## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CASE NO.

:

v. : VIOLATIONS:

:

KURT PETERSON, : 18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2) and 2 (Obstructing an

: Official Proceeding and Aiding and

Defendant. : Abetting);

: 18 U.S.C. § 1361 (Destruction of

: Government Property);

18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) (Entering and Remaining in a Restricted Building or

: Grounds);

: 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2) (Disorderly and

Disruptive Conduct in a Restricted Building

: or Grounds);

: 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(4) (Engaging in Physical

Violence in a Restricted Building or

: Grounds);

: 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D) (Disorderly

: Conduct in a Capitol Building);

: 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(F) (Engaging in

: Physical Violence in the Ground or Capitol

Building);

: 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(G) (Parading,

: Demonstrating, or Picketing in a Capitol

: Building)

## MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant is executed, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, Criminal Complaint, and Arrest Warrant in the above-captioned matter, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

- 1. The United States is investigating allegations that Kurt Peterson, willfully and knowingly entered the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021, without legal authority, and participated in violent and disorderly conduct in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1512(c)(2), 2; 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (2); and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2), among other charges.
- 2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation, including the use of a digital device in furtherance of the crime. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant, which also may lead to the destruction of evidence in other locations including the digital device. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.
- 2. As stated in <u>Washington Post v. Robinson</u>, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if "(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest." <u>Id.</u> at 290 (quoting <u>Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United</u> States Dist. Court, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).
- 3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.
- 4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of <u>Washington Post</u> would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in

Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order, means that the

defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest her. Thus, if this Motion

or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Complaint

and Arrest Warrant.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order

directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest

Warrant, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting

Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS

Acting United States Attorney

DC Bar No. 415793

By: /s/ Alison B. Prout

Alison B. Prout

Assistant United States Attorney

G.A. Bar No. 141666

555 4th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20530 (404)

772-4456

Alison.Prout@usdoj.gov

3