

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	Case No:
	:	
v.	:	<u>UNDER SEAL</u>
	:	
	:	VIOLATION:
JEREMY GRACE,	:	
	:	18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1)
	:	(Unlawful Entry to Restricted Building)
Defendant.	:	18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2)
	:	(Disorderly Conduct in Restricted
	:	Building)
	:	18 U.S.C. § 1504(c)(2)(D)
	:	(Disorderly Conduct in Capitol Building)
	:	18 U.S.C. § 1504(c)(2)(G)
	:	(Parading in Capitol Building)

**MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVIT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF
CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant is executed, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, Criminal Complaint, and Arrest Warrant in the above-captioned matter, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, any ancillary documents, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

1. The United States is investigating allegations that, on January 6, 2021, Jeremy Grace violated 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) (unlawful entry of a restricted building); 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2) (disorderly conduct in a restricted building); 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D) (disorderly conduct in the Capitol building or grounds); and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(G) (parading, demonstrating, or picketing in a Capitol Building.)

2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint (also referred to as the “Statement of Facts”) references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation, including the use of a digital device in furtherance of the crime. The public disclosure of the Government’s evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant, which also may lead to the destruction of evidence in other locations including the digital device. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.

2. As stated in Washington Post v. Robinson, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if “(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest.” Id. at 290 (quoting Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).

3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court’s public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order, means that the defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest him.

Thus, if this Motion or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Complaint and Arrest Warrant.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest Warrant, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order, and any ancillary documents, attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Dated: May 24, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING B. PHILLIPS
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s/Mona Sedky
Mona Sedky
D.C. Bar No. 447968
Special Assistant United States Attorney
555 4th Street, N.W., room 4842
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 262-7122
mona.sedky2@usdoj.gov

ORDER, the government shall be permitted to disclose the Arrest Warrant and Complaint with its supporting Statement of Facts and related materials in furtherance of its law enforcement needs and discovery obligations.

3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall delay any entry on the public docket of the arrest warrant until it is executed.

Date: 5/24/2021

THE HONORABLE ZIA M. FARUQUI
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE