

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** :  
 : **CASE NO. 21-cr-158**  
 v. :  
 :  
**KYLE FITZSIMONS** :  
 :  
 **Defendant.** :

**UNITED STATES’ MEMORANDUM REGARDING STATUS OF DISCOVERY**

The United States files this memorandum for the purpose of describing the status of discovery. As an initial matter, substantial discovery has already been provided in this case. However, as set forth below, because the defendant’s criminal acts took place at the same general time and location as many other charged crimes, the government’s investigation into the breach of the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021 (the “Capitol Breach”) has resulted in the accumulation and creation of a massive volume of data that may be relevant to many defendants. The government is diligently working to meet its unprecedented overlapping and interlocking discovery obligations by providing voluminous electronic information in the most comprehensive and useable format.

**The Capitol Breach**

On January 6, 2021, as a Joint Session of the United States House of Representatives and the United States Senate convened to certify the vote of the Electoral College for the 2020 U.S. Presidential Election, a mob stormed the U.S. Capitol by breaking doors and windows and assaulting members of law enforcement, as others in the crowd encouraged and assisted those acts. Thousands of individuals entered the U.S. Capitol and U.S. Capitol grounds without authority, halting the Joint Session and the entire official proceeding of Congress for hours until the United States Capitol Police (“USCP”), the Metropolitan Police Department (“MPD”), and

other law enforcement agencies from the city and surrounding region were able to clear the Capitol of rioters and to ensure the safety of elected officials. This event in its entirety is hereinafter referred to as the “Capitol Breach.”

The defendant is currently charged by indictment with two counts each of Civil Disorder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 231 and Assault on an Officer While Inflicting Bodily Injury in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 111(a)(1) and (b), and one count each of Obstruction of an Official Proceeding, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512(a)(2) and § (2)(aiding and abetting), Entering and Remaining in a Restricted building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1), Disorderly and Disruptive Conduct in a Restrict Building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2), Engaging in Physical Violence in a Restricted Building or Grounds in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1752(a)(4), Disorderly Conduct in the Capitol Building in violation of 40 U.S.C. § (e)(2)(D), and Acts of Physical Violence in the Capitol Grounds or Buildings, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § (e)(2)(F).

### **Scope of Investigation**

The investigation and prosecution of the Capitol Breach will be the largest in American history, both in terms of the number of defendants prosecuted and the nature and volume of the evidence. In the six months since the Capitol was breached, over 500 individuals located throughout the nation have been charged with a multitude of criminal offenses, including but not limited to conspiracy, tampering with documents or proceedings, destruction and theft of government property, obstruction of law enforcement during civil disorder, assaults on law enforcement, obstruction of an official proceeding, engaging in disruptive or violent conduct in the Capitol or on Capitol grounds, and trespass. There are investigations open in 55 of the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s 56 field offices.

### **Voluminous Materials Accumulated**

The government has accumulated voluminous materials that may contain discoverable information for many, if not all, defendants. An illustrative list of materials accumulated by the government includes:

- Thousands of hours of closed circuit video (“CCV”) from sources including the USCP, MPD, and United States Secret Service, and several hundred MPD Automated Traffic Enforcement camera videos;
- Footage from Cable-Satellite Public Affairs Network (C-SPAN) and other members of the press;
- Thousands of hours of body worn camera (“BWC”) footage from MPD, Arlington County Police Department, Montgomery County Police Department, Fairfax County Police Department, and Virginia State Police;
- Radio transmissions, event chronologies, and, to a limited extent, Global Positioning Satellite (“GPS”) records for MPD radios;
- Hundreds of thousands of tips, including at least 237,000 digital media tips;
- Location history data for thousands of devices present inside the Capitol (obtained from a variety of sources including two geofence search warrants and searches of ten data aggregation companies);
- Subscriber and toll records for hundreds of phone numbers;
- Cell tower data for thousands of devices that connected to the Capitol’s interior Distributed Antenna System (DAS) during the Capitol Breach (obtained from the three major telephone companies);
- A collection of over one million Parler posts, replies, and related data;
- A collection over one million Parler videos and images (approximately 20 terabytes of data);
- Damage estimates from multiple offices of the U.S. Capitol;
- A multitude of digital devices and Stored Communication Act (“SCA”) accounts; and

- Responses to grand jury subpoenas, of which over 6,000 have been issued, seeking documents such as financial records, telephone records, electronic communications service provider records, and travel records.

We are still collecting and assembling materials from the numerous entities who were involved in the response to the Breach, and we are still investigating – which means the amount of data (phones, devices, legal process, investigative memoranda) is growing.

### **Voluminous Legal Process and Investigative Memoranda**

In addition to the materials collected, tens of thousands of documents have been generated in furtherance of the investigation, to include interviews of subjects, witnesses, tipsters and officers; investigations into allegations concerning officer conduct on January 6; source reports; evidence collection reports; evidence analysis reports; chain-of-custody documents; legal documents including preservation letters, subpoenas, 2703(d) orders, consent forms, and search warrants; and memoranda of investigative steps taken to evaluate leads or further investigations.

### **Interrelated Crimes and Discovery**

The Capitol Breach involves thousands of individuals inside and outside the Capitol, many of whom overwhelmed and assaulted police. (According to a Washington Post analysis of the events, “the mob on the west side eventually grew to at least 9,400 people, outnumbering officers by more than 58 to one.”) *See*

[https://www.washingtonpost.com/investigations/interactive/2021/dc-police-records-capitol-riot/?itid=sf\\_visual-forensics](https://www.washingtonpost.com/investigations/interactive/2021/dc-police-records-capitol-riot/?itid=sf_visual-forensics). The cases clearly share common facts, happening in generally the same place and at the same time. Every single person charged, at the very least, contributed to the inability of Congress to carry out the certification of our Presidential election.

These circumstances have spawned a situation with overlapping and interlocking discovery obligations. Many defendants may be captured in material that is not immediately obvious and that requires both software tools and manual work to identify, such as video and photos captured in the devices and SCA accounts of other subjects. Accordingly, the defense is generally entitled to review all video or photos of the breach whether from CCV, BWC or searches of devices and SCA accounts. Notably, we have received a number of defense requests for access to such voluminous information, and requests for the government to review the entirety of the law enforcement files related to this investigation. For example, in support of a motion to compel access to all of the footage, one such counsel stated:

The events of January 6, 2021 were memorialized to an extent rarely, if ever, experienced within the context of federal criminal cases. The Government itself has a wealth of surveillance video footage. Virtually every attendee in and around the Capitol on January 6, 2021 personally chronicled the events using their iPhone or other similar video device. Many of the attendees posted their video on one or more social media platforms. Many held their videos close to their vests resulting in little if any publication of same. News media outlets from around the world captured video footage. Independent media representative from around the world captured video footage. Intelligence and law enforcement personnel present at the Capitol on January 6, 2021 also captured video footage of events of the day. By the Government's own admission, the Government has an overwhelming amount of video footage of the events of January 6, 2021. During the handlings of January 6 cases, the Government has garnered and continues to garner access to added video footage from, among other sources, the general public and the defendants themselves. ***Upon information and belief, the Government is not capable of vetting, cataloging and determining materiality of the video footage such as to ensure that disclosure of same is timely made in all cases to which the footage is material for disclosure purposes.*** The "information and belief" in this regard is a function of the undersigned counsel's personal knowledge relative to footage given to the Government, familiarity with other January 6 cases both as counsel for other January 6 defendants and as counsel familiar with other counsel representing January 6 defendants and the understanding that the footage provided to the Government does not appear to have been produced to other defendants whose cases warrant similar disclosure by the Government of material evidence. ***Defendant has requested the Government confirm whether there is a single repository for all video footage amassed relative to the events at the Capitol on January 6, 2021 and, further, has requested access to same for inspection and***

*examination for determination of materiality and disclosure of the Government's protocol to determine materiality.*

*United States v. Jacob Chansley*, 21-cr-00003 (RCL) (Document No. 58)(emphasis added).

Examples of additional similar discovery requests we have received in Capitol Breach cases are quoted in Exhibit A, attached hereto.

**Early Establishment of Discovery Team**

Shortly after the Capitol Breach, the U.S. Attorney's Office established a Capitol Breach Discovery Team to create and implement a process for the production of discovery in January 6 cases. The Discovery Team is staffed by federal prosecutors who have experience in managing complex investigations involving voluminous materials, Department of Justice experts in project management and electronic discovery management, and a lead discovery agent from the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Members of the Discovery Team consult regularly with Department of Justice subject matter experts, including Associate Deputy Attorney General and National Criminal Discovery Coordinator Andrew Goldsmith. As discussed further below, members of the Discovery Team also meet and confer on a regular basis with Federal Public Defender ("FPD") leadership and electronic discovery experts.

**Recognition of Need for Vendor Promptly Addressed**

Following the Capitol Breach, the United States recognized that due to the nature and volume of materials being collected, the government would require the use of an outside contractor who could provide litigation technology support services to include highly technical and specialized data and document processing and review capabilities. The government drafted a statement of work, solicited bids, evaluated them, and selected a vendor. This was an unprecedented undertaking which required review at the highest levels of the Department of Justice and was accomplished as quickly as possible.

On or about May 28, 2021, the government contracted Deloitte Financial Advisory Services, LLP (“Deloitte”), a litigation support vendor with extensive experience providing complex litigation technology services, to assist in document processing, review and production of materials related to the Capitol Breach. As is required here, Deloitte furnishes secure, complex, and highly technical expertise in scanning, coding, digitizing, and performing optical character recognition – as well as processing, organizing, and ingesting a large volume of Electronically Stored Information (“ESI”) and associated metadata in document review platforms – which is vital to the United States’ ability to review large data/document productions and is essential to our ability to prosecute these cases effectively.

#### **Implementation of Contract with Deloitte**

We have already begun transferring a large volume of materials to Deloitte (as of July 7, 2021, over 200 disks of data and 34,000 USCP records), who is populating the database. Specific processing workflows and oversight are being established between the United States Attorney’s Office and the vendor. We have already coordinated with Deloitte to use various tools to identify standard categories of Personal Identifying Information (“PII”) and to redact them. Once the database is accessible, we will begin systematically reviewing materials for potentially discoverable information, tagging when possible (e.g., video by a location or type of conduct, interviews describing a particular event), and redacting when necessary. Among other things, the vendor is also building a master evidence tracker to assist us in keeping records of what is provided to us and what is ultimately produced, which is part of our approach to a defensible discovery protocol.

### **Systematic Reviews of Voluminous Materials**

We are implementing and continuing to develop processes and procedures for ensuring that voluminous materials have been and will continue to be systematically reviewed for information that, *inter alia*, may be material to the defense, e.g.:

- Comparing all known identifiers of any charged defendant against tips, Parler data, ad tech data, cell tower data, and geofence data; and
- Searching all visual media (such as CCV, BWC, social media or device search results) – the collection of which grows on a regular basis – against known images of charged defendants.

### **Certain Specific Defense Requests**

Multiple defense counsel have inquired about investigations into officers who were *alleged* to have been complicit in the January 6 Capitol Breach. We have received copies of investigations into officer conduct, have finished reviewing them, and plan to disclose the relevant materials shortly.

### **Discovery Already Provided**

Attached to this filing (Exhibit B) is an index of the discovery provided to date in this case. Moreover, on May 28, 2021, the government disclosed 111 body-worn camera videos from the lower west terrace of the U.S. Capitol, and an additional seventy-six (76) items were disclosed via the informal discovery process from the FBI casefile on July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021.

We have also already arranged six opportunities for defense counsel and an investigator to walk through the crime scene, which required the USCP to obtain the approval of many different Congressional offices to obtain access to various areas that are relevant to the charges being brought.



### **Complexities Require Careful Consideration**

Producing discovery in a meaningful manner and balancing complex legal-investigative and technical difficulties takes time. We want to ensure that all defendants obtain meaningful access to voluminous information that may contain exculpatory material, and that we do not overproduce or produce in a disorganized manner. That means we will review thousands of investigative memoranda, even if there is a likelihood they are purely administrative and not discoverable, to ensure that disclosures are appropriate.

#### *Legal-Investigative Considerations*

We must also carefully ensure we are adequately protecting the privacy and security interests of witnesses and subjects from whom those materials were derived. For example, we cannot allow a defendant's PII to be disseminated – without protection – to hundreds of others. Similarly, we cannot allow personal contact information for Congressional members, staffers, and responding police officers – targets and victims of these crimes – whose phones may have connected to the Capitol's DAS network to inadvertently be produced. We also must protect Law Enforcement Sensitive materials by ensuring they are carefully reviewed for discoverability and, if they are discoverable, that they are disclosed in an appropriate manner. We continue to develop workable paradigm for disclosing a vast amount of Capitol CCV while ensuring that the Capitol's security is maintained. We are also scrupulously honoring defendants' attorney-client privilege by employing a filter team that is continually reviewing devices and accounts for potentially privileged communications.

#### *Technological Considerations*

A large volume of the information that has been collected consists of ESI. ESI frequently contains significant metadata that may be difficult to extract and produce if documents are not

processed using specialized techniques. Metadata is information about an electronic document and can describe how, when and by whom ESI was created, accessed, modified, formatted, or collected. In the case of a document created with a word processing program, for example, metadata may include the author, date created, and date last accessed. In the case of video footage, metadata may identify the camera that was used to capture the image, or the date and time that it was captured. Metadata may also explain a document's structural relationship to another document, e.g., by identifying a document as an attachment to an investigative memoranda.

Processing, hosting, and production of the voluminous and varied materials described above, to include the preservation of significant metadata, involves highly technical considerations of the document's source, nature, and format. For example, the optimal type of database for hosting and reviewing video footage may differ from the optimal type of database for hosting investigative memoranda. Similarly, a paper document, a word processing document, a spreadsheet with a formula, video footage from a camera, or video footage associated with a proprietary player may each require different types of processing to ensure they are captured by database keyword searches and produced with significant metadata having been preserved.

#### **Involving Defense Counsel in Voluminous Discovery Plan**

The Discovery Team regularly meets with FPD leadership and technical experts with respect to discovery issues. Given the volume of information that may be discoverable, FPD is providing input regarding formats that work best with the review tools that Criminal Justice Act panel attorneys and Federal Defender Offices have available to them. Due to the size and complexity of the data, we understand they are considering contracting with third party vendors to assist them (just as the United States Attorney's Office has done for this matter). So as to save

defense resources and to attempt to get discovery more quickly to defense counsel, there were efforts made to see if FPD could use the same vendor as the United States Attorney's Office to set up a similar database as the government is using for reviewing the ESI, but for contractual and technical reasons we have recently learned that was not feasible. We are in the on-going process of identifying the scope and size of materials that may be turned over to FPD with as much detail as possible, so that FPD can obtain accurate quotes from potential database vendors. It is hoped that any databases or repositories will be used by FPD offices nationwide that are working on Capitol Breach cases, counsel that are appointed under the Criminal Justice Act, and retained counsel for people who are financially unable to obtain these services. A database will be the most organized and economical way of ensuring that all counsel can obtain access to, and conduct meaningful searches upon, relevant voluminous materials, e.g., thousands of hours of body worn camera and Capitol CCV footage, and tens of thousands of documents, including the results of thousands of searches of SCA accounts and devices.

**Compliance with Recommendations Developed by the Department of Justice and Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts Joint Working Group on Electronic Technology**

As is evidenced by all of the efforts described above, the United States is diligently working to comply with the *Recommendations for Electronically Stored Information (ESI) Discovery Production* developed by the Department of Justice and Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts Joint Working Group on Electronic Technology in the Criminal Justice System in February 2012.<sup>1</sup> See <https://www.justice.gov/archives/dag/page/file/913236/download>. For

---

<sup>1</sup> These *Recommendations* are explicitly referenced in the Advisory Committee Note to Rule 16.1. Importantly, the two individuals primarily responsible for developing the Recommendations are Associate Deputy Attorney General Andrew Goldsmith, who (as noted earlier) is working closely with the prosecution's Discovery Team, and Sean Broderick, the FPD's National Litigation Support Administrator, who is playing a similar role for the D.C. Federal Defender's Office on electronic discovery-related issues. Messrs. Goldsmith and



**EXHIBIT A**  
**Additional Examples of Defense Discovery Requests**

1	“Videos in the government's possession that filmed the interior of the capital building from approximately 2:50 PM to 3:35 PM on January 6, 2021.”
2	“[A]ll photographs or video footage obtained or confiscated by the government from outside sources during the investigation of this case are material to the defense’s preparation.”
3	“Our position is that the government must identify any evidence it believes to capture [defendant], regardless of whether it intends to rely on the same in its case in chief.”
4	“Copies of any and all documents, photographs, and video received by the U.S. Attorney’s office and/or Metropolitan Police Department or any other law enforcement agency from any law enforcement officer or prosecutor from any other jurisdiction regarding this case.”
5	“I write to request that the United States review the contents of the FBI’s “I” drive and disclose any and all exculpatory evidence identified therein.”
6	“Network news outlets aired footage of one or more Officers directing protestors towards doors and seemingly invited them to enter the building -- this is Brady material for our clients.”
7	“The discovery I'm requesting is all video and/or audio footage in which Capitol Police and any other Gov't officials or agents remove barriers and/or interact with protestors who entered the Capitol or gained access to the patios or other structures connected to the Capitol building complex.”
8	<p>“This request also includes any video footage, including from cameras owned by MPD (crime and red light) and DDOT (which are operated and maintained by MPD, and to which MPD has access), as well as any footage that government actors reviewed. This request also includes any video footage from MPD District where the defendant was taken, and all body worn camera footage that may have captured any portion of the alleged incident, investigation or arrest of my client.”</p> <p>“The request includes all Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage from all offices involves in any and all searchers, arrests, and investigations associated with this case and/ or labels with the CCN Number associated with this case; information that will permit undersigned counsel to identify the officer wearing the BWC; metadata related to any and all BWC footage; information from the AUSA’s office and/or MPD specifying any edits or redactions made to the footage and the corresponding justifications. Please also provide the access logs for the BWC footage for any and all officers involved in this case.”</p>
9	“All <b>photographs</b> , including those of the defendant, <b>sketches, diagrams, maps, representations or exhibits</b> of any kind, that relate to this case, regardless of whether the government intends to introduce them in its case-in-chief . . . Including all video recordings related to the January 6, 2021 events.”
10	“I further request that you review all documentation related to or generated in connection with this case that may be outside of the government’s official case file (e.g., materials in the FBI’s “I-Drive” or other similar repositories of investigation documents in the possession of federal or local agencies or law enforcement authorities.”

**EXHIBIT A**  
**Additional Examples of Defense Discovery Requests**

11	“Any evidence (whether or not reduced to writing) that law enforcement or Capitol employees allowed any protestors into the building. Such evidence might include (without limitation) moving barricades, opening doors, instructing protestors they could enter, failing to intervene when protestors entered, etc...”
12	“Any evidence that concerns any Capitol police officers who were suspended and/or disciplined for removing barriers, opening doors, etc. on January 6 <sup>th</sup> .”
13	“I am also concerned about the thousands or tens of thousands videos the government has received from public sources, particularly how the government is searching, indexing, and storing these videos, and whether the government is withholding any video footage in its possession; Based on my review of the discovery thus far, there is official video surveillance and publicly sourced video footage that is exculpatory to the defendants. Many of those videos show [defendant] and other[s] peacefully walking around the Capitol. In these videos, they, like thousands of others, are doing nothing illegal with the possible exception of being present in the building, all of which is potentially exculpatory.”
14	“All information regarding any Capitol Police, MPD, National Guard, other law enforcement officer or other person in position of authority ("LEOs") who moved guard rails, opened or held doors open, stepped aside, allowed persons to enter or stay within the Capitol or otherwise did not direct, instruct or signify to the public -- implicitly or explicitly -- to vacate the Capitol or that the Capitol was closed to the public or restricted for public entry.”
15	“Any audio or video footage of [defendant] relevant to any of the charges in the indictment that has not previously been provided, whether captured by body-cameras worn or phones carried by Metropolitan Police Department officers, by body-cameras worn or phones carried Capitol Police officers, or by phones or other recording devices carried by any other witness.”
16	“For purposes of this letter, all photographs or video footage obtained or confiscated by the government from outside sources during the investigation of this case are material to the defense’s preparation. Please provide notice of any decision not to produce requested photographs, video footage, or recorded communications so that a judicial decision as to production may, if warranted, be sought. Please also provide all photographs, video footage, and recorded communications relating to the <i>Brady</i> and <i>Giglio</i> requests articulated below.”

