

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CLIFFORD MACKRELL,
Defendant

Criminal Action No. 21-276-1 (CKK)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

(April 3, 2023)

Before the Court is Defendant's [53] Motion for Transfer of Venue. Like myriad other defendants in January 6th cases, Defendant requests that the Court transfer this case to another district court, arguing that no impartial petit jury can be seated in this jurisdiction. Every single court of this jurisdiction has rejected at least one such request,¹ including this Court.² In its prior opinions, this Court has already rejected the vast majority of Defendant's arguments, and shall simply incorporate by reference its prior reasoning herein. See *United States v. Eicher*, Crim. A. No. 22-038 (CKK), 2022 WL 11737926 (Oct. 20, 2022); *United States v. Chwiesiuk*, Crim. A. No. 21-536 (CKK), 2023 WL 2562517 (Mar. 17, 2023). The only issue this Court has yet to address is the effect of certain polls of District of Columbia residents regarding their feelings on individuals charged with criminal offenses arising from the insurrection of January 6th. ECF Nos. 53-1, 53-2.

¹ E.g., *United States v. Nassif*, Crim. A. No. 21-421 (JDB), 2022 WL 4130841 (D.D.C. Sept. 12, 2022); *United States v. Brock*, --- F. Supp. 3d ---, 2022 WL 3910549, at *5-6 (D.D.C. Aug. 31, 2022) (JDB) *United States v. Garcia*, Crim. A. No. 21-0129 (ABJ), 2022 WL 2904352, at *15 (D.D.C. July 22, 2022); *United States v. Bochene*, 579 F. Supp. 3d 177, 181-82 (D.D.C. 2022).

² E.g., *United States v. Eicher*, Crim. A. No. 22-038, 2022 WL 11737926 (Oct. 20, 2022); *United States v. Chwiesiuk*, Crim. A. No. 21-536, 2023 WL 2562517 (Mar. 17, 2023).

