



U.S. Department of Justice

Matthew M. Graves
United States Attorney

District of Columbia

*Judiciary Center
555 Fourth St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530*

June 16, 2022

Phillip Linder & James Lee Bright
Counsel for Stewart Rhodes
attorneylinder@gmail.com
phillip@thelinderfirm.com
jlbrightlaw@gmail.com

Stanley Woodward, Jr., & Julia Haller
Counsel for Kelly & Connie Meggs
stanley@brandwoodwardlaw.com
hallerjulia@outlook.com

Bradford Geyer
Counsel for Kenneth Harrelson
bradford.geyer@formerfedsgroup.com

Jonathan Crisp
Counsel for Jessica Watkins
jcrisp@crisplegal.com

William Shipley
Counsel for Roberto Minuta
808shipleylaw@gmail.com

Scott Weinberg
Counsel for David Moerschel
Scott@bsrlegal.com

David Fischer
Counsel for Thomas Caldwell
fischerandputzi@hotmail.com

Gene Rossi, Natalie Napierala,
& Charles Greene
Counsel for William Isaacs
GRossi@carltonfields.com
nnapierala@carltonfields.com
cmg@cmgpa.com

Tommy Spina & Edward B. MacMahon, Jr.
Counsel for Jonathan Walden
tommy@tommyspina.com
ebmjr@macmahon-law.com

Re: *United States v. Rhodes, et al.* (22-cr-15-APM); *United States v. Crawl, et al.* (21-cr-28-APM); *United States v. Walden* (22-cr-14-APM)
D.C. Rule of Professional Conduct 1.8(e)

Dear Counsel:

We are writing to all retained counsel in these three related cases.

It has come to the government's attention that at least some portion of some retained counsel's legal fees are potentially being provided by an entity other than your respective clients. We believe this situation implicates D.C. Rule of Professional Conduct 1.8(e). And given the public reporting on this issue, and our duties of competence (under Rule 1.1) and diligence (under Rule 1.3) to protect the integrity of the proceeding, we are compelled to request that you certify that you are in compliance with the requirements of Rule 1.8(e). In addition, at the upcoming court hearings (on June 25, 2022, in *Rhodes*; June 30, 2022, in *Crowl*; and March 24, 2023, in *Walden*), we intend to request that Judge Mehta conduct such an inquiry on the record.

D.C. Rule 1.8(e) provides that "[a] lawyer shall not accept compensation for representing a client from one other than the client," unless each of the following three conditions are met:

- (1) The client gives informed consent after consultation;
- (2) There is no interference with the lawyer's independence of professional judgment or with the client-lawyer relationship; and
- (3) Information relating to representation of a client is protected as required by Rule 1.6.

D.C. R. Prof'l Conduct 1.8(e).¹ This Court's Local Criminal Rule 57.26(a) explicitly adopts the D.C. Rules of Professional Conduct.

According to a May 20, 2022, article in *Mother Jones* titled "An Infamous MAGA Lawyer Is Funding Wacky Legal Defenses for January 6 Suspects,"² an organization named Defending the Republic, which appears to be controlled by a lawyer named Sidney Powell, is paying the legal fees in this case for Defendants Rhodes, Kelly Meggs, Connie Meggs, and Harrelson. The *Mother Jones* article builds off of a March 9, 2022, article in *BuzzFeed* titled "Sidney Powell Has Secretly Been Funding the Legal Defense of the Oath Keepers,"³ which reported many of the same facts.

According to the *BuzzFeed* article, Defendant Kelly Meggs's former counsel Jonathon Moseley stated that "at least three or four other defendants" have the same arrangement that he had, meaning being paid by Defending the Republic. Therefore, we believe it is prudent to make the same Rule 1.8(e) inquiry of *all* retained counsel.

The Supreme Court has said that "inherent dangers . . . arise when a criminal defendant is represented by a lawyer hired and paid by a third party." *Wood v. Georgia*, 450 U.S. 261, 269 (1981). In *Wood*, the third-party payer was the "operator of the alleged criminal enterprise," and

¹ This rule is almost identical to ABA Model Rule of Professional Conduct 1.9(f).

² <https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2022/05/sidney-powell-january-6-lawyers-kelly-meggs-jonathan-moseley-juli-haller/>.

³ <https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/kenbensinger/sidney-powell-funding-oath-keepers-defense>.

National Security Division,
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20004