## EXHIBIT B -- DISCOVERY INDEX

VOLUME	SENSITIVE	HIGHLY SENSITIVE	BEGINBATES	ENDBATES	PAGECOUNT	DESCRIPTION
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008574	CAP02_00008575	2	(U) Assault on Law Enforcement on Capitol Grounds.Capitol Police MPD; Subject KYLE FITZSIMONS
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008576	CAP02_00008579	4	U//FOUO NTOC2021 21chh02 Individual Reporting the Identity of NCDPS Probation Officer Allen D Hux of Columbu
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008580	CAP02_00008584	5	U//FOUO NTOC2021 21chh02 Individual Reporting the Identity of NCDPS Probation Officer Allen D Hux of Columbu
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008585	CAP02_00008585	1	U//FOUO 1_9350560-tip.json
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008586	CAP02_00008591	6	U//FOUO SAR_Import.txt
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008592	CAP02_00008596	5	(U) 707520_WF.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008597	CAP02_00008597	1	(U) Kyle Fitzsimons Driver's License and NCIC
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008598	CAP02_00008599	2	(U) Fitzsimons NCIC DL Photo.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008600	CAP02_00008604	5	(U) Fitzsimons NCIC DL Photo.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008605	CAP02_00008606	2	(U) Fitzsimons NCIC DL Photo.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008607	CAP02_00008611	5	(U) Fitzsimons NCIC DL Photo.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008612	CAP02_00008612	1	(U) Preservation Letter to Google re: kylefitzsimons.kf@gmail.com
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008613	CAP02_00008614	2	(U) Google Preservation Letter Fitzsimons.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008615	CAP02_00008616	2	(U) Google Preservation Letter Fitzsimons.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008617	CAP02_00008618	2	(U) CD Containing YouTube Town Hall Meeting 1/21/2021
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008619	CAP02_00008619	1	(U) Youtube Video ReadMe.docx
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008620	CAP02_00008620	1	(U) Portland, ME Suspicious Package News Article
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008621	CAP02_00008630	10	(U) Portland Suspicious Package.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008631	CAP02_00008640	10	(U) Portland Suspicious Package.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008641	CAP02_00008642	2	(U) Observations and Records Check on 1/27/21
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008643	CAP02_00008643	1	(U) 1.jpg
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008644	CAP02_00008644	1	(U) 35_Gully_Oven_Open_Source.PNG
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008645	CAP02_00008645	1	(U) 3.jpg
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008646	CAP02_00008646	1	(U) 2.jpg
Fitzsimmons_01		X	CAP02_00008647	CAP02_00008648	2	(U) US Capitol CCTV 0074 USCH BA Lower W Terrace Exterior Door
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008649	CAP02_00008650	2	(U) Surveillance of 35 Gully Oven Road, Lebanon, Maine
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008651	CAP02_00008651	1	(U) 2-1-21 Lebanon Surv.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008652	CAP02_00008652	1	(U) 02012021 Surv for WF-3377667.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008653	CAP02_00008653	1	(U) Physical Surveillance of 33 Gully Oven Road, Lebanon, Maine
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008654	CAP02_00008654	1	(U) ME- 946AVP.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008655	CAP02_00008655	1	(U) ME- 9172YG.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008656	CAP02_00008656	1	(U) SUR Log Notes 01292021.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008657	CAP02_00008659	3	(U) Surveillance of 35 Gully Oven Road, Lebanon, Maine
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008660	CAP02_00008660	1	(U) ME- 9172YG.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008661	CAP02_00008661	1	(U) ME- 946AVP.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008662	CAP02_00008662	1	(U) SUR Log Notes 01292021.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008663	CAP02_00008664	2	(U//FOUO) Surveillance - 35 Gully Oven Road, Lebanon, ME
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008665	CAP02_00008666	2	(U//FOUO) Surveillance - 35 Gully Oven Road, Lebanon, ME
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008667	CAP02_00008667	1	(U) Information from Hannaford Brothers Co.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_00008668	CAP02_00008668	1	(U) Surveillance - 35 Gully Oven Road, Lebanon, Maine

VOLUME	SENSITIVE	HIGHLY SENSITIVE	BEGINBATES	ENDBATES	PAGECOUNT	DESCRIPTION
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008669	CAP02_000008669	1	(U) Spot check of 35 Gully Oven Road on 2/2/21
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008670	CAP02_000008670	1	(U) Arrest Warrant for Kyle Fitzsimons
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008671	CAP02_000008672	2	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008673	CAP02_000008673	1	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008674	CAP02_000008674	1	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008675	CAP02_000008675	1	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008676	CAP02_000008684	9	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008685	CAP02_000008686	2	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008687	CAP02_000008687	1	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008688	CAP02_000008688	1	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008689	CAP02_000008689	1	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008690	CAP02_000008698	9	(U) Arrest Warrant and Complaint-Fitzsimons.zip
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008699	CAP02_000008700	2	(U) Arrest and Transport of Kyle FITZSIMONS on February 4, 2021
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008701	CAP02_000008701	1	(U) 20210204_152720.jpg
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008702	CAP02_000008702	1	(U) 20210204_152714.jpg
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008703	CAP02_000008711	9	(U) E2021035000000128673.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008712	CAP02_000008712	1	(U) 20210204_152709.jpg
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008713	CAP02_000008715	3	(U) SDETECTIVES21020417410.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008716	CAP02_000008716	1	(U) Executed search warrant, application, and affidavit for the search of a motor vehicle.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008717	CAP02_000008784	68	(U) Executed search warrant, application, and affidavit for the search of a motor vehicle.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008785	CAP02_000008852	68	(U) SW Packet 29-JHR.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008853	CAP02_000008853	1	(U) Executed search warrant, application, and affidavit for the search of Kyle FITZSIMONS.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008854	CAP02_000008915	62	(U) Executed search warrant, application, and affidavit for the search of Kyle FITZSIMONS.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008916	CAP02_000008977	62	(U) Packet 24-JHR123430.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008978	CAP02_000008978	1	(U) Executed search warrant, application, and affidavit for the search of 35 Gully Oven Road.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000008979	CAP02_000009042	64	(U) Executed search warrant, application, and affidavit for the search of 35 Gully Oven Road.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009043	CAP02_000009106	64	(U) Packet 23-JHR130322.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009107	CAP02_000009107	1	(U) Request Arrest Warrant Removal from NCIC
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009108	CAP02_000009108	1	(U) Fingerprint submission response (Fitzsimons)
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009109	CAP02_000009111	3	(U) Fingerprint submission response (Fitzsimons)
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009112	CAP02_000009114	3	(U) E2021035000000111059.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009115	CAP02_000009116	2	(U) Evidence Collection from 35 Gully Oven Rd. Lebanon, ME
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009117	CAP02_000009119	3	(U) Evidence Collection on 2/4/21 from 35 Gully Oven Rd.
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009120	CAP02_000009120	1	(U) Warrant Removal
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009121	CAP02_000009124	4	(U) Kyle Fitzsimons Removal.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009125	CAP02_000009125	1	(U) Search of Electronic Evidence Seized on 2/4/21
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009126	CAP02_000009129	4	(U) Inventory Tracking122922.pdf



VOLUME	SENSITIVE	HIGHLY SENSITIVE	BEGINBATES	ENDBATES	PAGECOUNT	DESCRIPTION
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009130	CAP02_000009130	1	(U) Search Warrant on 2/24/21
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009131	CAP02_000009131	1	(U) --- UNCLASSIFIED
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009132	CAP02_000009132	1	(U) --- UNCLASSIFIED
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009133	CAP02_000009133	1	(U) 1004.pdf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009134	CAP02_000009134	1	(U) New_Evidence_Entry.xlsx
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009135	CAP02_000009135	1	(U) ---UNCLA.rtf
Fitzsimmons_01			CAP02_000009136	CAP02_000009136	1	(U) Search Warrant on 3/24/21