

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES

:

v.

: Crim. No. 21-CR-291-1 (ABJ)

THOMAS F. SIBICK

:

**DEFENDANT THOMAS SIBICK'S NOTICE REGARDING THE  
FILING OF LETTERS IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION FOR BOND**

Defendant Thomas F. Sibick, in support of his Motion for Bond, filed October 16, 2021, hereby provides Notice of the filing of the attached letters from CTF Officers (and one case manager), friends and family of the defendant, as well as a letter from Mr. Sibick himself.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Stephen F. Brennwald, Esq.  
Bar No. 398319  
Brennwald & Robertson, LLP  
922 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003  
(301) 928-7727  
(202) 544-7626(facsimile)  
E-mail: [sfbrennwald@cs.com](mailto:sfbrennwald@cs.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was sent by ECF, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021 to all counsel of record.

/s/

Stephen F. Brennwald

Your Honor,

My name is Thomas Sibick and in an attempt to provide an understanding of who I am I felt compelled to write, because my conduct on January 6<sup>th</sup> was unequivocally an aberration of the high moral standards I hold myself to. What happened at the United States Capitol that day does not provide an adequate representation of my character.

Although I strive for excellence I will be the first to admit that I am not perfect. My life has been full of joyful times, however there has been a fair share of growing pains, none more significant than what I am currently experiencing. The shame, dishonor, and regret endured are without question the worst emotions ever experienced. I disagree with what occurred that fateful day, especially the trauma suffered by Officer Michael Fomone, it is without question unconscionable.

January 6<sup>th</sup> was a disgrace to our nation that left a scar Trump is ultimately responsible for, but we are strong and will heal from it. While many praise Trump I loathe him, his words and actions are nefarious causing pain and harm to the world. He is not a leader and should be ostracized from any political future, what he honestly needs to do is go away!

Throughout my incarceration I have had ample time for self-reflection which has enabled me to grow and become more cognizant of my being,

ultimately having a profound impact on my core values. I have vowed to never attend another political protest in my life, that was my first and last! In addition, I will never allow myself to be consumed by the mob mentality, it is dangerous and undoubtedly out of character.

I give irrefutable assurance to the court that if I am released I will without hesitation abide by Any and All conditions set forth. All I desire is peace, reconciliation, and unity. We must all work together to build back a better nation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Subject



### DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

Inmate's Name <i>Thomas Sibick</i>		DCDC #	Date <i>Aug 11, 2021</i>
		<i>376982</i>	
Evaluation Period (Month/Year)	Squad	Position/Grade	
<i>June - Aug</i>	<i>C2B</i>	<i>Unit Detail</i>	
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.	
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.	
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.	
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Completes work on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.	
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.	
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature		Date	
<i>CPL M. Abdullah</i>		<i>Aug 11, 2021</i>	
Inmate's Signature		Date	
<i>Thomas Sibick</i>		<i>08/14/2021</i>	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy      Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

*\* Note: Resident Sibick very respectful & helpful. No disciplinary concerns. Serves as a model inmate.*



### DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

Inmate's Name		DCDC #	Date
Sibick, Thomas		376982	Aug 8, 21
Evaluation Period (Month/Year)	Squad	Position/Grade	
Feb - Aug	C2B	Detail	
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.	
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.	
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.	
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Completes work on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.	
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.	
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature		Date	
<i>[Signature]</i>		Aug 8, 21	
Inmate's Signature		Date	
<i>[Signature]</i>		08/08/2021	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy      Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

*Davies  
Case Manager*

*Demonstrate great  
Leadership and Collaborative  
Skills.*



### DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

Inmate's Name <b>Sibick Thomas</b>		DCDC #	Date <b>8/16/21</b>
Evaluation Period (Month/Year)		Squad	Position/Grade
<b>March to August</b>		<b>C2B</b>	<b>Unit Detail</b>
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.	
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.	
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.	
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Completes work on time .	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.	
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.	
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature		Date <b>8/16/21</b>	
Inmate's Signature		Date <b>08/16/2021</b>	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy  
Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

Cee Phillips

*Inmate Sibick Thomas is a great help to the unit. He keeps the unit clean and always has a positive attitude " Great job on getting vaccinated "*



### DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS WORK PERFORMANCE RATING – INMATE

Inmate's Name <b>Sibick, Thomas</b>		DCDC #	Date
		<b>376-982</b>	<b>8-15-21</b>
Evaluation Period (Month/Year)	Squad	Position/Grade	
<b>August 2021</b>	<b>C2B</b>	<b>unit Detail</b>	
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.	
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.	
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.	
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Completes work on time .	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.	
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.	
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature		<i>N. Hubbard</i>	Date <b>8-15-21</b>
Inmate's Signature		<i>Thomas Sibick</i>	Date <b>8-15-21</b>
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy  
Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

*Cpl. Hubbard*

*Thomas, Sibick does whatever asked of him. He's very respectful to all staff and cell mates. He also does a wonderful job on his unit Detail.*



DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

Inmate's Name <i>Thomas Sabzck</i>		DCDC # <i>376-983</i>	Date <i>8/3/21</i>
Evaluation Period (Month/Year) <i>June to August</i>		Squad <i>C23</i>	Position/Grade <i>Unit Detail</i>
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.	
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.	
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.	
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Completes work on time.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.	
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.	
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature <i>Thomas [Signature]</i>		Date <i>08/03/2021</i>	
Inmate's Signature <i>[Signature]</i>		Date <i>8/2/21</i>	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy      Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

*Jgb Proctor*

*Mr. Sabzck also show good work Ethzck And zgs Not a problem.*



### DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS WORK PERFORMANCE RATING – INMATE

Inmate's Name <i>Sibick, Thomas</i>		DCDC # <i>376992</i>	Date <i>8-19-21</i>
Evaluation Period (Month/Year) <i>2-21</i>	Squad <i>C2D</i>	Position/Grade <i>Detail</i>	
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.		
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.		
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.		
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Completes work on time.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.		
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.		
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature <i>Cpl. Hayes</i>		Date <i>8-19-21</i>	
Inmate's Signature <i>Thomas Sibick</i>		Date <i>08/19/2021</i>	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy      Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

*INMATE SHOWS SIGNS OF WORKING WELL WITH STAFF AND OTHERS*



DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

Inmate's Name <i>Thomas Sibick</i>		DCDC # <i>376982</i>	Date <i>8/11/21</i>
Evaluation Period (Month/Year) <i>June - August</i>	Squad <i>C7B</i>	Position/Grade <i>Unit Detail</i>	
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.		
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.		
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.		
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Completes work on time.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.		
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.		
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature <i>[Signature]</i>		Date <i>8/11/21</i>	
Inmate's Signature <i>Thomas Sibick</i>		Date <i>08/11/2021</i>	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy      Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

*Cpl Cebbersteck*

*\* Note: Resident Sibick does an excellent job with maintaining the educational tablets. He always carry himself in a respectable manner.*



**DC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE**

Inmate's Name		DCDC #	Date
Sibick, Thomas		376-982	8/12/2021
Evaluation Period (Month/Year)	Squad	Position/Grade	
2/21 to 8/21			
<b>1. Quality of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Does superior work. Does more work than is expected or required.	
	Satisfactory	Makes fewer mistakes than most workers at this level of training/work.	
	Good	Acceptable level of work. Produces average volume of work.	
	Fair	Often makes mistakes; often completes less work than others of same skill level.	
	Unsatisfactory	Repeatedly makes errors, shows little interest in producing better quality, low output.	
<b>2. Quantity of Work</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Superior work and exceeds expected productivity.	
	Good	Motivated to work; does full days work, wastes little time.	
	Satisfactory	Works steadily but does not push to exceed.	
	Fair	Does just enough to get by, has to be encouraged to do more.	
	Unsatisfactory	Very low output, must be prompted to complete work.	
<b>3. Initiative</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Good ideas to improve work, does work to improve skills, works with positive attitude.	
	Good	Adapts well to change, works to improve skills, works with above average interest.	
	Satisfactory	Starts work without being told, generally works with a positive attitude.	
	Fair	Shows minimal interest, usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.	
	Unsatisfactory	Shows little job interest, waits to be told what to do, works with a negative attitude.	
<b>4. Dependability, Safety, Care of Equipment</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	Work is very reliable, consistent and thorough, always completes tasks on time.	
	Good	Work is usually reliable and consistent.	
	Satisfactory	Completes work on time .	
	Fair	Work is sometimes unreliable, satisfied to complete a minimum of work.	
	Unsatisfactory	Work is usually unreliable. Does not accept responsibility and gives up easily.	
<b>5. Response to Supervision</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outstanding	Makes a real effort to please supervisor, does exactly what is required.	
	Good	Accepts feedback well, tries to improve.	
	Satisfactory	Generally does what is told, accepts instruction, feedback.	
	Fair	Resists or ignores suggestions.	
	Unsatisfactory	Responds with hostility towards work assignments, regularly argues with supervisor.	
<b>6. Overall Job Proficiency: If in community based upon inmate's performance would you:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Promote this inmate to a more demanding job at a higher rate of pay		
	Raise this inmate's pay but keep individual at same job		
	Continue to employ this inmate but would not recommend for promotion or pay raise		
	Transfer this inmate to a less demanding job at a lower rate of pay		
	Terminate inmate's employment		
Supervisor's Signature		Date	
Sgt S. Franklin		8/12/21	
Inmate's Signature		Date	
Thomas Sibick		08/16/2021	
Inmate's Response			

Original Copy      Inmate's Institutional File  
Inmate Worker  
Squad Supervisor

Sgt Franklin

Attachment

Inmate Sibick, Tomas DCDC 376-982 is assigned as a detail for housing unit C2B at the Correctional Treatment Facility. Inmate Sibick has a positive attitude about what assignment that is given to him. Since being housed at the Correctional Treatment Facility Inmate Sibick hasn't had any negative institutional and complies with all directives that have been given to him. Inmate Sibick is outstanding detail Inmate and assist other inmates with any issues that may arise.

Sgt. Shawn Franklin



C Building Supervisor

8/19/21

Eugene M. Sibick, D.D.S., CAPT(Ret), USN  
34 Wik Street  
Williamsville, NY 14221  
716-472-4246

October 15, 2021

To Whom It May Concern,

This is to inform you that as Thomas Sibick's father, I can assure you that upon his release he will be able to reside at my house under whatever conditions the court may impose upon him.

Let it further be known that along with myself, my wife Carol, guarantee that Thomas will have supervision 24 hours a day, seven days a week. If Thomas were to attempt to leave the premises, I would not hesitate to inform the appropriate authorities immediately.

Furthermore, arrangements have been made with other individuals who would come to my house and assure that Thomas does not violate any condition of his release. Including any attempt to leave the premises upon which authorities would be notified.

I can honestly state that Thomas would not do anything to jeopardize his freedom from the confines of the D.C. Jail and who knows him better than I, his father.

Respectfully,

*Eugene M. Sibick*

Rahmat Loghmanieh  
61 Park Club Lane, Unit A  
Williamsville, NY 14221

Re: Thomas Sibick

October 15, 2021

To Whom It May Concern,

As a very dear friend of the Sibick family, I was asked that when necessary, I would go to the Sibick residence at 34 Wik Street, Williamsville, NY to make sure that Thomas complies with any court orders that may be placed upon him as a condition of his release. I also attest that if Thomas were to attempt to leave the Sibick premises, I would immediately and without reservation notify the appropriate law enforcement agency.

Sincerely,

*Rahmat Loghmanieh*

27 September 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE COURT

FROM: DOUGLAS R. MOQUET, Jr., Esq.

(ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER DELETED BY DEFENSE COUNSEL)

SUBJECT: CHARACTER LETTER IN SUPPORT OF MR. THOMAS SIBICK'S RELEASE PENDING TRIAL

1. My name is Douglas Moquet, Jr. I am writing this letter in my personal capacity on behalf of my friend, Mr. Thomas Sibick. I am an active-duty Major (O-4) in the U.S. Air Force. I am currently stationed at Joint Base San Antonio—Randolph, TX and assigned as a Circuit Trial Counsel (Senior Prosecutor), Department of Air Force, Trial and Appellate Operations Division. In this position I represent the United States as lead counsel in felony criminal litigation at military installations globally. I have served in several assignments including tours as a military defense counsel and as a deployed Staff Judge Advocate conducting missions in Africa and Europe. I have earned awards such as the Meritorious Service Medal, three Air Force Commendation Medals, in addition to being named the #1 Judge Advocate in the grade of Captain (O-3) at the largest and busiest Air Force Base Legal Office in the world. Prior to commissioning, I graduated from Lehigh University in 2008 with a B.A. in International Relations and obtained a Juris Doctorate from St. John's University in 2013. I am licensed to practice law in the State of New Jersey (Attorney ID#088822013). Since 2014, I have been continuously employed as a Judge Advocate in the Active Duty U.S. Air Force. My views expressed in this letter do not represent the views of the Department of Defense, the U.S. Air Force, nor any official entity whatsoever. They are my views and my views alone.

2. I have known Mr. Thomas Sibick since 2002. We met as classmates at New York Military Academy (NYMA) – a small military boarding school in Cornwall, New York. Essentially a high school modeled after the United States Military Academy at West Point, NYMA provided a structured military training environment and prepared cadets for future service in both the public and private sectors. Mr. Sibick and I both earned leadership positions within the Corps of Cadets, where each of us were charged with the care and stewardship of over 300 lowerclassmen cadets. Additionally, Mr. Sibick and I forged a friendship as teammates on the varsity lacrosse team, where Mr. Sibick was a star midfielder. Mr. Sibick was not merely a talented athlete; his positive attitude and encouragement lifted team spirits out of many mid-game slumps. He was enthusiastic, possessed of good humor, and his optimism was infectious, especially in the face of adversity.

3. Since our graduation from NYMA, I have maintained regular contact with Mr. Sibick. We have celebrated each other's professional and personal milestones, to include Mr. Sibick's college graduation; his achievement of his M.B.A. in 2019; his relationship with his devoted girlfriend, Amanda; his mentoring of his youngest brother, Gregory, toward his acceptance at the United States Naval Academy; Mr. Sibick's internship and pursuit of a N.Y. state license to work in healthcare; and, notably, Mr. Sibick's successful treatment and rehabilitation from illicit drug use approximately ten years ago. Since high school, Mr. Sibick has grown into an imperfect but productive member of society—he is a citizen whose accomplishments shine despite the adversity he finds himself in now.

4. I am aware that Mr. Sibick is charged with serious criminal offenses, to include robbery and assaulting a Capitol law enforcement officer. If the allegations are true, I do not condone or support his behavior—nor any of the behavior of those associated with the 6 January 2021

Capitol attack. I do believe, however, that my knowledge of Mr. Sibick's character is sufficient to recommend his release pending trial. I do not believe that Mr. Sibick is a threat to the community. To whatever extent Mr. Sibick was affiliated with the events of 6 January 2021, I believe his affiliation was as brief and unique as the event itself—a strange aberration of unusual circumstances unlikely to recur in Mr. Sibick's lifetime. These circumstances do not absolve any individual participations of responsibility; however, I believe the unique combination of provocation, timing, and hysteria far exceeded any propensity of Mr. Sibick to engage in criminal conduct.

5. I have been in touch with Mr. Sibick's family, to include his devoted mother, Carol, and his father, Captain Eugene Sibick, U.S.N. They have been in constant contact with Mr. Sibick and his attorney, providing every arrangement to ensure that if Mr. Sibick returns home, he will be supported and provided a stable environment. Additionally, I have been in contact with Mr. Sibick via letters since his incarceration. His experience has been stressful; yet Mr. Sibick remains optimistic. His compliance resulted in him earning the praise of his guards; he has earned extra privileges due to his model behavior. Mr. Sibick has placed renewed emphasis on religious faith and reading books. At no time did I detect a hint of resentment or animosity that would lead me to believe he poses a danger to the community if released. Mr. Sibick's model behavior demonstrates to me that he will comply with any conditions this Court may place upon him if released. In sum, I believe Mr. Sibick's character, his physical and mental condition, his family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in his community, and past conduct all favor release.

6. As a military prosecutor, I fully appreciate the Court's need to hold offenders accountable. Those who engaged in criminal behavior on 6 January 2021 should be tried and punished if convicted. However, I ask the Court to hold the Government to the highest burden in determining whether Mr. Sibick should be held without bail pending trial. To be sure, those who attacked our Capitol injured democracy that day. Still, I believe the health of our democracy will be measured not by that injury but rather by how we, as justice practitioners, respond. I humbly ask the Court to detain Mr. Sibick pending trial if, and only if, it judges Mr. Sibick a danger to any person or the community.

7. Please contact me if you have any questions or would like to discuss any matter contained in this letter. I can be reached at **(phone number and email address deleted by defense counsel)**.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Douglas M. Rogut". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Stephen F. Brennwald JD  
922 Pennsylvania Avenue SE  
Washington, DC 20003

September 26, 2021

To Whom It May Concern,

Along with my former husband retired New York State Supreme Court Justice Robert E. Whelan, it was our honor and privilege to watch Thomas Sibick grow up to become a fine young man. Attending his graduation from esteemed colleges first for an undergraduate degree and then for a graduate degree, I felt confident that this intelligent, kind man would proceed in life to make the world a better place to be.

That he did while working as a financial officer at a senior care facility he regaled us with stories of compassion while interacting with residents of that facility.

It is with confidence that I write this affirmation for Thomas Sibick the oldest son of a doctor and teacher, pillars of the community, who raised Thomas with their standards of highest religious beliefs and patriotism.

Mary Louise Mancuso  
Retired Teacher Lockport City Schools  
Lockport, NY 14094  
**(Address and Phone Number deleted by defense counsel)**

**JAMES J. CONTINO**  
**(Address deleted by defense counsel)**

September 28, 2021

Re: Thomas Sibick  
Application for Pretrial Release on Bond

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

This letter is sent in support of Thomas Sibick's application to the Court for pretrial release on bond.

Mr. Sibick is my wife's nephew, and I have known him since birth. Family, both immediate and extended, is a central pillar to which he has been moored his entire life. Over the years Thomas Sibick has consistently embraced his obligations and acknowledged the consequences of his actions.

In knowing and observing him over the years, he is not a person prone to violence. Further, in my opinion he will not flee if released from incarceration pending trial.

Very truly yours,

James J. Contino

**Rahmat Loghmanieh**  
**(Address and Phone Number Deleted by Defense Counsel)**

September 26, 2021

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a 71 year old honorably discharged Veteran of the Vietnam War era. I am a retired Architect and sole proprietor of a Jewelry Store in the Village of Williamsville, New York.

Mr. Thomas Sibick and I have known each other for over eight years. We met at my jewelry store when Thomas was ready to get engaged and settle into his beautiful condominium in Williamsville, New York.

Clearly Mr. Sibick's appearance and demeanor was noticeable and an indication of a successful businessman who was ready to be a responsible and respected member of his generation and contribute in many positive ways to society.

Needless to say, the presence of his character and his appealing personality could not go unnoticed!

Mr. Sibick, is a fine gentleman with fantastic attributes and deserves great rewards in his future.

