26

27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Case No.: 1:21cr00098 TFH

MEMORANDUM IN AID OF

v.

ANDREW HATLEY,

SENTENCING

ŕ

1 DEFENDANT

COMES NOW, Andrew Hatley, through counsel Joseph Conte, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32 to address the sentencing factors of 18 U.S.C. §3553(a) in aid of the defendant's sentencing and to request that the court impose a sentence of one years probation and community service.

IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE

This court must impose a sentence that is ". . . sufficient but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes [of sentencing] set forth in section 2 of 18 U.S.C. §3553(a)."

In determining the sentence to be imposed this court must consider the §3553(a) factors. Those are:

A. The Nature and Circumstances of the Offense.

United States v. Andrew Hatley Case No. 1:21cr00098

Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing Page No. 1

SENT MEMO RIOT 21/12/07 14:08:22

27

28

11 17 21

Mr. Hatley plead guilty Parading, Demonstrating, or Picketing in a Capitol Building in violation of 40 U.S.C. §5104(e)(2)(G). Mr. Hatley had strongly held beliefs after the Presidential election that there had been irregularities in the election that were not proper. He decided to come to D.C. to peacefully protest the results of the election and the lack of attention to alleged voting irregularities. He did so with no intent to do anything but add his voice to the vocal protests over the injustice he perceived had happened in the election. He was not armed, and he committed no violent actions in his peaceful protest. Admittedly Mr. Hatley entered the Capitol through a broken window, however, Mr. Hatley did not destroy anything. Mr. Hatley's only desire was to participate in a democratic process that is protected under the 1st Amendment of our Constitution. Unfortunately, going into the Capitol was not part of that democratic process and he now stands before the court having pleaded guilty of parading and demonstrating in the Capitol.

В. History and Characteristics of the Defendant.

Mr. Hatley is 34 years old and a resident of South Carolina. Mr. Hatley has no criminal record and, in fact, has had no past contact with the criminal justice system. He is employed, full time, as a long-haul truck driver and has been employed by the same company since 2016. He is single with no dependents. Mr. Hatley admitted his involvement to the FBI at the time of his arrest and entered an early guilty plea.

For all intents and purposes Mr. Hatley lives in his long-haul truck. Mr. Hatley lists his address in South Caroline, however, that address is a friend who lets him receive mail there and permits him to sleep on his couch.

United States v. Andrew Hatley Case No. 1:21cr00098

Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing

SENT MEMO RIOT 21/12/07 14:08:22

C. The Need for the Sentence imposed -

1. To Reflect the Seriousness of the Offense, Promote Respect for the Law and to Provide Just Punishment for the Offense.

The offense Mr. Hatley plead guilty to is a misdemeanor. A period of probation will still reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law and provide just punishment. As the Supreme Court has noted probation involves a "substantial restriction of freedom." *United States v. Gall*, 128 S.Ct. 586, 595 (2007). "Inherent in the very nature of probation is that probationers 'do not enjoy the absolute liberty to which every citizen is entitled" (quoting *Griffin v. Wisconsin*, 583 US. 868, 874 (1987).

- 2. To afford Adequate Deterrence to Criminal Conduct, and
- 3. To Protect the Public from Further Crimes of the Defendant.

This is Mr. Hatley's first contact with the criminal justice system and based on his past record of zero contacts with the criminal justice system, his immediate acceptance of responsibility and his remorse for his conduct it is sure to be his last. Even a sentence of probation will ensure that he has no further criminal conduct and will protect the public from further crimes by Mr. Hatley.

United States v. Andrew Hatley Case No. 1:21cr00098

Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing Page No. 3

SENT MEMO RIOT 21/12/07 14:08:22

28

4. To Provide the Defendant with Needed Educational or Vocational Training, Medical Care, or Other Correctional Treatment in the Most Effective Manner.

Mr. Hatley is a well-established long-haul truck driver and is not in need of any educational or vocational training. Nor does he require medical care or other correctional treatment.

- D. The Kind of Sentences Available, and
- Ε. The Kinds of Sentence and the Sentencing Range Established By the Guidelines.

As this is a Class C misdemeanor the Federal Sentencing Guidelines do not apply. This court is free to impose any sentence that is ". . . sufficient but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes [of sentencing] set forth in section 2 of 18 U.S.C. §3553(a)."

> F. The Need to Avoid Unwarranted Sentence Disparities Among Defendants with Similar Records Who Have Been Found Guilty of Similar Conduct.

A sentence of probation would be consistent with other capitol riot defendants similarly situated. See, e.g., United States v. Valerie Ehrke, 21-CR00097 (PLF), 36 months probation; United States v. Danielle Doyle, 21-CR-00324 TLM, 2 (two) months probation, \$3,000.00 fine; United States v. Eliel Rosa, 21-CR-

United States v. Andrew Hatley Case No. 1:21cr00098

Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing

SENT MEMO RIOT 21/12/07 14:08:22

8

20

25

27

28

United States v. Andrew Hatley Case No. 1:21cr00098

Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing

SENT MEMO RIOT 21/12/07 14:08:22

00068 TNM, 12 months probation, 100 hours community service; United States v. Thomas Gallagher, 21-CR-00041 CJN, 24 months probation, 60 hours community service.

CONCLUSION

"It has been uniform and constant in the federal judicial tradition for the sentencing judge to consider every convicted person as an individual and every case as a unique study in the human failings that sometimes mitigate, sometimes magnify, the crime and the punishment to ensue." Gall v. United States, 128 S.Ct. 586, 598 (2007) citing Koon v. United States, 518 U.S. 81, 113 (1996). The defendant's case is unique. He is a 34 year old first offender caught up in the madness that was January 6. He has no permanent address and lives in the truck that he drives for his living. As set out above a sentence that does not include incarceration or home detention will satisfy the 18 U.S.C. §3553(a) factors.

Dated: December 7, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph R. Conte, Bar #366827 Counsel for Andrew Hatley 400 Seventh St., N.W. Suite 206

Washington, D.C. 20004 Phone: 202.638.4100

E-mail: dcgunlaw@gmail.com

United States v. Andrew Hatley Case No. 1:21cr00098

Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing Page No. 6

SENT MEMO RIOT 21/12/07 14:08:22