

1. The United States is investigating allegations that William Pope and Michael Pope willfully and knowingly entered the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021 without legal authority; willfully obstructed, impeded, or interfered with law enforcement during the commission of a civil disorder; corruptly impeded an official proceeding; and participated in violent and disorderly conduct in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 231(a)(3), 1512(c)(2), 1752(a)(1) & (2) and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(D), (E), & (G).

2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation, including the use of a digital device in furtherance of the crime, communications to coordinate the criminal activity, and postings on social media regarding the criminal activity. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendants, which also may lead to the destruction of evidence in other locations including the digital device and social media accounts. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.

2. As stated in Washington Post v. Robinson, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if “(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest.” Id. at 290 (quoting Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).

3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that

filings related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrants are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrants, or a resulting sealing order, means that the defendants are charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest both persons. Thus, if this Motion or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Complaint and Arrest Warrants.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the both Arrest Warrants, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL SHERWIN
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

by: /s/ Jeffrey N. Poulin
Jeffrey N. Poulin
WV Bar No. 11437
Assistant United States Attorney
555 4th Street, N.W., room 9106
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 252-2641
Jeffrey.poulin@usdoj.gov