## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Case No:

:

V.

VIOLATIONS:

JONATHAN DANIEL POLLOCK,

18 U.S.C. §§ 111 (a) and (b), and 2

(Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding

JOSEPH DANIEL HUTCHINSON III, : Certain Officers or Employees; Aiding

and Abetting)

JOSHUA CHRISTOPHER DOOLIN, : 18 U.S.C. § 641

(Theft of Government Property)

:

MICHAEL STEVEN PERKINS, and : 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1), (2), and (4)

(Restricted Building or Grounds)

:

:

OLIVIA MICHELE POLLOCK, : 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(E) and (F)

(Violent Entry and Disorderly Conduct)

Defendants.

UNDER SEAL

## MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant is executed, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, Criminal Complaint, and Arrest Warrant in the above-captioned matter, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

The United States is investigating the assault of multiple Metropolitan Police
Department officers and/or United States Capitol Police officers by JONATHAN POLLOCK,
JOSEPH HUTCHINSON, JOSHUA DOOLIN, MICHAEL PERKINS, OLIVIA POLLOCK, and

others known and unknown (the "Target Subjects"), in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a) and (b) and 2, 1752(a)(1), (2) and (4), and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(F), as well as the theft of multiple U.S. Capitol Police riot shields by JONATHAN POLLOCK., in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641, and the obstruction of passage through or within Capitol Buildings or Grounds by JONATHAN POLLOCK, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(E).

- 2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation, including the use of a digital device in during and in relation to of the crime. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant, which also may lead to the destruction of evidence in other locations including the digital device. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.
- 2. As stated in <u>Washington Post v. Robinson</u>, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if "(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest." <u>Id.</u> at 290 (quoting <u>Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court</u>, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).
- 3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.
  - 4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the

normal notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal.

Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an

Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order,

means that the defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest her.

Thus, if this Motion or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making

public the Complaint and Arrest Warrant.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order

directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest

Warrant, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting

Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS

ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s// Benet J. Kearney

BENET J. KEARNEY

N.Y. Bar No. 4774048

Assistant United States Attorney

1 Saint Andrew's Plaza

New York, New York 10007

(212) 637 2260

(212) 637 0084

Benet.Kearney@usdoj.gov

3