

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RICKY C. WILLDEN,

Defendant.

Case: 1:21-cr-00423  
Assigned To : Contreras, Rudolph  
Assign. Date : 6/23/2021  
Description: INDICTMENT (B)

UNDER SEAL

**GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO SEAL INDICTMENT  
AND TO DELAY ENTRY ON THE PUBLIC DOCKET  
OF THE FILING OF THIS MOTION TO SEAL AND RELATED MATTERS**

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant are executed, the Indictment, the Arrest Warrant for Defendant Ricky C. Willden ("Willden"), this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

1. On June 11, 2021, a grand jury for the District of Columbia returned an indictment, charging Defendant Ricky C. Willden with violations of: (1) Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding Certain Officers, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 111(a)(1); (2) Civil Disorder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 231(a)(3); (3) Entering and Remaining in a Restricted Building or Grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2); (4) Disorderly and Disruptive Conduct in a Restricted Building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2); (5) Engaging in Physical Violence in a Restricted Building or Grounds with a Deadly or Dangerous Weapon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(4); (6) Disorderly Conduct in a Capitol Building, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D); (7) Act of Physical Violence in the Grounds or Buildings, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(F); and (8) Parading, Demonstrating, or Picketing in a Capitol Building, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(G).

2. Defendant Willden has not been arrested. Law enforcement is locating the defendant and preparing to arrest him. Moreover, law enforcement is endeavoring to identify additional individuals who were involved in the assaultive conduct in the instant case.

3. Placing the defendant's indictment on the public docket at this time could cause the defendant to flee or continue flight from prosecution, destroy or tamper with evidence, change patterns of behavior, intimidate potential witnesses, or endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. These are compelling reasons for the sealing of these documents.

4. As stated in *Washington Post v. Robinson*, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if ““(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest.”” *Id.* at 290 (quoting *Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court*, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).

3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Indictment and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of *Washington Post* would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in Support of Indictment and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order, means that the defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest him/her. Thus, if this Motion or a

sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Indictment and Arrest Warrant.

**WHEREFORE**, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest Warrant, the Indictment, the Arrest Warrant for Willden, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS  
Acting United States Attorney  
D.C. Bar Number 415793

By: /s/ Angela N. Buckner  
ANGELA N. BUCKNER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
D.C. Bar No. 1022880  
United States Attorney's Office  
555 4th Street, N.W., Room #10-108  
Washington, DC 20530  
Phone: 202-252-2656  
Email: [angela.buckner@usdoj.gov](mailto:angela.buckner@usdoj.gov)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	CRIMINAL NO.
	:	
v.	:	
	:	<u>UNDER SEAL</u>
RICKY C. WILLDEN,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

ORDER

This matter having come before the Court pursuant to the application of the United States to seal criminal complaint, the Court finds that, because of such reasonable grounds to believe the disclosure will result in flight from prosecution, destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation, the United States has established that a compelling governmental interest exists to justify the requested sealing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application is hereby GRANTED, and that the affidavit in support of Indictment, Arrest Warrant for Ricky C. Willden and other related materials, the instant application to seal, and this Order are sealed until the arrest warrant is executed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall delay any entry on the public docket of the arrest warrant until it is executed.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ZIA M. FARUQUI  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

cc: ANGELA N. BUCKNER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
555 4th Street, N.W., Room #10-108  
Washington, DC 20530  
Phone: 202-252-2656  
Email: [angela.buckner@usdoj.gov](mailto:angela.buckner@usdoj.gov)