

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
	:	CASE NO. 21-cr-6 (TJK)
v.	:	
	:	
DOUGLAS AUSTIN JENSEN,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

RESPONSE TO MINUTE ORDER REGARDING VIDEO EXHIBIT RELEASE

The United States of America, by and through its attorney, the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, hereby responds to the Court’s July 6, 2021 Minute Order, directing the parties to respond to petitioners’ request to access video exhibits submitted to the Court in the above-captioned case. Petitioners represent 16 news organizations, which have moved this Court to disclose video evidence used in the bond hearing for the defendant in this case, pursuant to the procedure outlined by this Court in Standing Order 21-28 (BAH) in *In re Press Coalition’s Motion for Access to Video Exhibits and to Set Aside Standing Order No. 21-28*. The government is in agreement that the videos submitted to this Court can be released in accordance with the procedure outlined by this Court. *See* Standing Order 21-28 (BAH), at 5-6.

The D.C. Circuit has consistently employed the six-factor “Hubbard test”¹ when determining whether the common-law right of access to judicial records requires those records to be made available to the public for copying and inspection. Applied in the general context of video exhibits admitted into evidence in court hearings involving defendants charged with criminal offenses related to the January 6, 2021 breach of the U.S. Capitol, and absent order of the court, that test generally weighs in favor of allowing public access to these exhibits.

The petitioners’ filing seeks video exhibits from the bond hearing held in this matter in the District of Columbia on June 24, 2021. *See* Petitioners’ Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion (ECF No. 28), at 2. The government submitted three videos to this Court in advance of the hearing, and defense counsel submitted one video to this Court in advance of the hearing. Neither party sought a sealing order for the videos upon their submission. Defendant has no objection to the production of the open source video exhibit that he submitted to this Court in connection with the June 24, 2021 bond hearing.

Therefore, because the videos were used in this Court’s decision on detention, and they were not subject to a sealing order, the government does not object to their disclosure, including their release for recording, copying, downloading, retransmitting or further broadcasting.

¹ The *Hubbard* test balances the following factors: “(1) the need for public access to the documents at issue; (2) the extent of previous public access to the documents; (3) the fact that someone has objected to disclosure, and the identity of that person; (4) the strength of any property and privacy interests asserted; (5) the possibility of prejudice to those opposing disclosure; and (6) the purposes for which the documents were introduced during the judicial proceedings.” *Leopold v. v. United States*, 964 F.3d 1121, 1131 (D.C. Cir. 2020) (quoting *MetLife, Inc. v. Fin. Stability Oversight Council*, 865 F.3d 661, 665 (D.C. Cir. 2017)).

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS
Acting United States Attorney
D.C. Bar No. 415793

By: _____/s/_____
Hava Arin Levenson Mirell
Assistant United States Attorney (Detailee)
CA Bar No. 311098
312 N. Spring St., Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 894-0717
Hava.Mirell@usdoj.gov