

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	Case No:
	:	
v.	:	
	:	VIOLATIONS:
	:	
Mark Sami Ibrahim	:	18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A), (Restricted Grounds – Firearm)
	:	
	:	40 U.S.C. § 5104(d), (Step or climb on Statue)
Defendant.	:	
	:	40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(1)(a)(i) (Firearm on Capitol Grounds)
	:	
	:	18 U.S.C. § 1001 (False Statement)
	:	

MOTION TO SEAL AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant is executed, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, Criminal Complaint, and Arrest Warrant in the above-captioned matter, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

1. The United States is investigating allegations that Mark Sami Ibrahim willfully and knowingly entered the Restricted Grounds of the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021 without legal authority and while armed with a firearm, and climbed upon the Peace Monument, and made false statements about his conduct in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 18 U.S.C. 1001, 1752(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A), and 40 U.S.C. § 5104(d) and (e)(1)(A)(i).

2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation, including the use of a digital device in furtherance of the crime. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant, which also may lead to the destruction of evidence in other locations including the digital device. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.

2. As stated in Washington Post v. Robinson, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if ““(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest.”” Id. at 290 (quoting Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).

3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order, means that the defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest her. Thus, if this Motion or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making

public the Complaint and Arrest Warrant.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest Warrant, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

by: /s/ James D. Peterson
James D. Peterson
VA Bar No. 35373
Special Assistant United States Attorney
555 4th Street, N.W., room 9106
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 353-0796
(202) 353-9779 (fax)
James.d.peterson@usdoj.gov

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	Case No:
	:	
v.	:	
	:	VIOLATIONS:
	:	
Mark Sami Ibrahim	:	18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A),
	:	(Restricted Grounds – Firearm)
	:	
	:	40 U.S.C. § 5104(d),
	:	(Step or climb on Statue)
Defendant.	:	
	:	40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(1)(a)(i)
	:	(Firearm on Capitol Grounds)
	:	
	:	18 U.S.C. § 1001
	:	(False Statement)

ORDER

This matter having come before the Court pursuant to the application of the United States to seal criminal complaint, the Court finds that, because of such reasonable grounds to believe the disclosure will result in flight from prosecution, destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation, the United States has established that a compelling governmental interest exists to justify the requested sealing.

1. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application is hereby GRANTED, and that the affidavit in support of criminal complaint and other related materials, the instant application to seal, and this Order are sealed until the arrest warrant is executed.

2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall delay any entry on the public docket of the arrest warrant until it is executed.

Date: July 6, 2021

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE