UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CRIMINAL NO.

,

UNDER SEAL

THOMAS F. SIBICK, ALBUQUERQUE COSPER HEAD, KYLE J. YOUNG,

V.

:

Defendants.

GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO SEAL INDICTMENT AND TO DELAY ENTRY ON THE PUBLIC DOCKET OF THE FILING OF THIS MOTION TO SEAL AND RELATED MATTERS

The United States of America, by and through the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, respectfully moves for an order to place and maintain under seal, until the Arrest Warrants are executed, the Indictment, the Arrest Warrants for Defendant Albuquerque Cosper Head ("Head") and Defendant Kyle J. Young ("Young"), this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion. In support thereof, the government states as follows:

1. On April 9, 2021, a grand jury for the District of Columbia returned an indictment, charging Defendants Thomas F. Sibick, Albuquerque Cosper Head, and Kyle J. Young with violations of: (1) Obstruction of an Official Proceeding, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1512(c)(2), 2; (2) Civil Disorder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 231(a)(3); (3) Assaulting, Resisting, or Impeding Certain Officers in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 111(a)(1); (4) Robbery, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2111; (5) Entering and Remaining in a Restricted Building or Grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1); (6) Disorderly and Disruptive Conduct in a Restricted Building or Grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(2); (7) Impeding Ingress and Egress in a Restricted Building or Grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(3); (8) Engaging in Physical Violence in a Restricted

Building or Grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(4); (9) Impeding Passage Through the Capitol Grounds or Buildings, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(E); and (10) Act of Physical Violence in the Capitol Grounds or Buildings, in violation of 40 U.S.C. § 5104(e)(2)(F).

- 2. Defendant Head and Defendant Young have not been arrested. Law enforcement is locating the defendants and preparing to arrest them. Moreover, law enforcement is endeavoring to identify additional individuals who were involved in the assaultive conduct in the instant case.
- 3. Placing the defendants' indictment on the public docket at this time could cause the defendants to flee or continue flight from prosecution, destroy or tamper with evidence, change patterns of behavior, intimidate potential witnesses, or endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. These are compelling reasons for the sealing of these documents.
- 4. As stated in *Washington Post v. Robinson*, 935 F.2d 282, 288 (D.C. Cir. 1999), there is a presumption of access to Court proceedings. But, this can be overridden if "(1) closure serves a compelling interest; (2) there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure, this compelling interest would be harmed; and (3) there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest." Id. at 290 (quoting Oregonian *Pub. Co. v. United States Dist. Court*, 920 F.2d 1462, 1466 (9th Cir. 1990)).
- 3. In this matter, the United States has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its investigation and arresting the defendants. A limited sealing order ensuring that filings related to the Indictment and Arrest Warrants are not accessible from the Court's public files is narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest.
- 4. Furthermore, the United States respectfully submits that complying with the normal notice requirements of *Washington Post* would defeat the purpose of the motion to seal. Persons

who know the criminal justice system also know that docketing a motion to seal an Affidavit in Support of Indictment and Arrest Warrants, or a resulting sealing order, means that the defendant is charged with a crime, and the Government intends to arrest him/her. Thus, if this Motion or a sealing order were to become public, it would be the same as making public the Indictment and Arrest Warrants.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order directing that the Clerk of the Court place and maintain under seal, until execution of the Arrest Warrants, the Indictment, the Arrest Warrants for Head and Young, this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and any Order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

CHANNING D. PHILLIPS Acting United States Attorney D.C. Bar No. 415793

By: __/s/Tara Ravindra

TARA RAVINDRA
Assistant United States Attorney
D.C. Bar No. 1030622
555 4th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530

Phone: 202-252-7672

Email: tara.ravindra@usdoj.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CRIMINAL NO.

UNDER SEAL

THOMAS F. SIBICK, ALBUQUERQUE COSPER HEAD, KYLE J. YOUNG,

 \mathbf{v}_{\bullet}

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter having come before the Court pursuant to the application of the United States to seal indictment, the Court finds that, because of such reasonable grounds to believe the disclosure will result in flight from prosecution, destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation, the United States has established that a compelling governmental interest exists to justify the requested sealing.

- 1. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application is hereby GRANTED, and that the Indictment, the Arrest Warrants for Defendant Albuquerque Cosper Head ("Head") and Defendant Kyle J. Young ("Young"), this Motion and Supporting Memorandum, the proposed Order attached to this Motion, and this Order are sealed until the arrest warrant is executed.
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the government may disclose a redacted Indictment to defense counsel in order to provide discovery to defense counsel in ongoing litigation.
- 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall delay any entry on the public docket of the arrest warrant until it is executed.

Case 1:21-cr-00291-ABJ Document 21-1 Filed 04/09/21 Page 2 of 2

Date:	
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE