

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
	:	
v.	:	Criminal Action No.: 21-327 (RC)
	:	
LUKE RUSSELL COFFEE,	:	Re Document Nos.: 47, 49, 50
	:	
<i>Defendant.</i>	:	

**ORDER**

**GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT’S MOTIONS TO MODIFY CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE; DENYING DEFENDANT’S MOTIONS TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

Pending before this Court are three identical motions to modify the conditions of pretrial release.<sup>1</sup> *See* Opposed Mot. Modify Pretrial Conditions of Release (“Mot.”), ECF Nos. 47-1, 49-1, 50-1. For the reasons stated on the record at the June 27, 2022 Status Conference, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Motion will be **GRANTED IN PART** as follows:

- The Court expands the geographic limits of the pretrial conditions to include the Western District of Texas for the purpose of meeting with Defendant’s attorney, who is located in that district.
- The Court clarifies that Defendant may request permission to travel overnight within the Northern, Eastern, and Western Districts of Texas for work-related purposes. The Defendant must request such permission in advance and in writing to the Eastern District of Texas Pretrial Services. That office may grant the request if the requested travel is work-related. Defendant may not travel overnight without receiving permission in advance.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that the motions to modify pretrial conditions are **DENIED** in all other respects.

Finally, Defendant sought leave to file the three identical motions under seal in order to “to protect his personal information and finances.” *See* Mot. Leave File Under Seal at 1, ECF

---

<sup>1</sup> The same motion was apparently filed multiple times in error; accordingly, the Court will address all three collectively.

Nos. 47, 49, 50. The motions contain only a general depiction of the Defendant's business, and none of the types of highly sensitive and third-party information that courts typically find to warrant sealing or redaction. *See United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293, 320, 323 (D.C. Cir. 1980) (noting that a third-party's privacy interest in seized documents weighed against disclosure); *see, e.g., Lee as Next Friends of M.L. v. Seed Pub. Charter Sch. of Washington, D.C.*, No. CV 18-2786, 2021 WL 4819897, at \*5 (D.D.C. Oct. 15, 2021) (allowing redaction of a social security number and a minor child's identifying information). Accordingly, it is **FURTHER ORDERED** that leave to file the Motions under seal is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 27, 2022

RUDOLPH CONTRERAS  
United States District Judge