## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Criminal Action No. 21-cr-263 (TSC)

## RUSSELL DEAN ALFORD,

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

For the reasons explained at the court's pretrial conference, held on September 2, 2022:

- The Government's Motion in Limine as to camera locations, ECF No. 51 is GRANTED.
  Should the Defendant, however, feel it necessary to introduce such evidence, he is instructed to inform the court beforehand so that it might conduct an in camera evidentiary hearing.
- The Government's Motion *in Limine* as to authentication, ECF No. 52 is **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**. The court denies the Government's motion as to judicial notice of a portion of the D.C. Circuit's opinion in *Trump v. Thompson*, 20 F.4th 10, 17-18 (2021). The court grants—as to authenticity only—the Government's motion as to the "John Sullivan"/"JaydenX" video and the "Rumble.com" video. Defendant is instructed to propose a limiting instruction as to video graphics and logos should they so desire.
- The Government's Motion *in Limine* as to entrapment by estoppel, ECF No. 53 is **GRANTED**. Defendant may, however, present evidence of law enforcement conduct as it relates to *mens rea* if he believes that the door has been opened to such evidence and informs the court beforehand.

Case 1:21-cr-00263-TSC Document 83 Filed 09/09/22 Page 2 of 2

The Government's Motion in Limine as to inattentional blindness, ECF No. 54 is

**GRANTED**. However, the expert testimony may be admitted, pending a hearing on its

admissibility, should the Defendant take the stand and the expert testimony relate to his

testimony. The Defendant must notify the court of its intention to introduce this evidence

beforehand, so that the court may schedule a time for this hearing.

Defendant's Motion in Limine as to the term "misdemeanors", ECF No. 55 is **GRANTED**.

Should the term "misdemeanor" be used at trial, Defendant is instructed to request a curative

instruction, should one be necessary.

Defendant's Motion in Limine as to "prejudicial terminology", ECF No. 56 is **DENIED**,

though counsel are cautioned to use their best judgment as to inflammatory language.

Defendant's Motion in Limine as to vicarious criminal liability, ECF No. 75 is **DENIED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that a second pretrial conference shall be held via Zoom on

**September 23 at 10:00 AM**. Mr. Alford's presence, upon consent of his counsel, is waived.

Date: September 9, 2022

TANYA S. CHUTKAN

Tanya S. Chutkan

United States District Judge