Thomas and I, have become wonderful friends since the very beginning and I am looking forward to maintaining this friendship for many years to come. I have also gotten to know his family, to include his brothers and parents who are very supportive of each other.

Sincerely,

*Rahmat Loghmanieh*

Rahmat Loghmanieh

*Fredonia Place*  
*Fredonia · Williamsville*  
ASSISTED LIVING & MEMORY CARE

October 13, 2021

Subject: Character Reference Letter on Thomas Sibick

Respected Authorities,


I, Russell R. Sparling and writing this letter to provide a character reference about Tomas Sibick for who I have known as a friend and colleague for a period of 4 years. I provide this reference with full knowledge of Thomas Sibick's charges pertaining to the Capital Incident. I am Currently a Regional HR Director and a Retired U.S. Army Veteran with 28 Years of Active-Duty Service.

I met Thomas through work at the Niagara Rehabilitation & Nursing center which is a rehab facility and nursing home. I was the Human Resources Director and Thomas was hired while I was there for the business office. Thomas is a person of good character, while working together at Niagara Rehab we would work on improving the facility for the residents and always discuss how to improve and implement chance within the facility to improve the quality of life for the residents. I have never seen Thomas anything other then being kind, warm hearted and compassionate to the residents. I have seen Thomas go out of his way to assist people and help in any way he could within reason. I have never seen or herd about Thomas being violate in any way toward a resident or employee.

I have also developed a friendship outside of Niagara Rehab. Thomas has been to events and functions with myself and my family. I would Talk with Thomas about how he got to where he was and how he overcame adversity to focus him in moving forward to a bright future. He always had a bright outlook on his future.

It is my sincere hope that the court takes this letter into consideration. Despite the current case which I believe was a modernity lapse of judgement. I still believe Thomas Sibick to be an honorable individual, a valuable member to our community and a good human Being.

Sincerely,



Russell R. Sparling

Regional Human Resource Director

Cell: 716-778-4214

October 11, 2021

Stephen F. Brennwald, Esq.  
922 Pennsylvania Ave., SE  
Washington, DC 20003

Dear Mr. Brennwald,

I am writing in support of Thomas F. Sibick, who is not only my nephew but a person whom I have had the privilege of watching grow and develop from birth. As a professional in the field of child development I witnessed Thomas move through the stages and phases expectantly, some with challenge, though he always emerged successfully navigating. As with any relative I have always had Thomas's best interest in mind, however, as his Godmother, he holds a special place in my heart. It is with this emotion and professional expertise that I offer my character reference.

Please accept my thoughts and words as an honest description of a man whom I know well, who I believe and trust to be true to his word.

Sincerely,

Diane M Cicatello, MD, FAAP  
Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrician  
Board Certified

September 26, 2021

Stephen F. Brennwald, JD

922 Pennsylvania Ave., SE

Washington, DC 20003

Dear Mr. Brennwald:

I am writing in reference to Thomas Sibick, who will be appearing in court this week due to issues occurring in the Capital in Washington, D.C. on January 6, 2021.

I was asked to write a character reference letter for Thomas, but the truth is that I was already planning on doing so before the request. I feel strongly about Thomas and his future, as I have known him his entire life. Thomas is a person of great intelligence, great moral character, and he has a strong work ethic and a heart of gold. He is a loyal son, brother, cousin and friend to many, and would do anything to help anyone. Throughout his life I have seen Thomas go through a few ups and downs, but all the while I have always known that he is a remarkable young man, and that he would lead a happy and fulfilling life. At this time Thomas needs people to believe in him and support him so that he can continue to be the kind, family oriented, hard-working and productive young man that he has always been destined to be.

Thomas may have made a few mistakes, and he is incredibly remorseful, and has been willing to do whatever it takes to make reparations. To do that he needs you and the court system to give him an opportunity to get a second chance. I hope that this letter will give you an idea of his good character, and will help Thomas to get the chance that he deserves to prove his innocence. I can be reached at 716-464-0008 should you need further information. Thank you!

Kind regards,

MaryEllen Carlo

Director of Search Services

Career Partners International Buffalo | Niagara

I have known Mr. Thomas Sibick since January 2018 when he became employed in Niagara Falls where I was working as the Assistant Director of Rehabilitation/Physical Therapist Assistant. Mr. Sibick was the Business Office Administrator and when working with him for 1 1/2 years he would always be one of the hardest working employees in the building. Many of times residents living at the facility would tell me that they liked him a lot. After about a year, the corporate team for our facility at the time even had him oversee other buildings business offices. While working with Mr. Sibick we would go out with other staff members for food and drinks on a routine basis. We also had many good times together going to concerts with his personal friends. When I left Niagara in October 2019 for a full-time Director position, Mr. Sibick would call/text numerous times checking on how I was doing and if I liked the new position. At the beginning of 2021, Mr. Sibick contacted me about him completing his internship he needed at one of my nursing facilities. I set up an interview with the Administrator and the interview was a great success with the Administrator planning on hiring him. Thomas Sibick has always been very reliable professionally as a co-worker and a good person/friend over my time knowing him.

Andrew Joksimovic

# SANTARPIA LAW

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW

872 Elmwood Avenue  
Buffalo, NY 14222-1335

Tel: (716) 885-8855  
Fax: (716) 885-0208

[sab@santarpialaw.com](mailto:sab@santarpialaw.com)

September 27, 2021

**RE: Thomas F. Sibick**

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this letter to share my impression of Thomas F. Sibick.

I am an attorney who has been practicing for 28 years in the Western New York Area. My practice is of a general nature with a concentration in criminal, matrimonial, family and real estate law.

I have been acquainted with Thomas Sibick over the past eleven (11) years. Thomas worked for a law firm which I was affiliated with at the time. I recall that he had a strong desire to pursue a career in law and had the ability and drive to accomplish this goal. He had not yet attended law school, but he was working in the field to gain experience. I observed Thomas during his employment with Feuerstein & Smith, LLP. We developed a friendship and besides our legal related encounters, we both enjoyed exercising as a hobby and he would oftentimes join me and a fellow lawyer at several work-out sessions. I feel I have gotten to know Thomas on a variety of levels. I have also become acquainted with his family who are very good decent people.

In October, 2016, I hired Thomas in my law office. Thomas worked on a variety of cases until June 2017 when I believe he finally found the right time to pursue his dream of a legal education and career. Unfortunately, the timing was not right for Thomas, and he once again had to put his dream of law school on hold.

Thomas and I have stayed in close contact over the years. I have been with him on many social occasions, and in a variety of settings. During his employment with my office, I had him accompany me to several court appearances and client meetings. I always found Thomas to be a person who knew how to act in any situation and someone who is extremely relatable to a wide variety of people, young and old. I found this to be one of his strong suits. He was never inappropriate, nor has he ever been unaware of the importance of mutual respect or social boundaries.

I submit this character reference on behalf of Thomas Sibick because I know Thomas and have relied upon him in my law firm. He never disappointed me. I found his maturity and diligence very helpful in my practice and I never felt as if I was "pawning off" any work or client contact when assigning matters to Thomas. He genuinely cares about other people.

September 27, 2021  
Page 2 of 2

His family has asked me if I would submit a character reference on Thomas's behalf. I do this without any reservation. Thomas has many supportive friends and family in the Western New York Area. Thomas is a good and genuine person who always tries to do the right thing. I have read about the January 6<sup>th</sup> accusations made against Thomas and am unable to comment other than to say, this is not apart of Thomas Sibick's character, nor is it consistent with the type of person I have come to know over the past eleven (11) years.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak about Thomas. If there is anything further I may be able to provide, or if anyone would like to speak to me, please feel free to contact me directly.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

SANTARPIA LAW

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'S' followed by a horizontal line that ends in a loop.

Sabatino C. Santarpia, Esq.

SCS/nt