

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
	:	CASE NO. 21-mj-278 (RMM)
v.	:	
	:	
DILLON PAUL HOMOL,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

**JOINT MOTION TO CONTINUE STATUS HEARING
AND TO EXCLUDE TIME UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT**

The United States of America, by and through the Acting United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, and the Defendant, by and through his counsel, respectfully move this Court to continue the status hearing currently scheduled for August 24, 2021, and to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) from August 24, 2021 until the date of the next status hearing in this case, to be determined by the Court. In support whereof, the government states as follows:

1. The defendant was charged by criminal complaint on March 4, 2021, on charges of Obstruction of an Official Proceeding, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1512(c)(2) & 2; Knowingly Entering or Remaining in any Restricted Building or Grounds Without Lawful Authority, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) & (2); and Violent Entry and Disorderly Conduct on Capitol Grounds, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D) & (G).
2. The defendant was arrested on March 16, 2021, and an Initial Appearance was held in the Middle District of Florida on March 17, 2021, following which the defendant was released on bond pending trial.

3. An Initial Appearance was held in this District on April 28, 2021. The Court scheduled a further status hearing for June 28, 2021, which was continued until August 24, 2021, at 1:00 p.m.

4. The government has since engaged in discovery productions, and the parties have engaged in preliminary discussions regarding possible resolutions of the case short of trial.

5. The parties respectfully request a continuance of approximately 60 days to facilitate the discovery process and further discussions between the parties. The government proposes dates on October 21 or 22, 2021, subject to the Court's availability.

6. The government further moves, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act in the interests of justice from August 24, 2021 until the date of the next status hearing in this case, to be determined by the Court. This additional period is necessary to allow the Defendant to fully review the discovery in the case, and to allow the parties to engage in discussions regarding possible resolutions of the case. Therefore, the government respectfully submits that the ends of justice served by such exclusion would outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial.

7. Counsel for the government has conferred with defense counsel regarding this motion. The Defendant joins the motion to continue the status hearing and waives his rights under the Speedy Trial Act.

WHEREFORE, the government respectfully moves that the status hearing in this case currently scheduled for August 24, 2021 be continued until October 21 or 22, 2021, at such time as the Court may determine, and that the time from August 24, 2021 until the date of the next status hearing in this case be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS
Acting United States Attorney
D.C. Bar No. 415793

By: /s/ Christopher B. Brown
Christopher B. Brown
Assistant United States Attorney
D.C. Bar No. 1008763
555 Fourth Street, N.W., Room 4241
Washington, DC 20530
Christopher.Brown6@usdoj.gov
(202) 252-7153

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
	:	CASE NO. 21-mj-278 (RMM)
v.	:	
	:	
DILLON PAUL HOMOL,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

ORDER

Upon consideration of the parties' Joint Motion To Continue Status Hearing and To Exclude Time Under Speedy Trial Act,

IT IS ORDERED, that the status hearing currently scheduled for August 24, 2021 at 1:00 p.m. be continued until October _____, 2021, at _____ a.m./p.m.;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), that the time period from August 24, 2021 until August _____, 2021, be excluded from computation of time under the Speedy Trial Act in this case, in order to give defense counsel time to review the voluminous discovery in this matter and to allow time for the parties to continue their discussions for a disposition of this matter short of trial, and that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Dated this _____ day of August, 2021.

HON. ROBIN M. MERIWEATHER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE