## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Case No:

:

v. : VIOLATIONS:

:

18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2)

ANDREW QUENTIN TAAKE, : (Obstruction of Official Proceedings)

•

Defendant. : 18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a)(1) and (b) (Felony)

(Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding

**Certain Officers**)

:

: 18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a)(1) and (b) (Felony) : (Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding

Certain Officers)

.

18 U.S.C. § 231(a)(3)

(Obstruction of Law Enforcement During

Civil Disorder)

:

18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1)(2)(4) (Entering or Remaining, Disorderly and Disruptive
Conduct, and Engaging in Physical
Violence in a Restricted Building or

Grounds)

.

: 18 U.S.C. §§ 5104(e)(2)(D)(E)(F)(G) : (Disorderly Conduct in a Capitol

Building, Impeding Passage through and Act of Physical Violence in the Capitol

Grounds or Buildings, Parading,

: Demonstrating, or Picketing in a Capitol

: Building)

## MOTION TO SEAL COMPLAINT AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrant is executed, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, Criminal Complaint, and

Arrest Warrant in the above-captioned matter, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

- 1. The United States is investigating allegations that Andrew Quentin Taake willfully and knowingly entered the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021 without legal authority, and participated in violent and disorderly conduct in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 111(a)(1) and (b); 18 U.S.C. § 231(a)(3); 18 U.S.C. §§ 1752(a)(1), (2) and (4); and 40 U.S.C. §§ 5104(e)(2)(D), (E), (F), and (G).
- 2. The Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint references evidence gathered in the course of the investigation. The evidence includes statements identifying the defendant by a special agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The public disclosure of the Government's evidence could compromise the integrity of the investigation, including the ability of the United States to locate and arrest the defendant, and could needlessly expose the statements of the identifying agent before the defendant has been apprehended. The Government also anticipates imminently obtaining one or more search warrants for items believed to be in the defendant's possession. Premature disclosure of the charging documents may risk hampering those efforts or lead to the destruction of evidence. Thus, a sealing order is necessary to avoid hindering the ongoing investigation in this matter.
- 3. As stated in <u>Washington Post v. Robinson</u>, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if "(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest." <u>Id.</u> at 290 (quoting <u>Oregonian Pub. Co. v. United</u>

States Dist. Court, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).

4. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity

of its investigation and arresting the defendant. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings

related to the Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant are not accessible from the Court's public

files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.

5. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal

notice requirements of Washington Post would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons

who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in

Support of Criminal Complaint and Arrest Warrant, or a resulting sealing order, means that the

defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest him. Thus, if this Motion

or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Complaint

and Arrest Warrant.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order

directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest

Warrant, the Affidavit in Support of Criminal Complaint, this Motion and Supporting

Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS

**ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY** 

D.C. Bar No. 415793

By: /s/.

/s/Laura E. Hill

Laura E. Hill

Nevada Bar No. 13894

Trial Attorney

Detailee - District of Columbia

175 N Street, NE, Room 9.1811

Washington, D.C. 20002

Phone: (202) 598-3962

Laura.E.Hill@usdoj.gov

3

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** Case No:

**VIOLATIONS:** v.

18 U.S.C. § 1512(c)(2)

ANDREW QUENTIN TAAKE, (Obstruction of Official Proceedings)

Defendant. 18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a)(1) and (b) (Felony)

(Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding

**Certain Officers**)

18 U.S.C. §§ 111(a)(1) and (b) (Felony)

(Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding

**Certain Officers**)

:

18 U.S.C. § 231(a)(3)

(Obstruction of Law Enforcement During

**Civil Disorder**)

18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1)(2)(4) (Entering or Remaining, Disorderly and Disruptive Conduct, and Engaging in Physical

Violence in a Restricted Building or

Grounds)

18 U.S.C. §§ 5104(e)(2)(D)(E)(F)(G) (Disorderly Conduct in a Capitol

Building, Impeding Passage through and Act of Physical Violence in the Capitol

Grounds or Buildings, Parading,

**Demonstrating, or Picketing in a Capitol** 

**Building**)

## **ORDER**

This matter having come before the Court pursuant to the application of the United States to seal a criminal complaint, the Court finds that, because of such reasonable grounds to believe the disclosure will result in flight from prosecution, destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation, the United States has established that a compelling governmental interest exists to justify the requested sealing.

- 1. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application is hereby GRANTED, and that the complaint, affidavit in support of criminal complaint and other related materials, the application to seal, and this Order are sealed until the arrest warrant is executed.
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall delay any entry on the public docket of the arrest warrant until it is executed.

Date:	
	ZIA M. FARUQUI
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE