

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

RYAN TAYLOR NICHOLS,

Defendant.

Case No: 21-cr-117-TFH-1

APPLICATION FOR ACCESS TO VIDEO EXHIBITS

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 57.6 and Standing Order No. 21-28 (BAH), applicants Cable News Network, Inc., American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. d/b/a ABC News, The Associated Press, BuzzFeed, Inc. d/b/a BuzzFeed News, CBS Broadcasting Inc. o/b/o CBS News, Dow Jones & Company, Inc., publisher of The Wall Street Journal, The E.W. Scripps Company, Gannett Co., Inc., Gray Media Group, Inc., Los Angeles Times Communications LLC, publisher of The Los Angeles Times, National Public Radio, Inc., NBCUniversal Media, LLC d/b/a NBC News, The New York Times Company, Pro Publica, Inc., Tegna, Inc., and WP Company LLC, d/b/a The Washington Post (together, the “Press Coalition”) respectfully seek access, under the First Amendment and common law, to certain video recordings that have been submitted to the Court in this matter. In support of this application the Press Coalition states as follows:

1. On May 14, 2021, in response to a motion filed by the Press Coalition, Chief Judge Beryl A. Howell issued Standing Order No. 21-28 (the “Standing Order”). The Standing Order recognizes “[t]he significant public and media interest in the numerous criminal cases arising from the January 6, 2021 violent breach of the United States Capitol (the ‘Capitol Cases’), for which the parties are routinely submitting video exhibits to the Court for use in pretrial proceedings.” *See* Standing Order at 2.

2. To accommodate this interest, the Standing Order provides that “[m]embers of the media seeking access to video exhibits submitted to the Court in Capitol Cases may file an application . . . to the presiding judge in the case, or if no judge has been assigned, to the Chief Judge, for determination, and the judge may seek the position of the parties.” *See id.* at 5.

3. The Standing Order further provides that “[u]pon grant of such media application, the government shall make the video exhibit[s] available to any member of the media with necessary access credentials provided by the government, unless the order otherwise limits access.” *See id.* at 5-6. Specifically, the Standing Order states that “[m]embers of the media provided access to video exhibits in a particular case pursuant to such order may view those exhibits” by way of an electronic ““drop box”” into which the Government has agreed to place videos subject to access orders in the Capitol Cases. *See id.* at 6.

4. Defendant Ryan Nichols is charged with various crimes related to the January 6 riots and is alleged to have “used a deadly or dangerous weapon, to wit a canister of OC/pepper spray, or inflicted bodily injury” on a federal officer. Aff. in Support of Criminal Compl. and Arrest Warrant at 2, Dkt. 1-1.

5. On November 1, 2021, Nichols moved to modify the conditions of his release on bail and with that motion, submitted a number of videos for the Court’s consideration (the “Video Exhibits”). *See* Def. Ryan Nichols’ Mot. to Modification of Bail to Place Def. on Conditional Release Pending Trial & Ryan Nichols Exhibit List, Dkt. 55, 55-1 (noting Exhibits 13-19 are videos).¹

¹ Defendant has labeled all Video Exhibits as “Sensitive” and indicated “all sensitive material under the Protective Order will be attempted to be filed under Seal.” *Id.* at 10 n.3. However, the docket does not reflect that he filed a motion for leave to file under seal as required under Local Rule of Criminal Procedure 49(f)(6) and the Protective Order. *See* Protective Order Governing Discovery ¶ 4(d), Dkt. 26.

6. The Video Exhibits are plainly “intended to influence the court” in its decision-making, and as a result they are judicial records subject to a “strong presumption in favor of public access.” *Leopold v. United States*, 964 F.3d 1121, 1127-28 (D.C. Cir. 2020) (Garland, J.).

7. Neither the Government nor the Defendant can possibly rebut the presumption of access under *United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293, 317-21 (D.C. Cir. 1980). *See, e.g., United States v. Jackson*, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49841 (D.D.C. Mar. 17, 2021) (Howell, C.J.) (granting access to video exhibits in one of the Capitol Cases).

8. Because the Video Exhibits are judicial records subject to an un rebutted presumption of public access, the Court should grant this Application and direct the Government to release the Video Exhibits to the Press Coalition via electronic “drop box” within 72 hours. To expedite that release, the Court should further instruct the Government to provide undersigned counsel with the “necessary access credentials” referenced in the Standing Order.

9. The Standing Order provides that “[n]o recording, copying, downloading, retransmitting or further broadcasting of video exhibits in a particular case is permitted, unless such permission is granted by the presiding judge.” *See* Standing Order at 6. The Press Coalition therefore requests that the Court grant permission to record, copy, download, retransmit, and otherwise further publish these Video Exhibits.²

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Press Coalition respectfully requests that the Court order the Government to release the Video Exhibits, without restriction, within 72 hours.

Dated: November 30, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

² The Press Coalition makes this request without conceding that the Standing Order complies with the First Amendment or common law, and expressly reserving the right to challenge this and other portions of the Standing Order in this and other Capitol Cases.

/s/ Charles D. Tobin

Charles D. Tobin (#455593)

Maxwell S. Mishkin (#1031356)

Lauren Russell (#1697195)

1909 K Street, NW, 12th Floor

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 661-2200 | Fax: (202) 661-2299

tobinc@ballardspahr.com

mishkinm@ballardspahr.com

russelll@ballardspahr.com

Counsel for the Press Coalition

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 30, 2021, I caused true and correct copies of the foregoing to be served via electronic mail and U.S. Mail on the following:

Brittany Ann Keil
Danielle Sara Rosborough
Luke Matthew Jones
555 4th Street NW
Washington, DC 20530
brittany.keil@usdoj.gov
danielle.rosborough@usdoj.gov
luke.jones@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

Jeffrey T. Green
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
jgreen@sidley.com

Joseph Daniel McBride
THE MCBRIDE LAW FIRM, PLLC
99 Park Avenue
25th Floor
New York, NY 10016
jmcbride@mcbritelawnyc.com

Attorneys for Defendant Ryan Nichols

/s/ Charles D. Tobin

Charles D. Tobin (#455593)