# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V .

Criminal No. 21-cr-35 (EGS)

JEFFREY P. SABOL, et al,

Defendants.

#### PRETRIAL ORDER

It is by the Court hereby

ORDERED that due to the number of co-defendants, the Court will conduct two trials in this case. The first trial will commence on November 30, 2022 for the following defendants: Sabol (01), Stager (02), Whitton (05), McAbee (07), Jersey (09). The second trial will commence at a date to be determined for the following defendants: Lopatic (03), Mullins (04), Barnhart (06), Courson (08); and it is further

ORDERED that the relevant parties shall submit a Notice, by no later than August 12, 2022, of dates in March, September, and October 2023 they are available for the second trial. The relevant parties shall also state the anticipated length of the second trial; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the following deadlines shall govern this matter:

(1) parties in both trial groups shall file their pretrial

motions (other than motions in limine) on or before August 31, 2022;

- (2) oppositions to pretrial motions shall be filed on or before September 14, 2022;
- (3) replies to pretrial motions shall be filed on or before September 21, 2022;
- (4) the United States shall make any required expert disclosures by August 30, 2022;
- (5) Defendants shall make any required reciprocal expert disclosures by September 30, 2022;
- (6) the United States shall notify the November Trial Group of its intention to introduce any Rule 404(b) evidence not already disclosed on or before October 7, 2022;
- (7) the United States shall make grand jury and Jenks Act disclosures as to each witness it expects to call in its case-inchief on or before **November 9**, **2022**. Any Brady material not already disclosed must also be disclosed by this date;
- (8) motions in limine shall be filed on or before September 14, 2022;
- (9) oppositions to those motions in limine shall be filed on or before September 28, 2022;
- (10) replies in support of motions in limine shall be filed on or before **October 5**, **2022**;

(11) any Second Trial Group Defendant may file an individualized motion in limine by the **September 14, 2022** deadline; otherwise, upon filing of a notice, the court will treat the Second Trial Group as having joined in the motions in limine filed by the November Trial Group; and it is further

ORDERED that a Motions Hearing shall be held on October 19, 2022 at 12:00 pm, and a second Motions Hearing, if needed, shall be held on October 20, 2022 at 12:00 pm. In light of the ongoing public health emergency, the hearing shall take place via ZOOM, and the parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information; and it is further

ORDERED that a Pretrial Conference for the November Trial Group shall be held on November 15, 2022 at 1:00 pm. In light of the ongoing public health emergency, the hearing shall take place via ZOOM, and the parties shall contact Mr. Mark Coates, the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, for the dial-in information; and it is further

ORDERED that jury selection for the November Trial Group shall commence on November 30, 2022 at 9:00 am in Courtroom 24A and trial shall commence on December 5, 2022 at 9:00 am in Courtroom 24A; and it is further

ORDERED that the following paragraphs apply to the November
Trial Group: the parties are directed to review the jury voir dire
questions attached hereto as Attachment A and confer in good faith

in an effort to submit a joint list of any **additional** voir dire questions that they wish to propose. Additional voir dire questions shall be filed via electronic case filing ("ECF"), with an additional copy submitted via email to

sullivan\_chambers@dcd.uscourts.gov in Word or Word Perfect format (not PDF), by no later than November 8, 2022. In the event that counsel are unable to agree on a joint list of additional voir dire questions, counsel shall file individual lists of additional voir dire questions via ECF, with an additional copy submitted via email in Word or Word Perfect format (not PDF), by no later than November 8, 2022 The parties shall also inform the Court if there are any of the listed standard voir dire questions in Attachment A that they do not wish the Court to use or that they wish to change in some way and propose alternatives, as appropriate; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties are directed to confer in good faith in an effort to file a joint, concise, neutral statement of the case to the Court via ECF, with an additional copy submitted via email in Word or Word Perfect format (not PDF), by no later than November 8, 2022. If counsel are unable to agree on a statement of the case, counsel shall file individual proposed statements of facts, including a preliminary jury instruction regarding the defendant's theory of the case, via ECF, with an additional copy submitted via email in Word or Word Perfect format (not PDF), by no later than November 8, 2022; and it is further

