

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

DOMINIC PEZZOLA,

Defendant.

Case No: 21-cr-51-TJK

APPLICATION FOR ACCESS TO VIDEO EXHIBITS

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 57.6 and Standing Order No. 21-28 (BAH), applicants Cable News Network, Inc., American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. d/b/a ABC News, The Associated Press, BuzzFeed, Inc. d/b/a BuzzFeed News, CBS Broadcasting Inc. o/b/o CBS News, Dow Jones & Company, Inc., publisher of The Wall Street Journal, The E.W. Scripps Company, Gannett Co., Inc., Gray Media Group, Inc., Los Angeles Times Communications LLC, publisher of The Los Angeles Times, National Public Radio, Inc., NBCUniversal Media, LLC d/b/a NBC News, The New York Times Company, Pro Publica, Inc., Tegna, Inc., and WP Company LLC, d/b/a The Washington Post (together, the “Press Coalition”) respectfully seek access, under the First Amendment and common law, to certain video recordings that have been submitted to the Court in this matter. In support of this application the Press Coalition states as follows:

1. On May 14, 2021, in response to a motion filed by the Press Coalition, Chief Judge Beryl A. Howell issued Standing Order No. 21-28 (the “Standing Order”). The Standing Order recognizes “[t]he significant public and media interest in the numerous criminal cases arising from the January 6, 2021 violent breach of the United States Capitol (the ‘Capitol Cases’), for which the parties are routinely submitting video exhibits to the Court for use in pretrial proceedings.” *See* Standing Order at 2.

2. To accommodate this interest, the Standing Order provides that “[m]embers of the media seeking access to video exhibits submitted to the Court in Capitol Cases may file an application . . . to the presiding judge in the case, or if no judge has been assigned, to the Chief Judge, for determination, and the judge may seek the position of the parties.” *See id.* at 5.

3. The Standing Order further provides that “[u]pon grant of such media application, the government shall make the video exhibit[s] available to any member of the media with necessary access credentials provided by the government, unless the order otherwise limits access.” *See id.* at 5-6. Specifically, the Standing Order states that “[m]embers of the media provided access to video exhibits in a particular case pursuant to such order may view those exhibits” by way of an electronic “drop box” into which the Government has agreed to place videos subject to access orders in the Capitol Cases. *See id.* at 6.

4. This action is one of the Capitol Cases. According to the Government, Defendant Dominic Pezzola “placed himself at the front of the crowd as it toppled police barricades and fought with police” and “robbed an officer of his riot shield, which he then used to break a Capitol window, valued at more than \$1,000, allowing himself and others to stream into the Capitol.” Govt’s Opp. to Def.’s Mot. for Bail to Place Def. on Conditional Release Pending Trial at 1, Dkt. 69.

5. At the September 22, 2021 hearing on Defendant’s motion to revoke an order that he be detained pending trial, the Government indicated it had submitted videos for the Court’s consideration and did not object to release of those videos (the “Video Exhibits”).

6. The Video Exhibits are plainly “intended to influence the court” in its decision-making, and as a result they are judicial records subject to a “strong presumption in favor of public access.” *Leopold v. United States*, 964 F.3d 1121, 1127-28 (D.C. Cir. 2020) (Garland, J.).

7. Neither the Government nor the Defendant could possibly rebut the presumption of access under the applicable test set out in *United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293, 317-21 (D.C. Cir. 1980). *See, e.g., United States v. Jackson*, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49841 (D.D.C. Mar. 17, 2021) (Howell, C.J.) (granting access to video exhibits in one of the Capitol Cases).

8. Because the Video Exhibits are judicial records subject to an unrebutted presumption of public access, the Court should grant this Application and direct the Government to release the Video Exhibits to the Press Coalition via electronic “drop box” within 72 hours. To expedite that release, the Court should further instruct the Government to provide undersigned counsel with the “necessary access credentials” referenced in the Standing Order.

9. The Standing Order provides that “[n]o recording, copying, downloading, retransmitting or further broadcasting of video exhibits in a particular case is permitted, unless such permission is granted by the presiding judge.” *See* Standing Order at 6. The Press Coalition therefore requests that the Court grant permission to record, copy, download, retransmit, and otherwise further publish these Video Exhibits.¹

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Press Coalition respectfully requests that the Court order the Government to release the Video Exhibits, without restriction, within 72 hours.

¹ The Press Coalition makes this request without conceding that the Standing Order complies with the First Amendment or common law, and expressly reserving the right to challenge this and other portions of the Standing Order in this and other Capitol Cases.

Dated: September 23, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

/s/ Charles D. Tobin

Charles D. Tobin (#455593)

Maxwell S. Mishkin (#1031356)

Lauren Russell (#1697195)

1909 K Street, NW, 12th Floor

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 661-2200 | Fax: (202) 661-2299

tobinc@ballardspahr.com

mishkinm@ballardspahr.com

russelll@ballardspahr.com

Counsel for the Press Coalition

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 23, 2021, I caused true and correct copies of the foregoing to be served via electronic mail and U.S. Mail on the following:

Christopher Berridge
US Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia
555 4th Street NW
Washington, DC 20001
christopher.berridge@usdoj.gov

Erik Michael Kenerson
555 Fourth Street, NW
Suite 11-449
Washington, DC 20530
erik.kenerson@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

Steven Alan Metcalf, II
Martin Harold Tankleff
METCALF & METCALF, P.C.
99 Park Avenue
Suite 2501
New York, NY 10016
Metcalfawnyc@gmail.com
martytankleff@gmail.com

Attorneys for Defendant Dominic Pezzola

/s/ Charles D. Tobin
Charles D. Tobin (#455593)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

DOMINIC PEZZOLA, WILLIAM PEPE, and
MATTHEW GREENE,

Defendants.

CABLE NEWS NETWORK, INC., et al.,

Proposed Intervenors.

Case No. 21-cr-52-TJK

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of the Press Coalition’s Motion to Intervene, any response(s) thereto, and the entire record herein, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Press Coalition’s Motion to Intervene for the purpose of challenging the Government’s designation of CCTV videos as “Sensitive” or “Highly Sensitive” is **GRANTED**;
2. CCTV video(s) exchanged in discovery shall not be designated as “Sensitive” or “Highly Sensitive” unless the characteristics of the specific video(s) justify the designation;
3. Pursuant to Local Rule 49(f)(6)(i), any materials the parties file with the intention that they be sealed “must be filed by electronic means in a manner authorized by the Clerk and shall be accompanied by a motion to seal;” and
4. The parties shall file a notice on the docket when they submit video(s) to the court, and such notice shall include the parties’ positions regarding release of the video(s) to the Press Coalition consistent with Standing Order No. 21-28 (BAH).

SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge