to have breached the agreement?

There are certain consequences. The government is free from its obligations under the agreement. You will not have a right to withdraw the guilty plea. You will be fully subject to criminal prosecution for any other crimes if you commit them, such as perjury or obstructing justice. The government will be free to use against you, directly and indirectly, in any criminal or civil proceeding, all statements that you have made, any other information or materials that you have provided, including what you have done today or any other kinds of debriefings.

Do you understand and agree?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In terms of the breach, the government shall be required to prove a breach of the agreement only by a preponderance of the evidence; so that is the standard in Burton, which is at a civil lawsuit level; beyond a reasonable doubt for criminal is, obviously, higher. So this is a lower level to prove the breach. If the breach is because you violated some federal, state, or local crime, then they only have to prove it by probable cause to show

1 the breach; and that's even lower than preponderance of the 2 evidence. 3 Do you understand and agree? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: And then the paragraph -- the last 6 sentence in the third paragraph, under 15, you understand 7 that any perjury, false statements, declarations, 8 obstructing justice related to your obligations will be 9 viewed as a breach of the agreement; in other words, you 10 have broken your end of the bargain. If that happens, you 11 won't be able to withdraw your guilty plea. 12 Do you understand and agree? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Now, I want to make sure that we have 15 the full agreement, either in writing or what we have talked 16 about here. You can't come back in a week or so and say, 17 well, Judge, I thought this or that was part of the 18 agreement. 19 I want to make sure that this is the complete 20 agreement, that there is nothing out there that you think is 21 part of it that has not been -- I haven't gone over 22 everything, but that has not been brought up in court orally 23 or in writing. 24 Is there anything? 25 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: It also binds the agreement -- the 2 criminal and superior court divisions of the U.S. Attorney's 3 Office here in D.C. doesn't bind the civil division or any other U.S. Attorney's Office. 4 5 Do you understand and agree? 6 THE WITNESS: I agree. 7 THE COURT: All right. Now, my last question is 8 about -- goes to voluntariness of the plea. 9 Has anyone including your lawyer, law enforcement, 10 the prosecutor, or anybody else you have come in contact 11 with since your arrest promised or suggested to you that 12 just by pleading guilty that you are necessarily guaranteed 13 a lighter sentence? 14 Obviously, I will take into account your 15 acceptance of responsibility. But I want to make sure no 16 one has guaranteed you a specific sentence. 17 THE WITNESS: That's correct, no one has. 18 THE COURT: Nobody --19 THE WITNESS: No. 20 THE COURT: -- has anyone forced, threatened, or 21 coerced you in any way into entering this plea of guilty? 22 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement 24 reached in this case resulted from negotiations between your 25 lawyer and the government's lawyer?

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: Understood.
2
                 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you in
       connection with your guilty plea other than those in the
 3
       plea letter or what we have spoken about today in court?
 4
 5
                 THE WITNESS: The question is what? I'm sorry.
                 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you in
 6
 7
       connection with your guilty plea other than the plea letter
       or what we have talked about here?
 8
 9
                 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you as
11
       to what sentence I will impose in this case if I accept your
12
       guilty plea?
13
                 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: Do you understand that at this time I
15
       don't know what sentence I will impose since I haven't heard
16
       from the probation office or the attorneys?
17
                 Do you understand that?
18
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
19
                 THE COURT: Are you entering this plea of guilty
20
       voluntarily and of your own free will?
21
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                 THE COURT: And are you entering this plea of
23
       guilty because you are guilty of the two counts that you are
24
       pleading to?
25
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: Anything you don't understand about 2 the proceeding or your plea? Anything you want to ask me or your counsel? 3 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: I want to make sure that this is the 6 decision that you wish to make because, again, you can't 7 come back in a month or two and say: I changed my mind, Judge, I want to withdraw it. 8 9 I want to make sure this is what you want to do. 10 Are you sure that this is what you want to do? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Then how do you, Kevin Louis Galetto, 13 plead to Count 1, civil disorder; quilty or not quilty? 14 THE WITNESS: Guilty. Guilty, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: And to Count 3, assaulting, resisting, 16 or impeding certain officers; guilty or not guilty? 17 THE WITNESS: Guilty, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. I am satisfied the 19 defendant, Mr. Galetto, is fully competent and capable of 20 making a decision today, understands the nature and 21 consequences of what he is doing. He is acting voluntarily, 22 of his own free will, and it's an adequate factual basis for 23 his plea. Therefore, the plea is accepted. The Court finds Kevin Louis Galetto guilty of 24 25 Count 1, civil disorder; Count 3, assaulting, resisting, or

```
1
       impeding certain officers.
2
                 All right. I will leave your conditions of
 3
       release as they are now.
 4
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
                 THE COURT: Let me sign the waiver of trial.
 6
                 Dorothy, what is the presentence date? When would
 7
       be when it's done?
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: June 18th.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: 18th?
10
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
11
                 THE COURT: That's a Sunday. So let me give them
12
       until June 23rd, we have quite a few cases, so they don't
13
       ask for extensions.
14
                 Do you want the government to go first and then
       you second, or do you want to file simultaneously?
15
16
                 THE WITNESS: What's the question, Your Honor?
17
                 THE COURT: It's for your lawyer.
18
                 Do you want to file simultaneously or have the
19
       government go first and you second?
20
                 MR. PORTALE: Your Honor, I think that
21
       simultaneous in this case is fine.
22
                 THE COURT: All right. Come up with a date.
23
                 June 23rd is when I get it. So you would have
24
       looked at it beforehand, made any objections, done whatever
25
       needs to be done.
```

1 From the 23rd -- how much time do you want after 2 that? Give me a date that you are going to stick to. 3 MR. PORTALE: May we have 30 days from there, Judge? 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So are you talking about 6 July 21st? 7 MR. PORTALE: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Give me another date if you want 9 something else. I would like you to stick to it as much as 10 possible. 11 MR. PORTALE: If it's going to be an issue -- if we do need more time, maybe we should take August 4th, if 12 13 that pleases the Court. 14 THE COURT: No. As I said, I would rather you 15 file -- that you don't ask for extensions. I get a lot of 16 extensions; I would rather give you what you need. 17 So both the government and the defense memorandum 18 in aid of sentencing will be filed then. Let me see -- look 19 and see what's available for an actual sentencing date. 20 (Whereupon, the Court and staff confer.) 21 THE COURT: Do you have a preference of either 22 Monday the 7th or Tuesday the 8th for the sentencing? I 23 start a trial on the 9th. How about the 8th? Just 24 because -- the other one is finishing on the 4th, just in 25 case there is a little overlap.

```
1
                 MR. PORTALE: Judge, the month is August?
2
                 THE COURT: Yes.
 3
                 MR. PORTALE: I don't have a preference, Judge.
                 THE COURT: Is that okay?
 4
 5
                 So August 8th.
                 Where are you all coming from?
 6
 7
                 THE WITNESS: Florida.
                 MR. PORTALE: New York.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: I can do it in the afternoon, if you
10
       want.
11
                 MR. PORTALE: Please.
12
                 THE COURT: 1:30. Does that work?
13
                 MR. PORTALE: Yes, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: All right. So the presentence report
15
       coming to me is June 23rd. Both counsel will file
16
       simultaneously on August 4th a memorandum in aid of
17
       sentencing. The sentencing is August 8th, at 1:30.
18
                 MR. PORTALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                 THE COURT: What I would ask is, the government --
20
       you, generally, have been providing me with videos, which
21
       helps. If you would do it --
22
                 I forgot, Dorothy, what we want. How do we do it?
23
       Do you remember.
24
                 (Whereupon, the Court and staff confer.)
25
                 THE COURT: If you can, give them to us on a thumb
```

```
1
       drive.
2
                 MS. KLAMANN: Sure, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: Along with -- if you can give it
 4
       before the sentencing, that would be great, because it's
 5
       very close between when you give your memorandum in aid of
 6
       sentencing.
 7
                 MS. KLAMANN: Sure, Your Honor.
 8
                 THE COURT: All right. If there is nothing else,
 9
       then the parties are excused.
10
                 Anything else that needs to be discussed?
11
                 MR. PORTALE: I did have one more thing. Forgive
12
       me if you think I am being overly cautious or overly
13
       worrisome --
14
                 THE COURT: Okay.
15
                 MR. PORTALE: -- but it's been, kind of, a pebble
16
       in my shoe since we went through the allocution.
17
                 I just want to make sure that we're all on the
18
       same page as to what Mr. Galetto admitted to with regard to
19
       "physical contact." I think I understand, but I want to
20
       make sure that Your Honor is clear and that we're all clear
21
       on it.
22
                 THE COURT: I assume that the body-worn camera is
23
       going to show what happened. No?
24
                 MR. PORTALE: Yes. It's going to show -- counsel
25
       can feel free to chime in. It's going to show Mr. Galetto
```