ORDERED that the Court uses standard preliminary instructions that will be provided to the parties in advance of trial. For final jury instructions, the Court uses the latest edition (September 2018 - Release 16) of the Criminal Jury Instructions for the District of Columbia (the "Red Book"). A list of the Court's standard final jury instructions is attached to this Order as Attachment B. The parties are directed to confer in good faith in an effort to file a joint list of any additional proposed final jury instructions to the Court via ECF, with an additional copy submitted via email in Word or Word Perfect format (not PDF), by no later than November 8, 2022. If counsel are unable to agree on a joint list of proposed jury instructions, counsel shall file individual proposed jury instructions via ECF, with an additional copy submitted via email in Word or Word Perfect format (not PDF), by no later than November 8, 2022. The parties may list any additional standard Red Book instructions by the Red Book instruction number in their submission to the Court. The parties are directed, however, to include the full text of all substantive offense instructions and any additional proposed standard instructions not found in the Red Book. The parties shall also inform the Court if there are any of the listed standard instructions in Attachment B that they do not wish the Court to use or that they wish to change in some way and propose alternatives, as appropriate; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties shall file a list of proposed trial

exhibits by no later than November 8, 2022. Each party's numbered list of trial exhibits, other than impeachment exhibits, shall set forth a description of each exhibit the party may offer in evidence (other than those created at trial), separately identifying those which the party expects to offer and those which the party may offer if the need arises, and should identify concisely the evidentiary basis for admissibility. The parties shall include a brief proffer of the specific evidentiary basis for any objections to an opposing party's exhibit. In noting the objections, if any, the following codes should be used:

- A- Authenticity
- I- Contains inadmissible matter
- R- Relevancy
- H- Hearsay
- UP- Unduly prejudicial- probative value outweighed by undue prejudice.

Other abbreviations may be used provided counsel identifies such codes in the exhibit listing them. The parties shall submit their exhibit lists in the format provided in Attachment C to this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties shall file a witness list identifying the names of the witnesses they will call, or may call, to testify at trial by no later than November 8, 2022. The parties shall designate each witness as one they "will call" or "may call." The parties shall also include in their notices the

constitutional issues, if any, implicated by the testimony of any particular witness. The parties are advised of their obligation to update the notices if a new potential witness is discovered after the date of the filing; and it is further

ORDERED that the Court will utilize the Jury Evidence

Recording System (JERS) to provide the jury with electronic access

to the evidence in this case during their deliberations. The

parties are directed to contact Daniel Barrett at (202) 354-3217

for more information and training on the use of JERS; and it is

further

ORDERED that to the extent the parties raise objections in the joint pretrial statement or to the proposed substantive offense jury instructions, the parties shall state the basis for each objection and include citations to the controlling principles and legal authorities.

Prior to the commencement of trial in this case, the Court will issue a further Order containing information regarding COVID-19 protocols in the courtroom.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: Emmet G. Sullivan

United States District Judge

August 1, 2022

7

#### ATTACHMENT A - LIST OF STANDARD VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS

- 1. Have you heard about the alleged offenses that are charged in this case?
- 2. Do you live or work near the immediate area where the offenses are alleged to have occurred or are you familiar with that area for some other reason?
- 3. As I stated, I am Judge Emmet Sullivan. My courtroom clerk is Mr. Mark Coates. The Court Reporter is[are] [name(s)]. My law clerk is [law clerk's name]. Do you know me or any member of my staff? Do you know any of the attorneys or the defendant in this case?
- 4. The Assistant U.S. Attorney in this case is [AUSA's name]. Do you know or recognize [AUSA's name]?
- 5. The defendant in this case is [defendant's name]. He is being represented by attorney [defense counsel's name]. Do you know or recognize [defendant's name] or [defense counsel's name]?
- 6. [The government will then introduce its witnesses followed by the defendant introducing his witnesses. Law enforcement witnesses will be identified by their name, rank, and duty assignment. Lay witnesses will be identified by their name, address, and place of employment. Expert witnesses will be identified by their name, title, and place of employment.] Do you know any of the witnesses that have just been introduced to you?

The next several questions apply to each of you, members of your immediate family, and close friends.

- 7. Is anyone in that group either presently or previously employed by any law enforcement agency, or currently have pending at such an agency an application for employment? Law enforcement agencies include any police department, in or outside the District of Columbia, special police officers, prosecutors' offices, Park Police, FBI, Department of Justice, Sheriffs' Departments, Secret Service, Department of Corrections, or any other law enforcement agency.
- 8. Is any member of that group either presently or previously employed by a public defender office or by a criminal

- defense attorney or group of criminal defense attorneys or investigators, or currently have pending an application for employment with such a person or organization?
- 9. Is any member of that group a lawyer or has any member of that group studied law in a law school or worked for or with a lawyer?
- 10. Has any member of that group been the victim of, witness to, or accused of, whether convicted or not, a criminal offense?
- 11. Have you or a member of your immediate family either personally or in connection with a business been involved in any legal action or dispute with the United States, or any officers, employees, or agents of the United States?
- 12. (If law enforcement officer testimony expected.) I will be instructing the jury at the end of the trial that the testimony of a law enforcement officer should be treated the same as the testimony of any other witness and that the jury should not give either greater or lesser weight to the testimony of a witness simply because the witness is a law enforcement officer. Do you have such strong feelings about law enforcement, either positive or negative, that you would have difficulty following that instruction?
- 13. This is a case about \_. Would the nature of the \_\_charges themselves make it difficult for you to render a fair and impartial judgment in this case?
- 14. (If a drug crime.) Are you now or have you in the past been a member of any group which advocates either for or against the legalization of drugs?
- 15. Have you formed special opinions concerning defense attorneys, prosecutors, or accused persons that would affect you in deciding this case?
- 16. Do you feel that the defendant has to testify or present evidence before you could find him not guilty?
- 17. If selected as a juror, would you have difficulty accepting and applying the rule of law that the defendant is presumed

- innocent unless the government proves guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?
- 18. There has been an indictment in this case. An indictment is not evidence of a crime. It merely initiates a case and is a formal way of presenting the charges. The indictment here informs [defendant], the Court, and the members of the jury of the charge against him. Would the fact that an indictment charged [defendant] with a crime lead you to believe that he is guilty or make it difficult for you to apply the presumption of innocence?
- 19. The law requires that jurors weigh the evidence in a case and reach a verdict based solely upon the admitted evidence and instructions of law, without regard whatsoever for what the potential punishment might or might not be. Would you have any difficulty at all following this principle?
- 20. Do you have such strong moral or religious convictions that it would prevent you from passing judgment on another person?
- 21. Do you know any of the other members of the jury panel from before today?
- 22. Are you suffering from any sight, hearing, or health problems that would make it difficult for you to give your full time and attention to this trial, and render a fair and impartial verdict?
- 23. Have you ever served as a grand juror or juror in the trial of a criminal case in federal court, a District of Columbia Court, or in a court located in another state?
- 24. I expect this case to take approximately [number of days] to try. Do you have any pressing commitment that would make sitting on this jury an extreme hardship?
- 25. Is there any reason you can think of, whether or not it has been covered by a previous question, why you could not sit fairly, attentively, or impartially as a juror in this case?

#### ATTACHMENT B - LIST OF STANDARD FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

These instructions are taken from the current edition (September 2018 - Release 16) of the Criminal Jury Instructions for the District of Columbia (the "Red Book").

#### General Instructions

2.101	Function of the Court
2.102	Function of the Jury
2.103	Jury's Recollection Controls
1.105(B)	Final Instruction When Notetaking is Permitted
2.107	Burden of Proof - Presumption of Innocence
2.108	Reasonable Doubt
2.110	Nature of Charges Not to Be Considered
2.104	Evidence in Case [testimony, exhibits, stipulations]
1.104	Question Not Evidence
2.105	Statements of Counsel
2.106	Indictment or Information Not Evidence

#### Evaluation of Testimony and Other Evidence

2.109	Direct and Circumstantial Evidence
2.200	Credibility of Witnesses
2.207	Police Officer's Testimony (if applicable)
2.208	Right of Defendant Not to Testify (if applicable)
2.209	Defendant as Witness (if applicable)

Inadmissible and Stricken Evidence

#### Definitions, Proof, Offenses, Defenses

3.103	"On oi	: Ak	oout" -	- P:	roof	Of
3.101	Proof	of	State	of	Minc	ŀ

# Substantive Offense Instructions

[To be submitted by the parties.]

#### Closing Remarks

2.112

2.505	Possible Punishment Not Relevant
2.502	Selection of Foreperson
2.405	Unanimity of Verdict
2.509	Communications Between Court and Jury During
	Jury's Deliberations
2.501	Exhibits During Deliberations
2.100	Furnishing the Jury with a Copy of the Instructions

## ATTACHMENT C – SAMPLE EXHIBIT LIST UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED	STATES OF	AMERICA.

v.

**Case No. 21-cr-35 (EGS)** 

JEFFREY P. SABOL, et al,

Defendants.

### **[PARTY'S] EXHIBIT LIST**

U.S. No.	Def. No.	Description/Basis for Admissibility	Doc Date	Objections	Use (Will or May)	Marked	Admitted	Witness
110.	110.		Date		or May)			

U.S.	Def.	Description/Basis for Admissibility	Doc	Objections	Use (Will	Marked	Admitted	Witness
No.	No.		Date		or May)			

Objections: (A)- Authenticity, (I)- Contains inadmissible matter, (R)- Relevancy, (H)- Hearsay, (UP)- Unduly prejudicial- probative value outweighed by undue prejudice

# Case 1:21-cr-00035-EGS Document 205 Filed 08/01/22 Page 13 of 13