1 clearly grabbing the officer's shield, and that that is the 2 understanding of -- that's what the physical contact is. 3 I just keep going back to where we were with 4 regard to that scuffle. And I am worried that it sounded 5 like Mr. Galetto was admitting to having made contact at 6 that time, and I want to make sure that that is clear. 7 I'm sorry if I should have interrupted you at that time and I shouldn't have waited, but it's been, kind of, 8 9 sitting with me. I want to make sure --10 THE COURT: Well, I thought we had clarified it on 11 the record, and I will go back and take a look at what we 12 have. 13 We have him pushing the shields. 14 MR. PORTALE: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And then we have what is described as 16 a scuffle between he and the officer which, I assume, shows 17 up. 18 MR. PORTALE: No. That's why I'm worried. That's 19 what I want to clarify. 20 THE COURT: One at a time. What are you defining 21 as a "scuffle"? I assume there is some physical contact. 22 It's clear he didn't hit him and knock him to the ground. 23 MR. PORTALE: I think what happened was that there 24 was a scuffle between many people. It's almost like a rugby 25 scrum, if you will. He was there -- not on camera. The

1 officer was there, not really on camera; they both go down. It never has been alleged that he was -- that the officer 2 3 fell as a result of contact with Mr. Galetto. It's never 4 been --5 THE COURT: There is -- some physical contact I 6 assume was had. 7 MR. PORTALE: With Mr. Galetto and the officer's shield. That's clear. That's clear. We have done --8 9 THE COURT: So separately, from pushing on the 10 shield. You are talking about the officer and Mr. Galetto 11 individually with the officer's shield; that's the scuffle. 12 MR. PORTALE: And that's the physical contact that 13 meets the element under the 111 element for physical 14 contact. I am so glad that I brought it back up, and I apologize if I didn't do it sooner. 15 16 THE COURT: Does the government agree with that? 17 MS. KLAMANN: Your Honor, I think paragraph 17 of 18 the statement of offense clarifies that, and it speaks 19 directly to the elements of 111(a). Specifically, it says: 20 Defendant admits that he pushed up and against the riot 21 shield of MPD Officer B.S. So I think we're on the same 22 page. That is the physical contact for purposes of the plea 23 today. 24 To the extent there is additional physical 25 contact, I expect the government would prove that up at

1 sentencing. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. PORTALE: That helps, Judge. And thank you. 4 Thank you for entertaining me. 5 THE COURT: No, no. It's much better to take care 6 of it now than it is to get stuck at sentencing with 7 arguments about what did or did not happen. 8 MR. PORTALE: Thank you, Judge. 9 I think I was mostly worried about if your 10 understanding was one thing and us saying something else in 11 our memo, and then it looking like we were trying to change 12 things; that was my concern. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. PORTALE: Thank you so much. 15 THE COURT: All right. The parties are excused. 16 MR. PORTALE: Thank you, Judge. 17 (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 4:00 p.m.) 18 CERTIFICATE 19 I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate 20 transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my 21 ability. This certificate shall be considered null and void if the transcript is disassembled and/or photocopied in any 22 manner by any party without authorization of the signatory 23 below. 24 Dated this 30th day of July, 2023. 25 /s/ Elizabeth Saint-Loth, RPR, FCRR Official Court Reporter